

**IN THE COURT OF SH. HASAN ANZAR,
SPECIAL JUDGE, PC ACT (CBI)-03,
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURT, NEW DELHI**

Enforcement Directorate Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.

CT No. 11/2020

I.A.No. 32/2025

(A-22/Applicant B.L. Bengani)

02.04.2025

Present : Shri Atul Tripathi, Ld. Special PP for ED (Through VC).
Shri Mayank Sethi, Ld. Counsel for applicant/A-22 B.L. Bengani.

1. This is an application filed on behalf of applicant/A-22 B.L. Bengani for permission to travel abroad to Singapore on 11.04.2025.
2. Ld. Special PP for the Enforcement Directorate submits that he has received the copy of the application filed on behalf of the applicant/A-22 B.L. Bengani. It is submitted that Enforcement Directorate does not wish to file any reply to the application and the Ld. Special PP for the Enforcement Directorate has orally argued the application.
3. Arguments on the application for travel abroad heard.
4. To come up for orders in the post lunch session.

(HASAN ANZAR)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-03
RADC/New Delhi/02.04.2025

2
IN THE COURT OF SH. HASAN ANZAR,
SPECIAL JUDGE, PC ACT (CBI)-03,
ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURT, NEW DELHI

Enforcement Directorate Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.

CT No. 11/2020

I.A.No. 32/2025

(A-22/Applicant B.L. Bengani)

02.04.2025 (In the Post Lunch Session)

ORDER

1. This is an application moved on behalf of applicant/accused no. 22 B.L. Bengani seeking permission to travel Singapore on 11.04.2025 and returned back on the same day.

2. It is contended in the application that applicant/A-22 B.L. Bengani seeks permission to travel Singapore on 11.04.2025 for business meetings. It is also contended that the applicant is a resident of Chennai and has fully cooperated with the investigating agency and has never caused any impediment in the investigation. It is further contended that in the past also, the applicant/A-22 had traveled abroad after taking permission from the court vide orders dated 07.06.2022 and 07.05.2024 and he has not misused the concession granted by the court. It is also contended that applicant has deep roots in the Society and as such there are no chances of the applicant's absconding from the trial or not returning back to India.

3. No reply was filed by the Enforcement Directorate, however, Ld. Special PP for the Enforcement Directorate opposed the application by making submission orally, stating that there is every likelihood that in order to avoid the process of law/trial, the applicant/A-22 might not make himself available for trial and, therefore, the application is liable to be rejected.

4. I have considered the submissions made on behalf of both the sides. Perusal of the record indicates that applicant/A-22 B.L. Bengani was granted permission to travel abroad vide order dated 07.06.2022 and 07.05.2024 and he had not violated any condition qua the permission to travel abroad. The applicant has sufficiently detailed the reasons in respect of his presence at Singapore and moreover, the record shows that except for the mere apprehension, there is no material on record to indicate that applicant shall flee from justice or shall not make himself available for the proceedings/trial.

5. The applicant has given all particulars including the reason for such a visit and, therefore, considering the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case, the applicant/accused B.L. Bengani (A-22) is permitted to travel to Singapore for 11.04.2025, subject to the following conditions :-

a) Applicant/A-22 B.L. Bengani shall furnish security in the form of FDR/Bank Guarantee for a sum of Rs.10 Lakhs in

the name of the court i.e. **Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi** alongwith one surety bond of Rs. 10 Lakhs to the satisfaction of the court.

b) In any eventuality, accused will not request for extension for staying abroad.

c) He shall neither tamper with the evidence nor try to influence any witness in any manner and will not use the permission granted to him contrary to the rules.

d) The applicant shall submit the address of his stay in Singapore on 11.04.2025 alongwith telephone/contact numbers and e-mail address(s). He will also file schedule of his travel to Singapore at the time of submitting FDR/Bank Guarantee/surety bond.

e) His counsel/surety will undertake to accept notice(s), if any, on behalf of the applicant in his absence.

f) This permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and will not be deemed as directions to any other authority except the permission from the side of the court.

g) He will not create any third party interest in any of his immovable properties situated in India or abroad without permission of the court during this period.

h) In case of any of the above conditions are violated, the bank guarantee/FDR/surety bond amount shall be forfeited to the State.

i) The LOC, if any, shall remain suspended on 11.04.2025. IO is directed to send necessary intimation to the appropriate

authority in this regard, if required.

j) A separate intimation be also sent to the Deputy Director (Immigration), Bureau of Immigration, East Block-VIII, Sector-1, Rama Krishna Puram, New Delhi-110066 to take steps for suspension of LOC, if any (Vide Order dated 12.03.2024 passed by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in a case titled as "Ramesh Kumar Vs. Union of India & Anr.", W.P. (C) 3242/2024).

6. With the aforementioned observations, the application (IA No. 32/2025) stands disposed of accordingly.

7. Copy of this order be given *dasti* to the applicant/accused as well as ED, as prayed.

(HASAN ANZAR)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-03
RADC/New Delhi/02.04.2025