

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE COURTS, DELHI.**

IA No. 12/2026

CC No. 39/2021

CBI Vs. Arun Dudi & Ors. (Applicant Akhilesh Mishra)

RC 221/2018/E/0002

PS CBI/EO-III, New Delhi

**U/s 120B r/w 420 & 201 IPC & 13 (2) r/w 13 (1) (d)
of P.C Act 1988**

ORDER

06.05.2026

1. This is an application moved on behalf of **Accused-2/applicant Akhilesh Mishra** seeking permission to travel abroad i.e. **United States of America from 13.05.2026 to 07.07.2026.**

1.1 It is, inter alia, pleaded in the application that the applicant herein has been falsely implicated in the present case which is registered on the basis of false complaint dated 08.01.2018. It is further pleaded on behalf of the applicant that for the past many years, he has been frequently travelling abroad for personal as well as professional reasons. He intends to travel U.S to visit his daughter and family including grand-daughter during the relevant period.

1.2 It is further pleaded that the accused/applicant has booked his tickets for the said visit which are annexed alongwith the application as Annexure-A. Details of travel as well as accommodation during the said travel period in the countries too are given in para-6 of the application.

1.3 It is further pleaded that the accused/applicant herein has previously sought permission to travel USA vide separate application which was allowed by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 05.08.2024 subject to certain conditions. The applicant herein adhered to the said terms and conditions and returned to India.

1.4 It is further pleaded that the applicant has deep roots in the society and has no criminal antecedents. He has family in India and owns valuable assets and properties in India. Hence, prayer is made for allowing the present application.

2. In the reply filed by the CBI, it is submitted that applicant herein is involved in the present case for the offences punishable under Section 120-B read with 420/201 IPC and Section 13 (2) r/w 13 (1) (d) of P.C Act. There are serious allegations against applicant being a public servant alongwith other public servants namely Arun Dudi, Pramod Mishra, Surender Singh Selal and other brokers/private persons acted in criminal conspiracy to defraud Oriental Insurance Company Ltd (OICL). He alongwith above-said persons dishonestly and fraudulently got re-insurance to three vessels (ships) against non-existing war insurance policy and got reinsurance of other three vessels on higher premium. This caused wrongful loss to OICL and corresponding gain to him as well as other co-accused persons to the tune of Rs. 1,30,43,876/-. The chargesheet in the matter is already filed and charges too have been framed against

the accused alongwith other co-accused persons and evidence in the matter is being recorded.

Other pleas in the application have been denied para-wise. Hence, prayer is made for dismissal of the application.

3. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant that there is bonafide necessity of applicant to travel U.S to visit his daughter and family including grand-daughter from 13.05.2026 to 07.07.2026. Further, it is argued that the applicant herein has co-operated with the investigation of the case and has even visited abroad on several occasions for personal as well as professional reasons.

4. Per contra, it is argued by Ld. Sr.PP for the CBI that accused/applicant has not given cogent reason for travelling abroad and is going there for a casual visit. Further, there is every possibility of accused/applicant herein fleeing away and not returning to India which will adversely affect the trial. Hence, prayer is made for dismissing the application.

5. **Heard and considered.**

6. Vide order dated 25.03.2022, accused/applicant herein was admitted to regular bail by Ld. Predecessor of this Court alongwith other co-accused persons. There is no restriction put for foreign travel. He was chargesheeted without being arrested during investigation.

6.1 Vide order dated 05.08.2024 accused/applicant herein was granted permission to travel abroad by the Court subject to certain conditions. The said order is perused.

7. The pleas taken by the CBI for opposing the present application that the applicant has not given any cogent reason to travel abroad and there is apprehension of accused fleeing away from the process of law or not returning India. As far as the ground for visiting abroad is concerned, the daughter of the applicant alongwith her family resides there. Even on the earlier occasion, he was granted permission to travel USA to visit his daughter who was blessed with a child at that time.

As far as the apprehension expressed by the CBI qua accused/applicant fleeing away from the process of law, the same is not forthcoming from the record. Till date, there is no complaint regarding misuse of liberty granted to him. The applicant herein complied with all the terms conditions contained in the said orders for travelling abroad.

8. In view of the facts and circumstances, **the application in hand is allowed and A-2/applicant Akhilesh Mishra is permitted to travel/ visit U.S.A between 13.05.2026 to 07.07.2026 subject to filing travelling details, tickets, itinerary etc besides the following conditions:**

- (i) **That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. FIVE LACS in the form of FDR in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount.**

- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 72 hours of his return and shall file copy of his passport showing departure and arrival back to India.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to him contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.
- (vi) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no adjournment shall requested on his behalf due to his absence.
- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit his addresses etc where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/
his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue District Courts,
New Delhi: 06.05.2026