

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI HASAN ANZAR,  
SPECIAL JUDGE, PC ACT (CBI-03),  
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURT, NEW DELHI**

*CBI Vs. Satish Kumar & Anr.  
CC No. 56/2022  
Date of Institution : 22.09.2022*

12.11.2025

Present : Ms. Priyanka Soni, Ld. Sr. PP for the CBI.  
Both the accused persons on bail.  
Sh. Sachin Baisla, ld. Counsel for A-1.  
Sh. Sanjay Gupta and Sh. Raj Kamal Arya, ld. Counsels for A-2.

1. Status report in terms of order dated 16.10.2025 indicating that summons were duly served on Sh. Deepak Tanwar is perused.

2. PW Sh. Deepak Tanwar is not present today and it is submitted by ld. Sr. PP for CBI that Sh. Deepak Tanwar is attending a proceeding at Lucknow. It is further submitted that witness has expressed his availability to appear before the Court on 01.12.2025.

3. Issue summons to Sh. Deepak Tanwar to be served through the Director, CFSL with the specific direction to ensure that summons are served on Deepak Tanwar and the witness be directed to appear before the court at 10 am. Also issue notice to the concerned IO/HIO/Concerned SP to ensure that summons are served on Sh. Deepak Tanwar. IO/HIO shall coordinate with Director CFSL to ensure the presence of witness on the scheduled date of hearing. A copy of order be

.. 2..

attached with the summons.

4. At this stage, an application for correction/rectification in clerical error in the statement of PW 15 dated 06.10.2025 is moved on behalf of the accused A-2.

5. It is submitted that in Page No. 2 of the cross-examination dated 06.10.2025 of PW 15 Harendra Kumar Singh, the following sentence “ *The witness after perusing the file states that the copy of audio recording in any form is available in the file.*” Whereas it should be “ *The witness after perusing the file states that the copy of audio recording in any form is “not” available in the file.* ”. It appears that the word “not” is not mentioned in the said sentence at Page No. 2 of the cross-examination of PW-15 dated 06.10.2025.

6. In all fairness to the ld. Sr. PP does not object to the submission of the ld. Defence Counsel.

7. I have considered the submission, ordinarily the testimony of the witness cannot be changed, however, if one looks at the sentence in the deposition, it appears that word “not” is missing. It is also submitted that there was no description of audio recording in the forwarding letter sent to the Sanctioning Authority/PW15 and therefore, it appears that there was typographical error as noted above in the deposition of PW 15 dated 06.10.2025 and word “not” is missing.

.. 3..

8. As a token of the acceptance of the correctness of the submission the ordersheet, the present ordersheet is signed by both the sides.

9. In view of the above, the application for correction/rectification is disposed of, in terms of the observation.

To come up for PE on **01.12.2025**.

**(HASAN ANZAR)**  
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-03,  
RADC/New Delhi/12.11.2025