

CBI Vs. Pradeep Kumar Mishra & Ors.
FIR No. RC 2162021A0003
U/s 120B, 109 IPC
U/s 13(2) r/w 13(1)(e) of PC Act 1988.

04.11.2024

Present : Shri Raj Kamal, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Gaganjyot Singh, Ld. Counsel for both accused persons.

Two applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf of accused Pradeep Kumar Mishra and Preeti Mishra. No sufficient ground even for non appearance of accused and for not joining the proceedings through VC has been mentioned in the applications.

In the interest of justice, no adverse order is passed against the accused persons and exemption of both accused persons is allowed.

On 20.09.2024, matter was listed for 03.10.2024 for scrutiny of documents as well as for arguments on the point of charge. On said date, undersigned was on half day leave and the matter was fixed for compliance of previous order for 04.11.2024. However, today Ld. Counsel submits that he requires some more time for scrutiny of documents.

No sufficient ground is mentioned as to why scrutiny of documents could not be done on last two dates. Perusal of record reveals that documents are not voluminous and scrutiny of documents could have been conducted in one or two days. Already more than sufficient time has been granted for this purpose. No ground is made out for grant of adjournment for scrutiny of documents.

In such circumstances, be listed for arguments on point of charge on **02.12.2024**.

(ANKIT SINGLA)
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02
Rouse Avenue District Court
New Delhi/04.11.2024