

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE DISTRICT COURTS,
NEW DELHI.**

IA-14/2025

CT No. 01/2023

**E.D V. SSK Trading Pvt Ltd & Ors. (Applicant Praveen Kumar
Gupta @ Parveen Gupta)**

ECIR /DLZO-1/06/2018

U/s 4 r/w Section 3 of PMLA 2002

09.09.2025

Present : Sh. Siddharth Arora, Ananya Singh & Ms. Jasleen Kaur , Ld. Counsel for applicant/ A-18

Sh. Atul Tripathi (VC) & Sh. Gaurav Mani Tripathi,
Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor for E.D/non-applicant.

This is an application moved on behalf of A-18/applicant seeking permission to **travel abroad (United Kingdom) from 15.09.2025 to 30.09.2025.**

No reply is preferred by the E.D to the aforesaid application. However, they have opposed the present application.

Arguments heard.

ORDER

1. It is, inter alia, pleaded in the application that son of the applicant namely Lakshay Gupta was admitted to SP Jain School of Global Management for B.B.A for the academic year 2022-2026. He completed 1st Academic Year of his course in

Singapore Campus, 2nd academic year at Dubai Campus of the said school and 3rd academic year at London Campus. Now, he has been called upon to do 4th academic year at London Campus, U.K. Therefore, accused/applicant is required to travel and accompany his son to U.K to help him admission process and arranging accommodation afresh and settling down there. Copy of letter of acceptance, admission letter and other documents are annexed with the application.

It is further pleaded that accused/applicant herein was admitted to bail vide order dated 17.04.2023. The accused/applicant has always co-operated in the investigation. He undertakes to abide by all the conditions as imposed by the Hon'ble Court. Hence, prayer is made for grant of permission to travel abroad during the relevant period.

2. *Per contra*, though no reply to the aforesaid application has been filed by the E.D., Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor for the E.D has opposed the present application stating that applicant herein is facing trial in case of money laundering under Section 3 & 4 of PMLA 2002. The Court has already taken cognizance in the matter and proceedings are in progress. It is further argued that there are serious allegations against applicant who is the director of M/s Ginni Holdings (A-20) of doing sham transactions with M/s SSK Trading Pvt. Ltd. It is also argued that there is no necessity of applicant herein to travel physically to the college abroad for purpose of further study of his son. Lastly, it is argued by Ld. Spl. P P for the E.D that the charges in the matter are yet

to be framed, if the accused/applicant herein is permitted to travel abroad, he may flee in order to avoid the trial.

3. Heard and considered.

4. Vide order dated **17.04.2023**, applicant herein was admitted to bail by Ld. Predecessor of this Court. The said order is perused which reflects that while admitting the applicant herein to bail, no bar qua travelling abroad was imposed upon him. Still, the applicant has approached this Court seeking permission to travel abroad due to the pendency of the present case.

4.2 The accused/applicant herein has been permitted to travel abroad (Dubai), UAE for the purpose of admission of his son Lakshay Gupta for pursuing BBA vide order dated **06.06.2023** and **10.04.2025**. Now, again the purpose/ground of visiting abroad (**UK**) is stated to the further study of his son who is pursuing BBA (Academic Years 2022-2026) in 4th Academic Year at London Campus of S.P Jain London School of Management, at Harbour Exchange Square, London, E149GE, U.K. Therefore, physical appearance of the candidate and guardian is required.

5. As far as the plea of E.D that there is apprehension of accused/applicant fleeing away from the process of law or not returning India is concerned, the same is not forthcoming from the record. He has complied with all the conditions of earlier permission for travelling abroad and there is no report/complaint of his misuse of the said liberty.

6. In view of the facts and circumstances, **the application in hand is allowed and applicant Praveen Kumar Gupta @ Parveen Gupta is permitted to travel abroad (United Kingdom) from 15.09.2025 to 30.09.2025 subject to the following conditions:**

- (i) That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs.20 Lacs in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount. The said security may be furnished in the form of FDR. The amount shall be liable to be forfeited in case of breach of any condition mentioned herein.
- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 72 hours of his return.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to his contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.

- (vi) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no adjournment shall requested on his behalf due to his absence.
- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit his addresses/itinerary in abroad, where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/
his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
RACC: New Delhi:09.09.2025