

CrI. Revision No. 25/2025
CBI vs. Nita Puri & Others

23.05.2025

Present: > Mr. Pankaj Kumar Gupta, PP alongwith Police
Inspector Anuj Chauhan, AC-III for CBI/appellant.
> Sh. Vijay Aggarwal, advocate with Sh. Rajeev Goyal,
Sh. Nagesh Behl, Sh. Anshul Misra, Sh. Kartikay
Kumar, Sh. Rachit Bansal, Sh. Shekhar Pathak and Sh.
Vishal Gaurav, advocates for respondents no. 1 & 2
alongwith respondent no. 2 in person.
> None for respondent no.3 MBSL.

Counsel for respondents no.1 & 2 gave points in brief after going through the revision petition upon which he has to argue at length. He has also to prepare written arguments and to collect case laws.

A clarification has been given in view of the observation of this court in order dated 16.05.2025 because of paragraph no. 16.96 of the chargesheet. It is stated by counsel for respondents that one supplementary chargesheet was filed before the Ld. Trial Court to show that in case of six bank officials, no permission was granted under Section 17A of PC Act and in respect of only one bank official, it was granted but nothing was found against him as per the supplementary chargesheet. Even, no evidence was found against the private individual qua her further investigation was pending as disclosed in para no. 16.91 of the chargesheet. The investigation of this case has been completely closed now as per one order of the Ld. Trial Court dated 14.11.2024.

I told both the parties to start arguments on day-to-day basis and try to conclude the same, so that this court can dispose off this

revision before start of summer vacations but Ld. PP wants atleast 15 days time to prepare and start his arguments and also stated that he will take minimum 5-6 days to conclude the same by taking minimum three hours per day. Counsel for the respondents stated that he is already busy in other matters which are fixed on day-to-day basis as well as also has to conduct proceedings of the out station cases, so it is not possible for him to remain present in this court either physically or through VC. The choice given by this court to come at his convenient time even after lunch is not suitable to the counsel for respondents who stated that the arguments in this matter can be fixed in the second week of July, 2025 because virtually for three weeks, this court will remain closed. In such circumstances, I have to fix the dates for start of prosecution arguments firstly from 07.07.2025 to 11.07.2025 daily from 10:00 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. (3 hours daily with one short break in between). It is further requested to both the sides that they should cooperate with the court, so that arguments are concluded in the month of July, 2025 and the decision on this revision takes place before mid of August, 2025.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-08,
RACC/23.05.2025