

CBI V. Abhishek Gupta & Ors.
RC-048 2024 S 0008
CBI No. 36/2025

07.01.2026

Present: Sh. Prashant Kumar Sharma Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused Abhishek Gupta (A-1), Accused Deshpal Singh (A-2), Accused Parvinder Singh (A-3), Accused Sarabjit Singh (A-4), accused Tajinder Singh (A-5), Accused Harvinder Singh (A-6) , Accused Arnav Kumar Datta (A-7), Accused Udai Vir Singh (A-8), Accused Ved Pal(A-9) and Accused Ashok Narang (A-10) are present on bail.

Sh. Anirudh Krishan Gandhi and Sh. Abhishek Kurian,
Ld. Counsels for A-1, A-2 & A-10

Sh. Jatin Yadav, Sh. Daksh Gupta, Sh. Parimal Bhatia
and Sh. Kaushal Kait, Ld. Counsels for A-3, A-4, A-5,
A-6.

Ms. Ritu Singh Mann and Sh. Sandeep Chauhan, Ld.
Counsels for A-8.

Sh. Bharat Chugh and Sh. Maanish Choudhary, Ld.
Counsels for A-9.

Sh. Abhijit Anand, Ld. Counsel on behalf of J. Dalvin
Suresh, father of deceased Nevin J Dalvin. (through VC)

Ms. Vanshita, Ld. Counsel for victim Rajender Yadav
Father of Sherya Yadav.

IA No. 42/26

An application u/s 230 BNSS, 2023 has been filed by the counsel for the Victim Nevin J Dalvin for supply of unrelieved documents as mentioned in supplementary chargesheet, relied and

unrelied documents which has been supplied to all the other parties except the instant victim. Copy of the application be handed over from the court record to the Ld. Sr. PP. Put up on **08.01.2026** for reply/consideration.

IA 43/26

An application seeking release of fixed deposit receipt furnished in terms of orders dated 17.11.2025 and 20.11.2025 has been moved on behalf of accused/applicant Harvinder Singh. Copy of the application supplied. Put up on **08.01.2026** for reply/consideration.

IA 35 /25

Ld. Counsel for the victim Nevin Dalvin has filed the copy of the order dated 09.12.2025 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, as per which the victim/complainant has been granted liberty to seek documents of the present case in accordance with law. Ld. Counsel for the victim has stated that he has received all the documents relating to the chargesheet as well as supplementary chargesheet. However, hard copy of some of the documents are not legible and he has not received the soft copy of some of the documents.

Ld. Sr. PP for CBI states that he would ask the IO to appear before the court and supply all the copies of the illegible documents and for that purpose the counsel for the victim may be directed to appear in person to check the documents as well as give his satisfaction in regard to the documents.

In regard to the document case diary i.e. D-33, which is part of the relied upon documents, Ld. Sr. PP states that he had already

supplied the documents to all the parties and would again supply a copy to the counsel for the victim tomorrow.

Ld. Counsel for the Victim states that he may be provided all the unrelieved documents by the CBI. Ld. Sr. PP has stated that as per law, only relied upon documents are to be supplied and they have also supplied list of unrelieved documents. Further as per directions of the court vide order dated 13.11.2025, they have already allowed all the parties to inspect the unrelieved documents and the court may direct them to produce the documents but the parties cannot claim all the unrelieved documents as a matter of right at this stage.

Ld. Counsel for the victim states that the court should not proceed to hear the arguments on charge till he is given all the documents including the unrelieved documents and should not allow even the prosecution to start the arguments on charge.

Ld. Sr. PP for CBI states that they have already supplied all the relevant documents and as per law have also supplied the list of unrelieved documents. Complainant was also allowed an opportunity to inspect the unrelieved documents as per the order dated 13.11.2025.

Ld. Counsel for the accused persons state that the trial should not be delayed and the arguments on charge may kindly be proceeded so that the trial is not delayed and complainant can always compliment the arguments of prosecution after they receive the documents tomorrow.

In the interest of justice and balancing the interest of the parties as well as accused persons, arguments on charge can be started. Prosecution to open the arguments and complainant/applicant be provided all clear copies and will also be granted opportunity to address their arguments after prosecution complete their arguments.

Ld. Counsel for victim Nevin Dalvin states that he may be granted time to argue on charge and requested the date on 09.01.2026.

Ld. Sr. PP for CBI advanced part arguments on charge.

IO and Ld. Counsel for the applicant to appear in person on next date for compliance regarding the illegible/incomplete documents.

Put up for further arguments on charge on behalf of CBI and for pending applications on **08.01.2026 (date already fixed)**, on **09.01.2026** on behalf of victim and w.e.f **12.01.2026 to 17.01.2026 (dates already fixed)** on behalf of accused persons as per their convenience.

(Dinesh Bhatt)
Principal District & Sessions Judge-
cum-Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI),
Rouse Avenue District Court
New Delhi/07.01.2026