

CNR No. DLCT11-000109-2024
CC No.37/2024
CBI Vs. Saurabh Pruthi & Anrs.
RC No. 21(A)/2022
CBI/ACB/Jaipur
U/s 13(2) r/w 13 (1) (d) of PC Act 1988

13.10.2025

Present : Sh. Hari Mohan, Ld. Sr. Public Prosecutor for CBI.

A-1 Saurabh Pruthi, A-2 Sunil Kumar and
A-3 Madan Chandra are on bail.

**Proceedings qua accused Sanjay Pareek already
stands abated vide order dated 15.05.2025.**

Sh. Naveen Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Sh. Arun Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-3.

Part arguments on charge are heard on behalf of the
CBI.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for A-3 submits that CBI
in their chargesheet has referred to crucial documents in Para-44,
45 and 90. But, the said crucial documents are not part of relied
upon documents. It is requested that explanation in this regard
be sought from the CBI as the said documents are material in the
present case.

The above-said paragraphs of the chargesheet
referred by A-3 concern the original owners of mortgaged
property. One such owner Ms. Sweety Gupta had also lodged
FIR with respect to forgery of ownership documents with Delhi
Police vide FIR No. 169/2017 P.S EOW. Apart from that, the

very same property was also mortgaged in separate loan account availed from Corporation Bank by borrower M/s M.M. Industries.

Ld. Sr. PP for CBI submits that it is their prerogative to decide as to whether the said documents are relevant or not for deciding their case. However, Ld. Sr. PP requests for issuance of notice to IO as well as HIO for clarifying on the relevancy of the said documents.

The clarification is also required with respect to the custodian of loan documents as has been argued by Ld. Sr. PP by referring to chargesheet as well as statement of LW-2.

Accordingly, notice be issued to IO as well as HIO for furnishing clarification for next date of hearing.

Be listed for clarification/ further arguments on charge on 11th November, 2025 at 11:30 a.m.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue District Courts,
New Delhi: 13.10.2025