

CC No. 91/2019
CBI v. S.N.S. Sidhu & ors.
CNR No. DLCT11-0004258-2019

25.11.2022

Present: Sh. Rajan Dahiya, Ld. Sr. Public Prosecutor for CBI.

Accused S.N.S. Sidhu is absent.
Sh. Rishabh Goel, Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the
accused.

Proceedings against accused Philip Toppo, accused
Sumer Chand Garg and accused Ashok Malhotra have
been abated as they have expired.

Accused Atul Vashishta is absent.
Sh. Manjeet Godara, Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the
accused.

Accused Mohan Lal present on bail.

Accused Ashok Jain is absent.
Sh. Hitendra Kapur, Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the
accused.

Accused Lal Mani, accused R.S. Sandhu and accused
Ram Chander Arora present on bail with Sh. Hitendra
Kapur, Advocate, Ld. Counsel.

Accused Vijay Kumar present on bail with Id. Legal
Aid Counsel Ms. Nazima Siddiqui.

Accused Surjeet Singh present on bail with Id. Legal
Aid Counsel Sh. Baljinder Singh.

Death verification report with regard to accused
Ashok Jain has been filed by the Holding IO/Inspector Pawan
Kumar in connected case bearing CC No. 92/2019 which I have
already perused. In view thereof, proceedings against accused
Ashok Jain in the present case has also been abated.

Separate applications seeking exemption from
personal appearance of accused Atul Vashisht and accused
S.N.S. Sidhu moved by their respective counsels. Heard.

: 2 :

Application perused. For the reasons mentioned in the application, aforementioned accused persons are exempted from their personal appearance for today.

Both sides heard on the two applications moved by CBI under Section 311 Cr.P.C, one moved on 04.06.2021 for placing on record 3 additional documents i.e. Survey list of Gautam Nagar file no. 2, Survey list of Gautam Nagar file no. 3 and survey list of Thokar no. 16, J.J.cluster, Shamshan Ghat, Geeta Colony and other application for placing on record 3 more additional documents, i.e. production-cum-seizure memo dated 25.08.2007, production-cum-seizure memo dated 15.10.2007 and Survey list of Gautam Nagar file no. 7 containing number of unit from 2758 to 3219.

During the course of arguments, objection was raised by Ld. Defence Counsels that some documents sought to be placed on record are shown to be lying in the original file RC no. 34A/2007 and a query has been raised from Ld. Sr. PP for CBI if the proposed documents are part of original file of RC no. 34A/2007 or were prepared subsequently pursuant to the order of Hon'ble High court for reconstruction of missing documents.

It is submitted by the Ld. Sr. PP for the CBI that the documents at Sr. no. 3 mentioned in the first application is lying in the Malkhana for CBI/ACB. However, he seeks some time to clarify in respect of remaining documents.

Therefore, at request, put up for **clarification of queries on 17.01.2023.**

(SUNENA SHARMA)
SPECIAL JUDGE (PC ACT) (CBI)
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURTS
NEW DELHI/25.11.2022