

Som Raj Vs Vijay Kumar

CNR No. PBHOA00005352021

CIS No. CS/420/2021

Present: Sh.K.S.Saini, Advocate counsel for plaintiff.
Mrs. Bharti Badial, Advocate counsel for defendant.

No rebuttal evidence is present. Plaintiff has availed the number of the opportunities to conclude his evidence but he failed to conclude his rebuttal evidence, As such this Court is of the considered opinion that there is no justification to adjourn the case for this purpose. As such, rebuttal evidence is hereby closed by order.

Perusal of the file reveals that issues in this case was framed on 25-09-2024 and inadvertently issue of mandatory injunction was not framed, which is now framed as under:-

1A. Whether plaintiff is entitled to mandatory injunction as prayed for? OPP

Bo the parties has stated at bar that they does not want to lead evidence and earlier evidence adduced by them be led as evidence after framing of issues no. 1 A.

Arguments heard. Vide my separate detailed judgment of even date, suit of the plaintiff is decreed with costs. Decree sheet be prepared and file be consigned to the record room, after due compliance.

Pronounced in open court on :
Dt.14-11-2025
(Rashpal Kumar, J.W-II)

(Jaspreet Kaur Simak)
Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Division),
Dasuya (UID No.PB0401)