

CC No. 105/2019
CBI v. Shubham Yadav
CNR No. DLCT11-000472-2019

18.9.2025

Present: Ms. Jyoti Solanki, Ld. Public Prosecutor for CBI.

Accused on bail with ld. Counsel. Sh. Yatin Dhawan, ld. Counsel for the accused appeared through VC.

Ld. PP for the CBI moved an application for rectification of clerical/typographical error. It is submitted by Ld. PP for the CBI that inadvertently during the course of recording testimony of PW10 Sh. Joginder Pal, PW17 Ms. Nisha Gupta & PW23 Ms. Pooja Verma Ex. PW17/A1 (colly) was written as Ex. PW17/A instead of Ex. PW17/A1 (colly).

Copy of the same supplied.

It is submitted by Ld. Defence Counsel that he has no objection if necessary correction may be carried out.

Let Ex. PW17/A be read as Ex. PW17/A1 (colly) in the testimony of PW10 Sh. Joginder Pal & PW23 Ms. Pooja Verma.

With this, application stands disposed of.

Statement of accused under section 313 Cr.P.C recorded separately wherein, the accused submits that he wants to lead defence evidence.

Therefore, relist the matter for **recording defence evidence on 16.10.2025**.

An application moved on behalf of the accused for summoning defence witnesses. Copy supplied.

Heard. Considered the application.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same stands allowed. Let defence witnesses mentioned in the application be summoned for the next date of hearing with directions to produce the relevant record. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

Diet money be paid to the defence witnesses at the spot.

(GAURAV RAO)
SPECIAL JUDGE (PC ACT) (CBI)
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURTS
NEW DELHI/18.9.2025