

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HASAN ANZAR,  
SPECIAL JUDGE, PC ACT (CBI-03),  
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURT, NEW DELHI

*Complaint Case No. 11/2020*  
*IA No. 17/2024*  
*(Application of applicant/accused Vikas Garg)*  
*ECIR 10DZ-1/2017*  
*Enforcement Directorate (ED) Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.*

12.07.2024

**ORDER**

1. This is an application filed on behalf of A-13 Vikas Garg for permission to travel abroad to UK/London from 13<sup>th</sup> July to 21<sup>st</sup> July 2024. It is stated in the application that applicant Vikas Garg is heading a conglomerate known as Vikas Group. It is also stated that applicant is a director of M/s Vikas Life Care Pvt. Ltd. It is also averred that applicant is producing a movie titled as “Lioness” and the said movie is to be extensively shot in London and a communication to this respect was received on 08.07.2024 by Teamo Productions and the presence of the applicant is required immensely as crucial matters cannot be discussed without his presence. It is also stated in the application that applicant has not violated the conditions as and when he was granted permission to travel abroad.

2. The application is opposed by ED by relying upon the detailed reply filed by it. The reply in question apart from detailing the manner in which the

offence is stated to have been committed stresses on the fact that there is an evidence to show that applicant is involved in the commission of offence. It is also asserted that no urgency is disclosed in the application and the absence of the applicant shall delay the proceedings and therefore, the application is liable to be dismissed.

3. I have considered the submissions made on behalf of both the sides. Perusal of the record indicates that applicant/accused was granted permission to travel abroad number of times and no fact or events had been disclosed either in the reply or during the submissions that applicant has violated any condition qua the permission to travel abroad. The applicant has sufficiently detailed the reasons in respect of his presence at UK/London and moreover, the record shows that except for the mere apprehension, there is no material on record to indicate that applicant shall flee from justice or shall not make himself available for the proceedings/trial.

4. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances, the present application is allowed and the applicant Vikas Garg is permitted to travel abroad UK/London from 13<sup>th</sup> July to 21<sup>st</sup> July 2024 subject to the following conditions :

**(1) Applicant/accused shall furnish security in the form of FDR/bank guarantee for a sum of Rs.20 Lakhs in the name of the court i.e. Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), RADC, New Delhi alongwith one surety bond in Rs.20 Lakh to the satisfaction of the court.**

(2) Applicant shall also inform the court of his arrival in India from abroad within 24 hours of his return.

(3) In any eventuality, applicant/accused will not request for extension for staying abroad.

(4) He shall neither tamper with the evidence nor try to influence any witness in any manner and will not use the permission granted to him contrary to the rules.

(5) This permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and will not be deemed as directions to any other Authority except the permission from the side of the court.

(6) He will file his itinerary alongwith details of the places where he would stay and the contact numbers of the persons/place where he will stay before leaving the country.

(7) Applicant shall not change the venue of stay mentioned therein without prior intimation.

(8) His surety/Ld. Counsel will undertake to accept notice(s), if any, on behalf of the applicant/accused in his absence.

(9) He will not create any third party interest in any of his immovable properties situated in India or abroad without permission of the court during this period.

(10) The applicant/accused shall be available through VC/mobile phone as and when required by IO or this Court, subject to availability of network connection.

(11) Neither he nor his counsel will object to the carrying of the trial/inquiry during the absence of the applicant/accused no. 13.

(12) The applicant/accused shall file photocopies of the travel tickets and visa permission, if any.

(13) In case of violation of above mentioned conditions, the bank guarantee/FDR/surety bond amount shall be forfeited to the State.

(14) The LOC, if any, shall remain suspended till 21.07.2024. IO is directed to send necessary intimation to the appropriate authority in this regard, if required.

(15) A separate intimation be also sent to the Deputy Director (Immigration), Bureau of Immigration, East Block-VIII, Sector-1, Rama Krishna Puram, New Delhi-110066 to take steps for suspension of LOC, if any (Vide Order dated 12.03.2024 passed by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in a case titled as "Ramesh Kumar Vs. Union of India & Anr.", W.P.(C) 3242/2024).

5. The application stands disposed of accordingly.
6. Copy of this order be given dasti to the applicant/accused as well as ED, as prayed.

**(HASAN ANZAR)**  
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-03,  
RADC/New Delhi/12.07.2024