

CC No. 91/2019
RC No. 52(A)/2007
CBI v. S.N.S. Sidhu & ors.
CNR No. DLCT11-000428-2019

13.5.2022

Present: Sh. Rajan Dahiya, Ld. Senior Public Prosecutor for CBI.

Accused No. 1 S.N.S. Sidhu is present on bail. Sh. Anindya Malhotra, Advocate, Ld. Counsel for the accused is present through video conferencing.

Proceedings against accused no. 2 Philip Toppo, accused No. 3 Sumer Chand Garg and accused No. 5 Ashok Malhotra stood abated as they have expired.

Accused No. 4 Atul Vashist is present on bail with Ld. Counsel Sh. Manjeet Godara.

Accused No. 6 Ashok Jain, accused No. 8 Lal Mani, accused No. 9 Ram Chander Arora and accused No. 12 R.S. Sandhu are present on bail with Ld. Counsle Sh. Hitender Kapur.

Accused No. 7 Mohan Lal is present on bail.

Accused No. 10 Vijay Kumar is present on bail with Ld. Legal Aid Counsel Ms. Nazima Siddique.

Accused No. 11 Surjeet Singh is present on bail with Ld. Legal Aid Counsel Sh. Baljinder Singh, Advocate.

As per record, an application on behalf of CBI under Section 311 Cr.P.C for filing certain additional documents is pending consideration.

By way of said application, Ld. Senior PP for CBI seeks permission to file on record three more documents i.e (1) Survey List

of Gautam Nagar File No. 2. (2) Survey List of Gautam Nagar File No. 3 and, (3) Survey List of Thokar No. 16, JJ Colony, Shamshan Ghat, Geeta Colony. He says that first two documents got inadvertently filed with the chargesheet of RC No. 34(A)/2007, while the third document is lying in Malkhana, CBI as the same was also seized during investigation of RC No. 34(A)/2007.

Reply to said application under Section 311 Cr.P.C has been filed on behalf of accused Atul Vashisht by his counsel. Be taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to CBI.

Written Submissions have been filed on behalf of accused Lal Mani, accused Ashok Jain, accused Ravinder Singh Sandhu and accused Ram Chander Arora by their counsel on said application. Be taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to CBI.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel, Mr. Hitendaer Kapur that he does not want to file any reply to the aforesaid application and would oppose the same verbally. Ld. Counsel further submits that aforementioned documents were never filed with the chargesheet of RC No. 34(A)/2007, which led to ultimate discharge of accused in said RC No.

Let CBI to file copies of proposed documents alongwith relevant papers pertaining to their seizure before the next date of hearing.

Let the matter be relisted for **further arguments on 30.5.2022.**

(SUNENA SHARMA)
SPECIAL JUDGE (PC ACT) (CBI)
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURTS
NEW DELHI/13.5.2022