

CT No. 01/2023 (CNR No. DLCT11-11000050-2023)
E.D V. M/s SSK Trading Pvt. Ltd & Ors.
ECIR/DLZO-1/06/2018
U/s 4 r/w Section 3 of PMLA 2002

11:30 AM

12.11.2025

Present : Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Spl. PP for E.D alongwith
Sh. Gaurav Mani Tripathi, Sh. Shubham Mishra
and Akshay Sagar, Advocates.

Sh. Ashok Verma, A.R of A-49 is absent.

A-8 Bikash Singhi, A-10 Rajesh Kumar Aggarwal,
A-11 Parveen Kr. Goel & A-27 Sanjeev Soni on
bail.

A-2 Surender Kumar Bansal(VC), A-3 Shefali
Bansal (VC), A-9 Surender Kumar Jain (VC),
(VC), A-12 Shirish Chandrakant Shah(VC), A-13
Praveen Kr. Jain (VC), A-14 Ashok Goel(VC)
A-18 Parveen Kr.Gupta (VC), A-21 Pradeep
Goel (VC), A-23 Kapil Gupta (VC) & A-25 Hemant
Kr.Aggarwal(VC) are on bail.

Sh. Rajgopalan Iyer A.R/ Director of A-42 (VC)

Sh. S. Pujari and Sh. Bhavesh Seth, Ld. Counsel for
A-2 and A-3.

Sh. Yash Dhyani, Ld. Counsel for A-5.

Sh. A.K. Aggarwal, Ms. Janvi Singhi & Ms.
Vaishnavi, Ld. Counsel for A-8.

Sh. Nagesh Behl & Sh. Aman Bisht (VC),
Ld.Counsel for A-10.

Sh. Sushil Gupta & Ms. Sunita Gupta, &
Ms. Anshika Kumari, Ld. Counsel for A-11, A-14,
A-16, A-17 and A-21.

Sh. Siddharath Arora and Ms. Jasleen Kaur,
Ld. Counsel for A-18 & **A-20 M/s Ginni Holdings.**

Sh. Sumit Kumar (VC), Ld. Counsel for A-23 & A-24.

Sh. Shrey Tanwar, Ld. Counsel for A-25.

Sh S.P. Singh, Ld counsel for A9, A-29, A-36, A-38, A-39, A-40 and A-41.

Sh. Hemant Shah and Sh.Akshay Rana & Sh. Saurabh Rajput & Atul Pathak, Ld. Counsel for A-12, A-13, A-42 & also A-49.

Ms. Shipra Bhardwaj (VC), Ld. Counsel for A-31, A-33 & A-35.

Sh. Manish Baidwan (VC), Ld. Counsel for A-37.

An application is moved on behalf of Sh. Ashok Verma, A.R of A-49 under Section 228 BNSS seeking exemption from personal appearance stating that he is unable to appear today as he has gone to hospital for his regular check-up today. In view of the submission, **AR for A-49 is exempted from personal appearance only for today.**

Today, the matter is fixed for arguments on charge qua A-1 to A-10.

Ld. Counsel for A-2 and A-3 submits that he is not representing the companies i.e A-1 and A-4 which are under liquidation at present.

At this stage, an application is moved on behalf of A-2 and A-3 seeking clarity as to the status of investigation. **The same be registered separately as I.A.** Copy supplied to the E.D.

It is argued on behalf of A-2 and A-3 that the proceeds of crime as per the complaint which are identified as

PoC to be Rs. 66 crores. It has been specifically pleaded in the complaint that the further investigation is going on with respect to the identification of remaining proceeds of crime. The reliance is placed upon the judgment of Hon'ble Delhi High Court in **State V. Mohd. Qasim & Ors. Criminal Revision No. 141/2023** wherein it has been held that prior to hearing the arguments on charge, the Trial Court has to put a question to the Investigating Authority whether the chargesheet already filed is the finalization of the allegations against the concerned accused.

Ld. Spl. PP for E.D, on the contrary, submits that he has already addressed the arguments on charge on the last about three dates of hearing and no such objection was raised on behalf of the accused persons.

Heard.

Despite the the start of the arguments, still the concerns raised by the accused through the application need to be addressed through a concrete reply as to the status of further investigation before the matter can be further proceeded on hearing on charge. Accordingly, E.D is directed to file the reply on the next date of hearing with advance copy to the A-2 and A-3 one week prior to the NDOH.

At this stage, it is also pointed out by Ld. Counsel for A-12,A-13,A-42 & A-49 that the clarity has to be given by the E.D with respect to the service status of A-1, A-4,A-5, A-6 and A-7 who were unserved vide order dated 17.03.2023.

The record is perused.

The R.P & Liquidator appeared on behalf of A-1 and A-4 on 17.04.2023. As far as the other companies are concerned, time is sought by Ld. Spl.PP for clarifying the same.

A-5 is already represented by the counsel and the Vakaltnama filed on 02.06.2023. Fresh Vakaltnama is filed on behalf of A-5 today as well. Be taken on record.

As far as A-6 and A-7 are concerned, the report has been filed by the E.D regarding striking off their names from the ROC record on 02.06.2023.

Ld. Counsel (appearing through VC) for A-31, A-33 & A-35 submits that the proceedings qua the said accused persons is stayed by the Hon'ble High Court and they are exempted through counsel.

At this stage reply is filed by E.D to the application (IA-17/2025) of A-18 Praveen Kumar Gupta @ Parveen Gupta already moved seeking permission to travel abroad. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard on the aforesaid-application. **Vide the separate order, the application (IA-17/2025) stands disposed off.**

Be listed for reply and arguments on application of A-2 and A-3 filed today/furnishing clarification with respect to the above-referred accused and arguments on charge on **4th December 2025 at 12:00 Noon.**

The date already fixed in the matter for 13.11.2025 hereby stands cancelled.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue District Courts,
New Delhi: 12.11.2025