

CC No. 31/2022
CNR No. DLCT11-000266-2022
RC No. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND
CBI v. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.

09.12.2025

(Present proceedings have been conducted on Hybrid Mode)

Present: Sh. Raj Kamal, Ld. PP for CBI, physically present.
A-1 Sachin Chauhan, A-2 Kuldeep, A-3 Vikram Mishra,
A-4 Amit Kumar, A-5 Dipu Kumar, A-6 Sanjay @
Sanjay Kadian and Sh. S. Athmanandha Perumal, AR of
A-7 M/s Sify Technologies, through VC.
Sh. Aditya Sachdeva, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Sachin
Chauhan, physically present.
Ms. Aarushi Srivastava, Ld. Proxy Counsel for A-2
Kuldeep, through VC.
Sh. Keshav Sharma, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for A-3
Vikram Mishra, physically present.
Ms. Arya Pathak, Ld. Proxy Counsel for A-4 Amit
Kumar, through VC.
Sh. Siddharth Kumar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for A-5 Dipu
Kumar, physically present.
Ms. Summi Singh, Proxy Counsel for A-6 Sanjay @
Sanjay Kadian, through VC.
Sh. S. S. Ahluwalia and Sh. Nishant Varun, Ld.
Counsels for A-7 M/s Sify Technologies, physically
present.

1. On 01.11.2025, Ld. PP for CBI, in the light of order dt. 25.10.2025, had filed an application for submission of additional documents along with a bunch of documents (D-53, D-54, D-55, and D-56), a list of additional witness as well as a statement dt. 19.12.2018 under section 161 of CrPC of Mr. Amit Goel.
2. On 01.11.2025 itself, Ld. Sr. Advocate Mr. Siddharth Aggarwal appearing on behalf of A-7 had submitted that he had no objection if the said application of the CBI was allowed.
3. Today, on behalf of A-1 Sachin Chauhan, his counsel Sh.

Aditya Sachdeva submits at Bar that he has no objection if the aforesaid application of CBI is allowed.

4. On behalf of **A-3** Vikram Mishra, his learned Legal Aid Counsel Sh. Keshav Sharma too submits at Bar today that he has no objection if the aforesaid application of CBI is allowed.

5. On behalf of A-5 Dipu Kumar, his counsel Sh. Siddharth Kumar also submits at Bar today that even he has no objection if the aforesaid application of CBI is allowed.

6. However, on behalf of A-2, A-4 and A-6, there is a request of adjournment. Their respective counsels state that they have not yet received the copy of the aforesaid application of the CBI. It seems that the respective counsels who have appeared today before this Court through Video Conference have done so merely to take an adjournment. On 01.11.2025 itself, it had been directed that *Ld. Counsels who are appearing through video conference may collect it from the Court record against acknowledgment*. However, despite lapse of more than a month, the needful is not yet done on the part of A-2, A-4 and A-6. It seems that they do not even read the Orders of this Court. The Court Orders apparently make no impression on them. Respective counsels for A-2, A-4 and A-6 are requested to collect their respective copies of the aforesaid application and submit their response if any positively by day after tomorrow.

7. List the matter for reply/arguments on the application on 11.12.2025.

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RACC/New Delhi/09.12.2025