

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE COURTS, DELHI.**

IA No. 11/2026

CC No. 39/2021

CBI Vs. Arun Dudi & Ors. (Applicant /A-3 Pramod Kumar)

RC 221/2018/E/0002

PS CBI/EO-III, New Delhi

**U/s 120B r/w 420 & 201 IPC &13 (2) r/w 13 (1) (d)
of P.C Act 1988**

26.02.2026

Present : Sh. Abhishek Kumar (**VC**), Ld. Counsel for
applicant/A-3 Pramod Kumar

Sh. Hari Mohan, Ld. Sr. Public Prosecutor for
CBI /**non-applicant**.

Inspector Lokesh on behalf of HIO /Inspector
Gaurav Yadav.

ORDER

1. This is an application moved on behalf of **Accused-3/applicant Pramod Kumar** seeking permission to travel abroad i.e **Canada from 07.04.2026 to 19.04.2026**.

1.1 It is, inter alia, pleaded in the application that son of the applicant namely Aryanshu is pursuing his Bachelor of Commerce-Accounting from University of Northern British Columbia, Canada. The applicant wants to visit his son in Canada to meet him, provide parental support and guidance during his academic tenure during the period 07.04.2026 to 19.04.2026 for which all the arrangements including travel

itinerary, accommodation and return tickets have duly been made. The copies of travelling documents are annexed as Annexure-I.

1.2 It is further pleaded that the accused/applicant is presently on bail and has never breached any condition imposed by the Court. He further undertakes to abide by the terms and conditions of travel permission so granted by the Court. Hence, prayer is made for granting permission to the accused to travel abroad during the relevant period.

2. In the reply filed by the CBI, it is submitted that applicant herein is involved alongwith public servants and broker companies and private persons on the charges of criminal conspiracy, criminal misconduct, cheating, destruction of evidence in the matter of re-insurance of six policies which resulted in wrongful loss to Oriental Insurance Company Ltd (OICL). The case is at the stage of prosecution evidence. Thus, the presence of the accused/ applicant is necessary for smooth conduct of the trial proceedings. The ground for visiting abroad is personal one and the visit is not necessary on the part of the accused/applicant. Lastly, it is submitted that if the accused leaves the jurisdiction of the Court, securing his presence may become difficult.

3. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant that there is bonafide necessity of applicant to visit abroad as his son studying there who needs parental guidance during academic tenure.

4. *Per contra*, it is argued by Ld. Sr.PP for the CBI that no necessity is shown by the accused/applicant to visit abroad and is a personal visit. Further, there is every possibility of accused/applicant herein fleeing away and not returning to India which will adversely affect the trial. Hence, prayer is made for dismissing the application.

5. Heard and considered.

6. Vide order dated 25.03.2022, accused/applicant herein was admitted to regular bail by Ld. Predecessor of this Court alongwith other co-accused persons. There is no restriction put for foreign travel. He was chargesheeted without being arrested during investigation.

6.1 The main ground taken by CBI opposing the present application is that the applicant/A-3 may flee away from the process of law and not return to India is not supported with any valid reasons or ground. The other plea of opposition that the said visit is a personal one too has no force as the applicant herein has earlier been granted permission to travel abroad vide order dated 18.04.2022 that to Canada for the purpose of his son's study. There is no report of his misuse of the said liberty and he has complied with all the conditions contained in the said order.

7. In view of the facts and circumstances, **the application in hand is allowed and A-3/applicant Parmod Kumar is permitted to travel Canada from 07.04.2026 to 19.04.2026 subject to filing travelling details, tickets, itinerary etc besides the following conditions:**

- (i) That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. FIVE LACS in the form of FDR in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount.
- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 72 hours of his return and shall file copy of his passport showing departure and arrival back to India.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to him contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.
- (vi) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no adjournment shall requested on his behalf due to his absence.
- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit his addresses etc where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/
his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
RADC: New Delhi:26.02.2026