

Enforcement Directorate Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.
CT Case No. 11/2020
(IA no. 48/26)
(Applicant/Accused Jagmohan Kejriwal)

08.05.2026

ORDER

1. This order shall dispose the application on behalf of applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal seeking permission to travel/ visit USA w.e.f. 08.06.2026 to 20.06.2026.

2. It is contended that applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal seeks permission to travel to USA w.e.f. 08.06.2026 to 20.06.2026 for attending convocation ceremony (scheduled for 13.06.2026) of his youngest son Sh. Abhinav Kejriwal, who is currently pursuing Master of Business Management (MBA) program at the Stanford Graduate School of Business, Standard University, California, USA.

3. It is contended by Id. Counsel that applicant undertakes that the visit will not affect the ongoing proceedings and he shall comply with all the terms and conditions as imposed by the Court. The applicant has deep roots in the society and is a law abiding citizen.

4. It is further submitted that the applicant/accused has been duly complying with the bail conditions and other conditions imposed by this court.

..2..

5. It is submitted on behalf of the Id. Counsel for applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal that the properties equivalent to an amount of Rs. 60 crores were already attached by the Enforcement Directorate and the details of which are mentioned at page number 10 & 12 of prosecution complaint and the said submission is not disputed.

6. It is further submitted that applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal was granted permission to travel abroad by the Court trialing the predicate offence.

7. Reply has been filed by the Enforcement Directorate to the above application of the applicant whereby it is submitted by Enforcement Directorate that the applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal was the Managing Director of GVPL and had conspired with his wife. It is stated that the applicant/accused is involved in commission of grave economic offence and there is material/evidence on record to show his complicity in the commission of offence. Ld. SPP for ED has relied upon following judgments: (i) *“Y.S Jagan Mohan Reddy v. CBI, (2013) 7 SCC 439”* (ii) *Nitin Sandesara vs. Directorate of Enforcement & Ors. In W.P. 7559/2017.*

8. It is further contended that Enforcement Directorate has opposed the aforesaid application stating that there is reasonable apprehension that applicant may abscond/flee and will not be available for the

..3..

proceedings before the court and may try to influence witnesses and shall hamper the trial, if he is permitted to go abroad.

9. I have considered the submissions made on behalf of both the sides.

10. The applicant/accused has given the reason to travel to USA w.e.f. 08.06.2026 to 20.06.2026 for attending convocation ceremony of his son Sh. Abhinav Kejriwal. Moreover, the ensuing summer vacation shall start from 10.06.2026 and as such the absence of applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal during the aforesaid period shall not cause any delay in the progress of the trial. The objection of the Enforcement Directorate is only based on the apprehension that if the applicant is permitted to travel abroad then the same would hamper the trial is not supported with any material on record. There are no compelling and justifiable reason to deny the permission to applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal to travel abroad. The applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal had placed on record the printout of email dated 16.04.2026 extending invitation for Stanford commencement weekend and the schedule of the same is also provided.

11. The coordinate court dealing with the predicate offence, vide order dated 04.05.2026, granted permission to applicant/accused Jagmohan Kejriwal to travel USA w.e.f. 08.06.2026 to 20.06.2026.

12. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances and

..4..

in view of the above-mentioned discussion, applicant Jagmohan Kejriwal is permitted to travel USA w.e.f. 08.06.2026 to 20.06.2026, subject to the following terms and conditions:-

- a) Applicant/Accused Jagmohan Kejriwal shall furnish security in the form of FDR/Bank Guarantee for a sum of Rs. 6 Lakhs in the name of the court i.e. Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi alongwith one surety bond of Rs. 3 Lakhs to the satisfaction of the court.
- b) He shall inform the Court of his arrival in India from USA within 24 hours of her return.
- c) In any eventuality, accused will not request for extension for staying abroad.
- d) He shall neither tamper with the evidence nor try to influence any witness in any manner and will not use the permission granted to his contrary to the rules.
- e) This permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and will not be deemed as directions to any other authority except the permission from the side of the court.
- f) Applicant shall submit the address of his stay in USA w.e.f. 08.06.2026 to 20.06.2026 alongwith telephone/contact numbers and e-mail address and shall be available on phone/mobile phone as and when contacted by competent official from Enforcement Directorate. He will also file schedule of his travel to USA at the time of submitting FDR/Bank Guarantee/surety bond, as above.
- g) His counsel/surety will undertake to accept notice(s), if any, on behalf of the applicant in his absence.
- h) This permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and will not be deemed as directions to any other authority except the permission from the side of the court.

..5..

i) The applicant shall furnish the details of immovable properties held in India or abroad. He will not create any third party interest in any of his immovable properties situated in India or abroad without permission of the court during this period.

j) Neither applicant or his counsel will object to the carrying of the trial/ inquiry during the absence of accused Jagmohan Kejriwal.

k) In case of any of the above conditions are violated, the bank guarantee/FDR/surety bond amount shall be forfeited to the State.

l) The LOC, if any, shall remain suspended till 20.06.2026. IO is directed to send necessary intimation to the appropriate authority in this regard, if required.

m) A separate intimation be also sent to the Deputy Director (Immigration), Bureau of Immigration, East Block-VIII, Sector-1, Rama Krishna Puram, New Delhi-110066 to take steps for suspension of LOC, if any (Vide Order dated 12.03.2024 passed by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in a case titled as "Ramesh Kumar Vs. Union of India & Anr.", W.P.(C) 3242/2024).

13. The application (*IA No. 48/2026*) stands disposed of accordingly.

14. Copy of this order be given dasti to the applicant/accused as well as Enforcement Directorate, as prayed.

(HASAN ANZAR)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-03,
RADC/New Delhi/08.05.2026