

CBI Vs. Satish Kumar & Anr.
RC-0032022A0050
CC/R No. 56/2022
U/s 7 of PC Act, 1988 as amended in 2018
r/w Section 120 B IPC
PS-CBI, ACB, New Delhi

03.07.2023.

Present: Sh. Anil Tanwar, Id. Sr. PP alongwith IO/Insp. Sh. Satish Kumar Bana for CBI.
Sh. Sandeep Sharma and Sh. Dharam Singh, Ld. Counsels for Accused Satish Kumar.
Sh. Sanjay Gupta and Sh. Raj Kamal Arya, Ld. Counsels for Accused Deepak.
Both the accused persons are present.

Today, separate Vakalatnamas have been filed on behalf of Accused Satish Kumar and Accused Deepak. Both the Vakalatnamas are taken on record.

Reply to the application of Accused Satish Kumar seeking supply of some legible copies alongwith audio recordings has been filed. Copy supplied.

IO submits that CFSL report regarding the audio recordings is yet to be received, thus, he has sought four weeks time to file the CFSL report alongwith audio recordings.

Besides the audio recordings accused has also sought legible copy of D-23. In response to the same Ld. Sr. PP submits that the Prosecution is also having the similar copy and therefore, they are unable to provide the legible copy.

Keeping in view of these submissions made, put up for consideration of application U/s 207 CrPC of Accused Satish Kumar on **14.08.2023**.

Let, notice be issued to the IO for the next date.

(Ajay Gupta)
Spl. Judge PC Act (CBI)-03,
RADC, New Delhi/ 03.07.2023