

CBI/230/2019
CNR No. DLCT11-000903-2019
RC No. 17(E)/2013/CBI/EOU-IV/EO-II/ND
CBI v. Rajesh Kumar Singh and Ors.

13.03.2026

Present : Sh. Raj Kamal, Learned PP for CBI.

A-3 Rita Verma and **A-4** Neeraj Kumar Garg, both through VC.

Mr. Nishant Sharma (physically), Ms. Priti Verma (through VC) and Ms. Dhanya Visweswaran (through VC), Ld. Counsels for **A-3**.

Mr. Shivom Sethi, Ld. Proxy Counsel for **A-4**, through VC.

1. Arguments of learned PP for CBI on application of A-4 Neeraj Garg under section 91 CrPC heard. It is his submission that CBI is not in power and possession of the worksheets/comparison sheets/internal working record of the CFSL expert(s) and as such the prosecution is under no duty to supply the same to the applicant; that the CFSL expert(s) has/have already set out the reasons in the forensic report(s) for the conclusions so arrived at therein. It is further his submission that in any event, the relief prayed for in the application is not covered within the ambit of section 91 CrPC.

2. Ld. Proxy Counsel for A-4 seeks short adjournment to respond to the submissions of the prosecution. He states that the main counsel is today busy in Hon'ble Delhi High Court. At his request, list the matter for rebuttal arguments on behalf of A-4 on the aforesaid application on **14.03.2026 at 10 a.m.**

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RACC/New Delhi/13.03.2026