

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE COURTS, DELHI.**

IA No. 20/2026

CNR No. DLCT11-11000050-2023

CT No. 01/2023

**E.D V. M/s SSK Trading Pvt. Ltd & Ors. (Applicant-
Surender Kumar Bansal)**

ECIR/DLZO-1/06/2018

U/s 4 r/w Section 3 of PMLA 2002

03.02.2026

Present : Sh. Adit S. Pujari (VC) & Sh. Bhavesh Seth,
Ld. Counsel for Applicant/Accused Surender Kr.
Bansal.

Applicant/accused Surender Kr. Bansal (VC)

Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor
(VC) and Sh. Gaurav Mani Tripathi,
Advocate for E.D/non-applicant.

This is an application moved on behalf of applicant/A-3 seeking **release of passport and permission to travel abroad i.e Bali, Indonesia for a period of two weeks from 04.02.2026.**

At this stage, fresh itinerary is filed on behalf of applicant showing his travel abroad from **06.02.2026 to 12.02.2026.** The same is taken on record. Copy be supplied to E.D.

Arguments are heard on the aforesaid application.

ORDER

1 It is, inter alia, pleaded in the application that the applicant intends to visit Bali, Indonesia for the aforesaid period.

It is further pleaded that the applicant was granted regular bail vide order dated 31.03.2023 passed by Ld. Predecessor. In compliance of condition of the said order, he surrendered his passport in the court. Further, it is pleaded in the application that multiple Look Out Circulars (LOCs) had been issued against the applicant herein due to which he sought permission of the Hon'ble High Court. Accordingly, applicant filed petition bearing W.P (C) No. 11367/2021 before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court seeking quashing of the said LOCs. The Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 21.01.2026 set aside the LOCs issued against the applicant. Further in terms of para 8(ii) of the said order, the Hon'ble High Court has permitted the applicant to travel abroad with prior permission of the Ld. Trial Court. Copy of order dated 21.1.2026 is annexed as Annexure A-2.

1.1 It is further pleaded that applicant has already been granted permission to travel abroad on multiple occasions by the Hon'ble High Court in the aforesaid writ petition. He has been granted permission to travel abroad by this Court as well vide order dated 10.07.2025. Copy of the said order is annexed as Annexure A-3.

1.2 Ld. Counsel for the accused/applicant submits that the applicant intends to visit the aforesaid countries for vacation and now LOCs have also been withdrawn vide order dated 21.01.2026. They have made compliance of terms of the said order vide separate application M-161/2026 and filed the compliance affidavit which may be taken on record.

2. No reply to the application is filed by the E.D. However, Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor for the E.D has vehemently opposed the present application stating that applicant herein was arrested by E.D under Section 19 of PMLA 2002 on 15.11.2022 and he is facing trial in case of money laundering under Section 3 & 4 of PMLA 2002 on the basis of complaint dated 13.01.2023 made under Section 44 read with Section 45 of PMLA. The Court vide order dated 10.03.2023 took cognizance of the complaint and proceedings are in progress. Accused/applicant herein is the key conspirator and is Director and controller of M/s SSK Trading Pvt Ltd and his other companies which are accused in the this case. There are serious allegations against applicant herein of submitting false, forged and fabricated documents to lender banks to secure credit facilities in M/s SSK Trading Pvt Ltd, standing guarantor to the credit facilities, siphoning off funds and diverting the said funds for purchase of properties in the name of other companies. He was knowingly involved in the process of activity of generation, concealment, possession, use of proceeds of crime.

2.1 It is further pleaded that the charges in the matter are yet to be framed and if the passport of the accused/applicant is released or he is permitted to travel abroad he may flee from process of law in order to avoid the trial.

3. Heard and considered.

4. Vide order dated 31.03.2023, accused-2/applicant Surender Kumar Bansal was admitted to regular bail Ld. Predecessor of this Court with conditions (1) **not to leave the country without**

prior permission of the court and (2) the applicant shall surrender his passport if not yet within a week from custody. Thus, the applicant filed the present application seeking return of his passport and permission to travel abroad.

4.1 Compliance affidavit is also filed in terms of order of Hon'ble High Court dated 21.01.2026 on 31.1.2026 through application M-161/2026. The same is taken on record. The LOCs against him stands set aside by the Hon'ble High Court. **The said application stands disposed off accordingly.**

4.2 The order dated 19.05.2025 whereby applicant and his wife were permitted to travel abroad by the Hon'ble High Court is perused. The order dated 10.07.2025 passed by this Court permitting the applicant to travel U.K from 15.07.2025 to 06.08.2025 is also perused.

5. As far as the plea of E.D that there is apprehension of accused fleeing away from the process of law or not returning India is concerned, the same is not forthcoming from the record. There is no report/complaint of his misusing the permission/liberty to travel abroad which was granted on previous occasions in the present matter or connected matter of CBI or violating the bail conditions.

6. In view of the facts and circumstances, **the passport of Accused/ Applicant Surender Kumar Bansal be returned to him for the scheduled journey and he is permitted to travel/ visit Bali, Indonesia w.e.f 06.02.2026 to 12.02.2026 subject to the following conditions:**

- (i) That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. Two lacs in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount. The said security may be furnished in the form of FDR or immovable property of the said value.
- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 72 hours of his return.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to him contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.
- (vi) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no adjournment shall requested on his behalf due to his absence.
- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit his addresses/ itinerary at abroad where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.

- (x) That Applicant/accused after travel shall surrender his passport in the Court within 72 hours of his arrival in India.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/
his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
RADC: New Delhi:
03.02.2026