

IA No. 14/25 (Applicant Praveen Kumar Gupta @ Praveen Gupta)

CT No. 29/2024

ED Vs. Shri Raj Mahal Jewellers Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.

21.11.2025

Present: Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. SPP along with Sh. Shubham Mishra Advocate for ED.

AEO Sunil Suthar is also present.

Sh. Siddharth Arora, Ld. Counsel for accused/applicant.
Accused/applicant (**through VC**).

This is an application filed on behalf of accused/applicant Praveen Kumar Gupta @ Praveen Gupta seeking permission to travel to United Kingdom from 20.11.2025 to 05.12.2025 on the ground that he wanted to visit UK for admission of his son and that he was already permitted vide order dated 09.09.2025 however, due to non issuance of visa he was unable to go at that time and now wants to visit UK for the same purpose and has orally submitted that he may be permitted to visit UK from 23.11.2025 to 05.12.2025 instead of 20.11.2025 to 05.12.2025 as mentioned in his application.

Ld. Special PP for ED has objected to the application stating that the accused/applicant was not found at the address when ED had gone for verification and when the applicant's wife was contacted on phone, she had not responded to their calls and therefore there is apprehension that the accused/applicant may flee.

On the last date of hearing the IO was asked to verify the address. Verification report has been filed.

A screenshot of guest approval granted by the applicant on 20.11.2025 via Mygate application is also filed on behalf of applicant stating that if anybody wanted to enter the

entry gate of their colony, permission has to be sought through Mygate mobile app and he had granted permission for visit of IO in regard to the verification report.

It is submitted by the IO that he had visited the residence of the accused/applicant and also found the personal belongings of the accused/applicant at the house.

Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant stated that it was merely a mistake on the part of applicant's wife to not have immediately contacted the applicant and inform him about the information sought by ED but there was no intention on the part of the applicant or his wife to not give the information sought by the ED and they would be more careful in future. The explanation given by the applicant can be accepted as his address has again been verified by the IO.

Accordingly, in view of the order dated 09.09.2025, the applicant is allowed to visit to UK from 23.11.2025 to 05.12.2025 on the same terms and conditions as mentioned below:-

1. The applicant shall file the complete travel itinerary, i.e. air tickets, hotel stay bookings etc. before leaving the country.
2. The applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. Ten Lacs only in the form of FDR and it be deposited in the court which will be returned to him after he comes back and if it is found that there is no violation of any terms and conditions. In this regard report of the IO will be obtained before returning the FDR.

3. The applicant shall not request for extension of the stay abroad beyond the period for which permission is granted above in any circumstances.
4. The applicant shall provide his active mobile phone number(s) to be used abroad and also all his email addresses to the IO on his whatsapp separately prior to departure. The mobile phone shall not be switched off.
5. The applicant shall not tamper with any evidence nor will influence any witness if is available in UK.
6. The applicant will inform the court and IO of his return from abroad within two days after coming back to India.
7. The applicant shall file an undertaking before leaving the country to the effect that he shall strictly comply with the terms and conditions of this order and will not go to any other country except the country for which permission is sought. Further, NOC of his surety, if furnished before the IO, in pursuance of anticipatory bail, shall be also submitted. To check whether applicant had travelled to any other country, other than UK, his passport can be also checked by the IO.
8. The FDR deposited by the applicant will be returned after completion of the tour, if it is found that he has not violated any terms and conditions imposed above and in this regard, report from IO can be

obtained. In case, it is found that applicant has not complied with even any single term or condition, then the FDR amount can be forfeited or any other penalty can be imposed upon him as well as upon his surety. In such situation, the court may refuse to grant permission to him to go abroad in future.

Further, to facilitate the visit of the applicant to UK, the LOC opened against the applicant is ordered to be suspended for the period of 13 days from 23.11.2025 to 05.12.2025, only for the purpose of his travel to UK, in terms of order dated 09.09.2025. Intimation in this regard be sent to the department concerned forthwith.

Application is accordingly disposed off.

Copy of the order be given dasti, as prayed.

(Dinesh Bhatt)

Principal District & Sessions Judge-
cum-Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI),
Rouse Avenue District Court
New Delhi/21.11.2025