

**IN THE COURT OF MS. NIRJA BHATIA, SPECIAL JUDGE, PC ACT
(CBI)-03, ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURT, NEW DELHI**

CBI Vs. Manjeet Footwear Industries Pvt. Ltd.
CC No. 83/2019

02.12.2020

Pr. (on screen):

Sh. Praneet Sharma, Id. Sr. PP for CBI.

A-1 Manjeet Footwear Industries Pvt. Ltd. (through its Director Mohanjit Singh Mutneja) is present.

A-2 Mohanjit Singh Mutneja and A-3 Gunjit Singh Mutneja are present alongwith Id. Counsel Sh. Rohit Priya Ranjan.

A-4 Harmendra Singh is present along with Id. counsel Sh. K.K. Sharma.

A-5 K.N. Aithal is present along with Id. counsel Sh. Ajay Kumar Tyagi

A-6 U.R. Adiga present along with Id. counsel Ms. Sunita Sharma.

A-7 S. Hariharan present alongwith Id. Counsels Sh. Atul Batra and Sh. Kundan Mishra.

A-8 Rajnish Kr. Srivastava is present along with Id. counsel Sh. Arun Sharma.

A-9 Ravi Malhotra is present along with Id. counsel Sh. Naveen Malhotra

Matter is taken up through Video Conferencing hosted by Sh. Ashok Kumar, Reader of the court in terms of orders of Hon'ble High Court bearing No. R-235/RG/DHC/2020 dated 16.05.2020 and 16/DHC/2020 dated 13.06.2020.

Sh. Rohit Ranjan, Id. Counsel for A-1 Gunjit Singh Mutneja and A-2 Mohanjit Singh Mutneja seeks to withdraw his Vakalatnama. A-1 and A-2 seeks permission to have a talk with their counsel as they say that they were not informed earlier.

Ld. Counsel appearing for accused persons submits that they have tendered their written submissions in defence.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel Sh. Vijay Kumar Jain submits that rebuttal submissions have not been supplied by ld. Sr.PP despite assurance. On the last date of hearing also, directions were made for supplying the copy of rebuttal submissions. However, now, it is stated by ld. Sr.PP that the rebuttal submissions are under preparation as they are to be vetted signed and filed before the Court before the copy is made available to the ld. Defence counsel. It is a new plea taken as on earlier occasion no such submission was made. Considerable time has passed. In order to curtail delay as it is also submitted by ld. Defence counsel Sh. Manoj V. George that they are under anxiety that due to lapse of considerable time, the court may overlook a portion of arguments and material documents.

Having regard to the aforesaid, one opportunity is being made available to both sides for completing their arguments/rebuttal arguments oral and/or by way of submissions in writing.

Since the written arguments are already on record, in the absence of necessary compliance or further arguments for which opportunity is given, matter may be proceeded with the judgment.

Put up for the above-said purpose on **18.12.2020**.

(NIRJA BHATIA)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-03,
RADC/New Delhi /02.12.2020