

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE COURTS, DELHI.**

IA No.27/2026

CT No. 01/2023

E.D V. SSK Trading Pvt Ltd & Ors. (Applicant Pradeep Goel)

ECIR /DLZO-1/06/2018

U/s 4 r/w Section 3 of PMLA 2002

05.06.2026

Present : Sh. Naveen Sharma and Ms. Ayushi Agarwal,
Ld. Counsel for the applicant Pradeep Goel.

Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor
for E.D/non-applicant.

This is an application moved on behalf of applicant seeking permission to travel abroad i.e London, U.K from 05.06.2026 to 16.06.2026 and Singapore form 08.07.2026 to 20.07.2026.

At the outset, Ld. Counsel for the applicant submits that **he is pressing the present application qua visit of the applicant herein to London, U.K only from 06.06.2026 to 16.06.2026 and not Singapore as allowed by Ld. Principal District Judge, RADC.**

It is also informed by the parties the applicant herein has been permitted to travel abroad i.e London, U.K from 06.06.2026 to 16.06.2026 by the Court of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-Cum-Special Judge, CBI in another such application today in a separate case of E.D.

No reply is preferred by the E.D to the aforesaid application.

Arguments are heard.

ORDER

1. It is, inter alia, pleaded in the application that son of the applicant is pursuing higher studies from London, U.K. The applicant along with his wife wants to meet his son during the aforesaid period.

1.2 It is further pleaded that the details of itinerary, flight details etc will be furnished by him. He has always complied with all conditions imposed by the Hon'ble Court and never misused the liberty granted to him. Further, it is pleaded that the right to travel abroad is an integral aspect of the Right to Life and Personal Liberty enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India. In this regard he has relied upon the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Satish Chandra Verma V. UOI, 2019 SCC OnLine SC 2048**.

1.3 It is further pleaded that the applicant has earlier been permitted to travel abroad for very same purpose vide order dated 03.05.2025 and 12.09.2025 passed by this Court. Copy of the said orders are annexed as Annexure-A and Annexure-B.

1.4 It is further pleaded that the applicant has deep roots in the society and a permanent resident of Delhi. Further, the upcoming visit is a bona fide and temporary in nature which shall not cause any prejudice to the proceedings of the present case. He undertakes to return to India within permitted period. The applicant will be represented by his counsel during the said

period. Hence, prayer is made for grant of permission to travel London, U.K during the relevant period.

2. Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor for the E.D has opposed the present application stating that applicant herein is facing trial in case of money laundering under Section 3 & 4 of PMLA 2002 on the basis of complaint dated 13.01.2023 made under Section 44 read with Section 45 of PMLA.

2.1 It is further argued by Ld. Spl. P P for the E.D that the charges in the matter are yet to be framed.

2.2 It is however, stated/informed by Ld. Spl PP for ED that today the applicant herein has been granted permission to travel abroad i.e London, U.K from 06.06.2026 to 16.06.2026 by the Court of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-Cum-Special Judge, CBI in another such application. Thus, the Ld. Spl. PP has requested for passing the order on similar lines.

3. Heard and considered.

4. Vide order dated **17.04.2023**, applicant herein was admitted to bail by Ld. Predecessor of this Court. The said order is perused which reflects that while admitting the applicant herein to bail, no bar qua travelling abroad was imposed upon him. Still, the applicant has approached this Court seeking

permission to travel abroad due to the pendency of the present case.

4.1 The earlier orders dated 03.05.2025 and 12.09.2025 passed by this Court are also perused.

5. The purpose/ground of visiting abroad (UK) is stated to to meet his son who is pursuing higher studies there which appears to be a cogent and valid ground.

5.1 The ground taken on behalf of E.D in opposing the present application is that there is apprehension of accused/applicant fleeing away from the process of law or not returning India is concerned, the same is not forthcoming from the record. The accused/applicant herein has been chargesheeted without arrest. Till date, there is no report/complaint that applicant herein has misused the liberty of bail or violated any conditions of earlier permissions granted to him for travelling abroad.

6. In view of the facts and circumstances, **Applicant Pradeep Goel is permitted to travel/ visit (London, United Kingdom) from 06.06.2026 to 16.06.2026 subject to the following conditions:**

- (i) **That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. 05 (FIVE) Lacs in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount. The said security to be furnished in the form of FDR. The amount shall be liable to be forfeited in case of breach of any condition mentioned herein.**

- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 72 hours of his return.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to his contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.
- (vi) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no adjournment shall requested on his behalf due to his absence.
- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit his addresses/itinerary of the country where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/
his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
RACC: New Delhi:05.06.2026