

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI HASAN ANZAR,
SPECIAL JUDGE, PC ACT (CBI-03),
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURT, NEW DELHI**

*CBI Vs. Trilok Chand & Ors.
CC No. 52/24
(IA Nos. 05/2026 & 06/2026)
(Applicant/Accused Aditya Jain)*

17.04.2026

ORDER

1. This order shall decide the application filed on behalf of accused Aditya Jain seeking release of Passport for getting Visa and to travel/ visit Switzerland and Italy w.e.f. 29.05.2026 to 10.06.2026.

2. It is averred in the application that applicant/accused Aditya Jain intends to travel to Switzerland and Italy w.e.f. 29.05.2026 to 10.06.2026 to undertake periodic visits to international universities to remain updated with admission procedures, eligibility criteria, and institutional expectations. For this purpose, he also seeks release of his passport deposited in the Court.

3. It is contended by ld. Counsel that applicant undertakes that the visit will not affect the ongoing proceedings and he shall comply with all the terms and conditions as imposed by the Court and shall redeposit his passport upon his return. The applicant has deep roots in the society and he is a law abiding citizen.

..2..

4. Reply has been filed by the CBI to the above application of the applicant whereby the CBI/prosecution has opposed the aforesaid application stating that there is reasonable apprehension that applicant may abscond/flee and will not be available for the proceedings before the court and shall hamper the trial, if he is permitted to go abroad.

5. I have considered the submissions made on behalf of both the sides.

6. The applicant has disclosed bona fide reasons for traveling abroad as he wants to acquaint himself with admission procedures, eligibility criteria, and institutional expectations in international universities and applicant/accused Aditya Jain only wants to enhance his professional capabilities and therefore, the objection that if the applicant/accused Aditya Jain is permitted to travel abroad he might flee and would not face and shall hamper the trial, however, the apprehension was not supported with any material on record and as such there are no compelling and justifiable reasons to decline the request of the applicant. The period of visit is very short and as such mere absence of accused would not impact the proceedings.

7. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances and in view of the above-mentioned discussion, applicant Aditya Jain is permitted to travel Switzerland and Italy w.e.f. 29.05.2026 to 10.06.2026

..3..

and his passport No. P9680620 is ordered to be released to applicant/accused Aditya Jain subject to the following terms and conditions:-

a) Applicant/Accused Aditya Jain shall furnish security in the form of FDR/Bank Guarantee for a sum of Rs. 3 Lakhs in the name of the court i.e. Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi alongwith one surety bond of Rs. 1 Lakh to the satisfaction of the court.

b) In any eventuality, accused will not request for extension for staying abroad.

c) He shall neither tamper with the evidence nor try to influence any witness in any manner and will not use the permission granted to him contrary to the rules.

d) The applicant shall surrender his passport bearing no. P9680620 in court on his return to India.

e) Applicant shall submit the address of his stay in Switzerland and Italy w.e.f. 29.05.2026 to 10.06.2026 alongwith telephone/contact numbers and e-mail address. He will also file schedule of his travel to Switzerland and Italy at the time of submitting FDR/Bank Guarantee/surety bond.

f) His counsel/surety will undertake to accept notice(s), if any, on behalf of the applicant in his absence.

g) This permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and will not be deemed as directions to any other authority except the permission from the side of the court.

h) He will not create any third party interest in any of his immovable properties situated in India or abroad without permission of the court during this period.

..4..

i) In case of any of the above conditions are violated, the bank guarantee/FDR/surety bond amount shall be forfeited to the State.

j) The LOC, if any, shall remain suspended till 10.06.2026 IO is directed to send necessary intimation to the appropriate authority in this regard, if required.

k) A separate intimation be also sent to the Deputy Director (Immigration), Bureau of Immigration, East Block-VIII, Sector-1, Rama Krishna Puram, New Delhi-110066 to take steps for suspension of LOC, if any (Vide Order dated 12.03.2024 passed by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in a case titled as "Ramesh Kumar Vs. Union of India & Anr.", W.P.(C) 3242/2024).

9. Both the applications (IA No. 05/2026 & 06/2026) stand disposed of accordingly.

10. Copy of this order be given dasti to the applicant/accused as well as CBI, as prayed.

(HASAN ANZAR)

Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-03,
RADC/New Delhi/17.04.2026