

CNR No. DLCT11-000254-2022
CBI No.34/2022
CBI Vs. SAURAV KUMAR SHARMA.

06.08.2022

Present : Ms. Shweta Dhingra Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused with Sh. Naveen Kumar, Advocate.

Reply to the bail application filed.

Arguments heard.

It has been argued by Ld. PP that the court has to see the merits of the case and not the fact that accused was not arrested during investigation as per the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI, Crl. Appeal No.5191 of 2021 dated 07.10.2021* and the accused in the present case is involved in heinous case of Disproportionate Assets and to give a message to the society, there is no reason that he should be enlarged on bail.

Ld. Defence counsel on the other hand, had relied upon the judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court passed in *Amanpreet Singh Vs. CBI , 2021 SCC Online SC 941* and *Sidharath Vs. State of UP (2022) 1 SCC 676*.

Considering the fact that there are no allegations that the accused did not cooperate in the investigation nor the fact that he try to influence the witnesses and most importantly, that he was not arrested by the Investigating Agency for more than one year from the date of alleged offence, he is admitted to bail on furnishing PB of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount.

Bail bond furnished and accepted.

Ld. Defence counsel has stated that he may be provided the list of unrelieved documents and statements. Let the IO be provided the same on the next date of hearing.

Put up for arguments on charge on **02.09.2022**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue Courts, New Delhi
06.08.2022