

CC No. 31/2022
CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022
RC No. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND
CBI v. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.

01.11.2025

(Present proceedings have been conducted on Hybrid Mode)

Present: Sh. Raj Kamal, Ld. PP for CBI, physically present.
IO/Inspector Animesh along with HIO/Inspector
Avinash Gupta.
A-1 Sachin Chauhan, A-2 Kuldeep, A-3 Vikram Mishra,
A-4 Amit Kumar and A-6 Sanjay @ Sanjay Kadian,
through VC.
A-5 Dipu Kumar not present.
Sh. S. Athmanandha Perumal, AR of A-7 M/s Sify
Technologies, through VC.
Aditya Sachdeva, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Sachin Chauhan,
through VC.
Sh. Nitish Gupta, Ld. Counsel for A-2 Kuldeep,
through VC.
Sh. Keshav Sharma, Ld. Legal Aid Counsel for A-3
Vikram Mishra, physically present.
Proxy counsel Ms. Arya Pathak for A-4 Amit Kumar,
through VC
Ms. Summi Singh, Proxy Counsel for A-6 Sanjay @
Sanjay Kadian, through VC.
Senior Advocate Sh. Siddharth Aggarwal along with S. S.
Ahluwalia and Nishant Varun, Ld. Counsels for A-7 M/s
Sify Technologies, physically present.

1. At the very outset, Ld. PP for CBI, in the light of order dt. 25.10.2025, files on record an application for submission of additional documents along with a bunch of documents (D-53, D-54, D-55, and D-56), a list of additional witness as well as a statement dt. 19.12.2018 under section 161 of CrPC of Mr. Amit Goel. He also files on record '*Reply to Queries made by Ld. Court*'. Copy of the entire set, except for the bunch of documents, supplied to Ld. Counsels who are physically present before the Court. Ld. Counsels who are appearing through video conference may collect it from the Court record against acknowledgment.

2. Ld. Senior Advocate on behalf of A-7 M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. submits that he has no objection if this application is allowed. The other accused persons may respond to this application on the next date of hearing.

3. Insofar as the complaint dt. 04.03.2018 of SSC made to the CBI is concerned, it is the submission of Ld. PP for CBI that the same is part of the Preliminary Enquiry Report and which Preliminary Enquiry Report is not part of either the relied upon or the unrelieved upon documents. Ld. Senior Advocate for A-7 M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. submits that the complaint dt. 04.03.2018 is very much relevant for the reason that it forms the very basis of registration of this case and the same ought to be called/summoned by the Court. In response thereto, Ld. PP for CBI states that he will take instructions whether or not to file the said complaint dt. 04.03.2018. Ld. PP for CBI will apprise this Court about it on the next date of hearing, failing which this Court would pass the requisite order.

4. Further arguments on charge of Ld. Senior Advocate on behalf of A-7 M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. heard and concluded. A-7 M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. is at liberty to file brief written synopsis.

5. Ld. PP for CBI states that he would make submissions in rebuttal on the next date of hearing. Ld. PP for CBI may make his submissions in rebuttal in brief on the next date. The aforesaid application of CBI filed today shall come up for consideration on the next date. List on 24.11.2025.

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RADC/New Delhi/01.11.2025