

CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.
FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005/CBI/AC-III/ND
CC No. 66/2021
CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021

03.02.2025

(Present proceedings have been conducted on Hybrid Mode)

Present : Shri Raj Kamal, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO
Inspector Shakti Kumar.

Shri Anushka Khaitan, Ld. counsel for A-2 Anand
Dilip Daga.

Ms. Afsha Moin, proxy Counsel for (A-4) Pooja
Deshmukh, (A-5) Rahat Deshmukh and (A-7)
Satyajeet Subhash Wayal.

(A-3) Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane, (A-4) Pooja
Deshmukh, (A-5) Rahat Deshmukh, (A-6) Vikrant
Deshmukh & (A-7) Satyajeet Subhash Wayal have
appeared **through VC (Cisco Webex App)**.

(A-1) Abhishek Tiwari is present on bail.

Ld. Counsel for A-2 has sought oral exemption on
the ground that accused has to appear in some other court in
Tuticorin, Tamil Nadu and detail of the case will be filed on
NDOH. Heard. In view of the submission made by Ld. counsel,
(A-2) Anand Dilip Daga is exempted from personal appearance
for today.

IO Inspector Shakti Kumar has filed copy of E-mail
received to HOB requesting of extension of time by Director, FSL
Gandhinagar stating that 7 days more time is required to complete
forensic analysis.

Today an application has been moved on behalf of A-4 seeking legible copies of relied upon documents wherein 3 – 4 pages i.e. page No.783-785 of documents D-37 and one page No.1100 of D-47 has been mentioned. In this application, it is also mentioned that certain documents are missing but one of such document which is stated to be missing is D-139. It is stated that entire D-139 has not been supplied to the applicant wherein in earlier application filed it was stated that only some documents pertaining to D-139 are illegible. There was no mention in the said earlier application that entire D-139 was not supplied to the applicant. Comparison of these two applications reflect that present application has been filed by applicant mischievously with purpose to delay the proceedings and harass the prosecution. At this stage Ld. Counsel for A-4 Shri Tushar Anand has appeared through VC and submitted that he wants to withdraw the present application. In view of submission made by Ld. Counsel, signature of his associate counsel Ms. Afsha Moin is taken on the margin of the application to this effect. In view the submission made by Ld. Counsel for accused, present application is dismissed as withdrawn. Application stands disposed off accordingly.

It is submitted by proxy counsel for A-2 that an application for supply of illegible copies of certain documents was filed. The said application is not traceable on record. However, Ld. PP has given his copy wherein certain documents are mentioned in Para No.5 of the application. It is submitted by Ld. PP that even CBI has copies which are not completely legible. Both the parties are directed to compare the copies with the original copies available on record and if the original copies on record not legible, then this court cannot direct CBI to supply

legible copies to Ld. Counsel. However, if the copies which are available on record are legible, then CBI is directed to take appropriate steps to supply legible copies to applicant. Both the parties are directed to do the needful today itself.

Be listed on **03.03.2025** for awaiting FSL result and for disposal of pending applications.

(ANKIT SINGLA)
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02
Rouse Avenue District Court
New Delhi/03.02.2025