

MHCC020117202026



IN THE COURT OF SESSIONS FOR GREATER MUMBAI, AT MUMBAI
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2194 OF 2026

Rakesh Raju Varpeti

Age : 29 years, Occ. : Service,
R/at : Room No. 111,
Siddharth Rahivashi Sanghm,
Tata Nagar, Shivaji Nagar,
Mumbai 43.

...Applicant/accused

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra

(Through **Mankhurd**
Police Station, Mumbai.)

...Respondent

Appearances :-

Ld. Adv. Mr. Arjun Chaudhary for the Applicant/accused.

Ld. Addl. PP Mr. Pankaj Chavan for the Respondent/State.

**CORAM : H. H. THE ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE,
R. M. JADHAV (C.R. NO.22)**

DATED : 06th August, 2026

(O R A L O R D E R)

(Dictated and pronounced in the open Court)

This is an application for regular bail filed by applicant/accused **Rakesh Raju Varpeti** seeking bail in Crime No. 228/2026 registered with Mankhurd Police Station for the offence punishable under Sections 109,

118(1), 115(2), 352, 324(4), 351(3), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 with Section 142 of Maharashtra Police Act.

2. Heard the Ld. Advocate Mr. Arjun Chaudhary for applicant/accused and the Ld. Addl. PP Mr. Pankaj Chavan for the State. Gone through the copy of charge-sheet, police papers and case diary made available for perusal.

3. It appears that one Amrin Mohammad Shaikh gave report with Mankhurd Police Station on the basis of above, crime came to be registered. It is alleged in the report that on 01.05.2026 in between 00.30 to 00.45 hrs., at Lallubhai compound, Hiranandani, Building No. 07-B, informant park his tempo MH-03-DS-8911. At that time taking benefit of failure of electricity the co-accused Haddi broke the glass of informant's tempo. The informant and his nephew went to ask about this incident at that time the co-accused Rehan, Rio and Haddi abusing informant and his nephew and assaulted with hands. The co-accused Rehan and Rio assaulted to the informant and her nephew with bamboo on head respectively. Hence, this report.

4. As can be gathered from the recitals of the report, the name of the applicant/accused as Rakesh Raju Varpati along with his role is not mentioned in the report. The applicant/accused is not leveled with any allegations of assaulting the informant or his nephew with bamboo or any kind of weapon.

5. Be that as it may, the applicant/accused came to be arrested on 01.05.2026. After initial police custody, he was taken into judicial custody. Nothing remained to be recovered from the applicant/accused,

I found that the further custodial interrogation of the applicant/accused is not required for the purpose of any recovery or discovery as the case may be. Further the say of prosecution goes to show that investigation is completed and charge-sheet is filed in the crime. It will take time to commence the trial against the accused. Till then, no purpose would be served by detaining the applicant/accused behind bars.

6. Significant to mention here that, the say of prosecution fails to point out any criminal antecedent against the applicant/accused. The applicant/accused is middle age person of 29 years old. Hence, considering the nature and gravity of the offence, I hold that the applicant/accused has made out a case for grant of bail. The apprehension raised by the prosecution can be addressed by imposing suitable conditions upon the applicant/accused while releasing him on bail.

7. In sequel, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

1. Criminal Bail Application is allowed.
2. Applicant/accused **Rakesh Raju Varpeti** be released on bail on furnishing P.R. & S.B. of Rs.25,000/- along with surety in the like amount in connection with C.R. No.228/2026 registered with **Mankhurd** police station.
3. Applicant/accused shall attend the concerned Court on every fixed date of hearing.
4. Applicant/accused shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts

to the Court or to any Police Officer.

5. Applicant/accused shall submit his residence proof and contact number as well as the contact number of two persons related to him.
6. Applicant/accused shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.
7. Provisional cash bail is permitted for four weeks.
8. Breach of any of the condition would entail for cancellation of the bail.
9. Bail before Ld. JMFC.
10. Criminal Bail Application stands disposed of accordingly.

Date : 06.08.2026

**(R. M. JADHAV)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Sessions Court,
Gr. Bombay (C.R.No.22)**

Directly dictated on computer on : 06.08.2026
HHJ signed on : 06.08.2026

“CERTIFIED TO BE TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL SIGNED JUDGMENT/ORDER.”	
Upload Date	Name of Stenographer
06.08.2026	Mrs. Manasi Kadam
Name of the Judge (With Court Room No.)	HHJ R. M. JADHAV (CR 22)
Date of Pronouncement of JUDGMENT /ORDER	06.08.2026
JUDGMENT /ORDER signed by P.O. on	06.08.2026
JUDGMENT /ORDER uploaded on	06.08.2026