

MHST190002782026



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS
JUDGE, WAI

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 84 OF 2026

Vishnu Uttam Suryawanshi Vs. State of Maharashtra

Structured framework as per Order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Cr. Appeal No.825 of 2026 Arising out of SLP (Cri.) No.12669 of 2025) in Zeba Khan Vs. State of U.P

(A) CASE DETAILS

FIR No. & Date	C.R.No. 11/2026 dated 21.01.2026
Police Station District & State	Mahabaleshwer Police Station, Taluka Mahabaleshwar, District Satara, State- Maharashtra.
Section invoked	335, 336, 337, 338, 339 & 340, 61(2) R/w.3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 & S.83 of the Registration Act.
Maximum Punishment prescribed	S.337 of BNS : Imprisonment up to 7 years and fine. S.338: Imprisonment for life or imprisonment for 10 years and fine. S.83 of Registration Act: 7 years Imprisonment, a fine or both.

(B) CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE		
	Date of Arrest	29.01.2026
	Total period of custody undergone	6 Months 9 days

(C) STATUS OF TRIAL		
	Stage of proceeding (Investigation / Charge-sheet / Cognizance / Framing of Charges / Trial	Charge-sheet
	Total number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet.	--
	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	NA
(D) CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS		
	FIR No & Police Station	C.R.No.73/2014, Vani P.S., Dist.Nasik, Maharashtra
	Sections	420, 467, 468, 471 r/w.34 of IPC
	Status (Pending / Acquitted / Convicted)	Pending
(E) PREVIOUS BAIL APPLICATIONS		
	Court	Regular Bail Application
	Case No.	Crim.Bail A.No.34/2026
	Outcome of case	Rejected
(F) COERCIVE PROCESSES		
	Whether any Non-Bailable Warrant was issued	NA

	Whether declared as proclaimed offender	NA
--	---	----

ORDER BELOW EXH.1
(Passed on : 06.08.2026)

By this application, applicant-accused **Vishnu Uttam Suryawanshi** has prayed for regular bail.

2] It is submitted that, applicant is arrested in Crime No. 11/2026 registered in Mahabaleshwar Police Station, for the offences of forgery and cheating, punishable under Sections 83, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339 & 340, 3(5) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. In fact, he has not committed any offence and falsely implicated in this case. He is behind the bar since last more than six months. If he is released on bail, he will abide the conditions imposed by the Court. Charge-sheet is also filed and his physical presence is not necessary. Therefore, he prayed to release him on bail.

3] I.O & APP resisted the application by filing say at Exh.6. According to them, applicant has prepared forged power of attorney and by using it, sold out the land which was in the name of informant's father. This is serious crime, which the applicant has committed and for that, he prepared several false documents like power of attorney etc. Moreover, he is habitual offender and if released on bail, again he will commit identical offences. Therefore, Investigating Officer and APP prayed to reject the application.

4] According to prosecution story, applicant has prepared power of attorney in the name of informant's father and by using it he has sold out the land owned by informant's father to some third person. The say of Investigating Officer shows that the power of attorney prepared by applicant was unregistered. In fact, for executing sale deed through power of attorney holder, the documents of power of attorney must be registered as per Section 33-A of Indian Registration Act. But accused-applicant has used unregistered power of attorney, which clearly shows his intention to cheat informant's father.

5] Investigating Officer mentioned in his application that one crime bearing No.307/2016 is registered against applicant in Vijapur Naka Police Station of Solapur City for the offence P/u/s. 420 r/w. 34 of I.P.C. Moreover, in Vani Police Station at Nasik also, one crime of cheating is registered against him. The applicant has nowhere denied that one crime of cheating is registered in Solapur City. He has filed affidavit of criminal antecedent, in which he has mentioned that one crime in Vani police Station at Nasik is registered against him for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 & 471 of the Indian Penal Code. This crime is also registered regarding the forgery and cheating. Previous two crimes registered at Solapur and Nasik are also identical. It clearly shows that it is the tendency of the applicant-accused to prepare forged documents and cheat the people. If he is released on bail, definitely again he will commit the identical offences and therefore, this is not a fit case to release him on bail. Accordingly, the order.

ORDER

The application is rejected.

(Dictated and pronounced in open Court.)

Wai

Date: 06.08.2026

(B.D.Kadam)

Addl. Sessions Judge, Wai