

CC No. 31/2022

CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022

RC No. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND

CBI vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.

01.09.2025

(Present proceedings have been conducted on Hybrid Mode)

Present : Shri Raj Kamal, Ld. PP for CBI.

A-1 Sachin Chauhan in person, physically present.

A-2 Kuldeep, **A-3** Vikram Mishra, **A-4** Amit Kumar, **A-5** Dipu Kumar, **A-6** Sanjay @ Sanjay Kadian on bail, through VC.

Sh. S. Athmanandha Perumal, AR of **A-7** M/s Sify Technologies in person, through VC.

Counsel Aditya Sachdeva for **A-1** Sachin Chauhan, physically present.

Shri Nitish Gupta, Ld. Counsel for **A-2** Kuldeep, physically present.

DLSA Counsel Keshav Sharma for **A-3** Vikram Mishra, physically present.

Ld. Counsel Sh. Avi Kalra for **A-4** Amit Kumar, through VC.

Counsel Sh. Rahul Sand for **A-5** Dipu, through VC.

Ms. Summi Singh, proxy Counsel for **A-6** Sanjay @ Sanjay Kadian, physically present.

Sh. S. S. Ahluwalia, Ld. Counsel for **A-7** M/s Sify Technologies, through VC.

DLSA Counsel Keshav Sharma for **A-3** Vikram Mishra has been supplied e-copy of the chargesheet/documents today in a CD.

Submission on the point of charge on behalf of **A-5** Dipu heard. **A-5** Dipu was a contractual employee of M/s Sify Technologies and he was posted at an examination centre (M/s Ray Group of Institutions) at Dwarka, Delhi where **A-1** Sachin was writing his examination. The allegation, *inter alia*, is that the computer on which **A-1** Sachin was writing his examination, was on a remote access to some other system and consequently the

integrity of the examination process was compromised. Ld. Counsel for A-5 submits that there is no evidence to show that A-5 was telephonically in touch with A-3 Vikram on the date of examination i.e. 21.02.2018. He submits that the allegations of CBI that A-3 Vikram was in touch with A-1 Sachin for remote access is also without any evidence. It is further his submission that as against A-5 Dipu Kumar there is no witness to state that he had interfered with the computer system of A-1 Sachin; that there is no witness who states that A-5 Dipu had allegedly installed any software for remote access in the computer system of A-1 Sachin; that the CBI in this regard is relying on the statement of co-accused (A-1 Sachin) to allege that A-5 Dipu was the one who was instrumental in granting remote access from the computer system of A-1 Sachin; that there is no allegation that A-5 Dipu had password or any other credentials of the computer system in order to interfere with the same; that there is neither any evidence to show that A-5 Dipu was in any sort of conspiracy with A-1 Sachin or any other accused; that there are no telephonic calls between A-1 and A-5 to infer that they were conspiring to compromise the examination process; that there is no recovery of any incriminating item from A-5 Dipu; that there is no money trail linking A-5 Dipu; that all that is there against A-5 Dipu is the confessional statement of accused which cannot be received in evidence.

List on 10.09.2025 for arguments on charge on behalf of A-6 Sanjay @ Sanjay Kadian.

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RADC/New Delhi/01.09.2025