

**CBI Vs Shiv Narain Singh Sidhu & Ors.  
Case No.91/2019 (Old CC No. 128/11)**

**01.02.2021**

**Present : Sh. V.K. Pathak, Ld. Substitute Public Prosecutor for CBI  
alongwith Sub-Inspector Pawan Kumar, Holding Investigating  
Officer of the case.**

**All accused in person except accused No. 2 Philip Toppo, No. 3  
Sumer Chand Garg and No. 5 Ashok Malhotra, as they have  
expired and proceedings against them already stand abated.**

**Sh. Shaurya Lamba, Advocate, proxy for Sh. Anindya Malhotra,  
Advocate, Counsel for accused S.N.S. Sidhu.**

**Sh. Hitender Kapur, Advocate, Counsel for accused Lal Mani,  
R.S.Sandhu and Ram Chander Arora.**

No prosecution witness is present for today. Request has been received from Head of Branch, CBI, ACB, Chandigarh on behalf of witness Raj Singh, Additional SP, CBI, ACB, Chandigarh with the request that witness being busy in connection with secret operation. A perusal of the record shows that witness Raj Singh invariably did not come to the Court and sent request just as sent today through Head of the Branch, CBI, ACB, Chandigarh. Moreover, the request has not been made to the Court rather the same addressed to the Head of the Branch, CBI, ACB, New Delhi with the request to apprise the Court and no formal report has come. Therefore, the request is declined and witness Raj Singh be called through aailable warrant in the sum of Rs.5,000/- to be executed through Head of Branch, CBI, ACB, Chandigarh, returnable for the next date of hearing. Request has also been received from witness Dilip Thakur being unable to come to the Court as he is out of town.

In view of the above, list the matter for prosecution evidence on **25.02.2021** i.e. physical date of hearing. Ld. Public Prosecutor for CBI to take steps for summoning of sufficient number of witnesses for the next date of hearing.

**(VIMAL KUMAR YADAV)  
Special Judge (PC Act), CBI-01,  
Rouse Avenue District Court,**

