

IA No.49/26

CBI Vs. Abhishek Gupta & Ors. (Applicant Harvinder Singh)

FIR No.RC0482024S0008

U/s 61(2) & 105 BNS 2023

13.04.2026

**File taken up today on the application filed by applicant
Harvinder Singh.**

Present: Sh. Prashant Sharma, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

**Sh Jatin Yadav, Ld. Counsel for applicant.
Applicant Harvinder Singh is present on bail
(through VC).**

1. This is an application filed on behalf of applicant Harvinder Singh for seeking grant of permission to travel outside India from 18.04.2026 to 26.04.2026 and applicant also prayed for reducing the surety amount to Rs. 2 Lakhs.

2. Reply on behalf of CBI has been filed and copy of the same is supplied to the Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

3. Ld. Counsel for applicant submitted that they were not pressing their prayer for reducing the surety amount to Rs. 2 Lakhs at present. Ld. Counsel for the applicant submitted that applicant Harvinder Singh wishes to travel outside India from 18.04.2026 to 26.04.2026 for vacation purpose. It is also stated that on previous two occasions also such permission was granted on 28.05.2025 and 17.11.2025 in favour of the applicant and he never misused the same.

4. Ld. Senior Public Prosecutor appearing for CBI had submitted that the Ministry of External Affairs issued GSR 570 (E) dated 25.08.1993, in order to give relief to such applicants against whom criminal proceedings are pending before any Court of law in India but who need to travel abroad for some urgent business/ expentional circumstances. It is further contented by Ld. Senior PP that accused has sought permission citing reasons which do not appear to fall under the category of urgent or exceptional circumstances as contemplated under the relevant rules and notification. The accused is facing serious criminal proceedings before this Hon'ble court, and if permitted to travel aboard again, there exists a genuine apprehension that he may flee from India and may not return, thereby hampering the ongoing trial and the due process of law.

5. I have heard the Ld. Counsel for applicant and Ld. Sr. P.P. for CBI and have also gone through the records.

6. In the present case, it is apparent on perusal of the record that vide orders dated 28.05.2025 and 17.11.2025 applicant/ accused was granted permission to travel outside India subject to certain conditions and he did not misuse the same. The charge sheet in the present case was filed and cognizance of offences under sections 105, 61 (2) of BNS, 2023 was taken vide order dated 04.12.2024 and the applicant was granted interim bail vide orders dated 13.09.2024. Subsequently, the bail of the applicant was confirmed as regular vide order dated 21.01.2025 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

7. It is apparent from the reply filed by the prosecution as well as from the record that after grant of bail to the applicant, the applicant has never been accused of having attempted to avoid joining the investigation or had not cooperated with the investigations or had tried to flee from the country. However, taking into consideration the apprehension of the investigating agency of the accused fleeing from justice, a balance needs to be drawn between the apprehension of CBI of applicant fleeing from justice viz-a-viz right of applicant to travel abroad.

8. In view thereof permission is granted to applicant Harvinder Singh to travel to Bangkok, Thailand for nine days from 18.04.2026 to 26.04.2026 subject to following conditions:-

(i) That he shall furnish the itinerary of his visit to this court.

(ii) That he will not try to influence the witnesses if any, in the country that he is visiting,

(iii) Applicant shall report his arrival to India to this Court immediately on his return i.e. within a period of two days.

(iv) He shall not visit any other country during the said period.

(v) He shall submit complete address of his stay/copy of tickets along with the active mobile

number in Bangkok Thailand to the IO/HIO through Ld. P.P. before his departure.

(vi) He shall furnish additional FDR in the sum of Rs.10 lakhs in his name prior to his departure and shall also furnish an NOC from his surety in the Court. It is clarified that the same shall be forfeited to the State in case any of the information furnished by the applicant is found to be incorrect as per verification, if any, at the end of the CBI, any state.

(vii) In no eventuality, his request for extension for staying abroad shall be entertained.

(viii) This permission shall be subject to any other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any authority except for showing the permission from this court only.

The present application is accordingly disposed off.

Copy of this order be given *dasti* to the applicant as well as to the Senior PP for CBI.

(Dinesh Bhatt)
Principal District & Sessions Judge-
cum-Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI),
Rouse Avenue District Court
New Delhi/13.04.2026