

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE COURTS, DELHI.

IA No. 13/2024

CNR No. DLCT11-11000050-2023

CT No. 01/2023

E.D V. M/s SSK Trading Pvt. Ltd & Ors. (Applicant-
Surender Kumar Bansal)

ECIR/DLZO-1/06/2018

U/s 4 r/w Section 3 of PMLA 2002

10.07.2025

Present : Sh. Adit S. Pujari, Ld. Counsel for
Applicant/Accused Surender Kr. Bansal.

Applicant/accused Surender Kr. Bansal (VC)

Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor
(through VC) and Shubham Mishra, Advocate for
E.D/non-applicant.

Reply is filed by the E.D to the application of applicant seeking permission to visit abroad. Taken on record. Copy supplied.

ORDER

1. This is an application moved on behalf of **Accused-/applicant Surender Kumar Bansal** seeking return of his passport in terms of order dated 31.03.2023 and permission to

travel abroad (United Kingdom) from **15.07.2025 to 06.08.2025** for purpose of attending a family function as well as looking for matrimonial alliance for his daughter.

1.1 It is, inter alia, stated in the application that the applicant intends to visit United Kingdom during the aforesaid period to attend a family gathering organised by his sister at her residence as well as look for potential matrimonial alliance for his daughter. The tickets for the above-stated period have already been booked and copies of itinerary are attached with the application as Annexure A1 (colly). The copies of passport of his sister and brother-in-law alongwith their address proof of residence where the applicant will reside during his stay are also attached as Annexure A2 (colly) .

1.2 It is further pleaded that he was granted regular bail vide order dated 31.03.2023 passed by Ld. Predecessor. In compliance of condition of the said order, he surrendered his passport in the court. Further, it is pleaded in the application that Five Look Out Circulars (LOCs) had been issued against the applicant herein due to which he sought permission of the Hon'ble High Court. The said permission was previously granted by Hon'ble High Court on 08.10.2021, 13.06.2022 and 10.01.2024. Further vide order dated 19.05.2025 in W.P (C) No.11367/2021, he was granted permission to travel U.K. The copy of the said order is annexed herewith as Annexure-A3. This Hon'ble Court vide order dated 12.01.2024 returned the passport of the

applicant and further granted permission to travel UAE. The applicant on all the occasions, complied with the conditions as imposed by the Court and returned to India.

1.3 It is further argued on behalf of the applicant that they have already been granted permission to travel United Kingdom by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 19.05.2025. It is further argued that the onerous condition for the said travel has been stipulated and they are supposed to comply with the said condition before the Hon'ble High Court today only. Hence, prayer is made for waiving off any condition for filing the FDR or bank guarantee.

2. Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor for the E.D has vehemently opposed the present application stating that applicant herein was arrested by E.D under Section 19 of PMLA 2002 on 15.11.2022 and he is facing trial in case of money laundering under Section 3 & 4 of PMLA 2002 on the basis of complaint dated 13.01.2023 made under Section 44 read with Section 45 of PMLA. The Court vide order dated 10.03.2023 took cognizance of the complaint and proceedings are in progress. Accused/applicant herein is the key conspirator and is director and controller of M/s SSK Trading Pvt Ltd and his other companies which are accused in the this case. There are serious allegations against applicant herein of submitting false, forged and fabricated documents to lender banks to secure credit facilities in M/s SSK Trading Pvt Ltd, standing guarantor to the credit facilities, siphoning off funds and diverting the said funds for purchase of properties in

the name of other company M/s A.S Technobuild Pvt. Ltd & others. He has knowingly involved in the process of activity of generation, concealment, possession, use of proceeds of crime

2.1 It is further submitted in the reply that vide order dated 31.03.2023, accused/applicant was admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond of Rs.5 lacs with one surety in the like amount with conditions not to leave the country without permission of the court and to deposit his passport. The stringent order was passed after seeing the gravity of offences committed by the accused/applicant.

2.2 It is further pleaded that the charges in the matter are yet to be framed and if the passport of the accused/applicant is released, he may flee from process of law in order to avoid the trial.

2.3 In respect to plea qua LOC against the accused/applicant herein, it is submitted that the magnanimity of the Hon'ble Court has been misused/abused by the persons committing huge economic offences and thereafter absconding to evade the process of law. In this regard reliance has been placed upon cases titled **Nitin Sandesara V. Directorate of Enforcement & Ors in WP (C) No. 7559/2017 and U.P Police in CrI. M.P No. 1107/2016 in Petition No. For Special Leave to Appeal (CrI.) Nos. 10244** whereby accused persons were allowed to travel abroad and they absconded.

2.4 It is further argued by Ld. Spl. P P for the E.D that the charges in the matter are yet to be framed, if the accused/applicant herein is permitted to travel abroad, he may flee in order to avoid the trial.

3. Heard and considered.

4. Vide order dated 31.03.2023, accused-2/applicant Surender Kumar Bansal was admitted to regular bail Ld. Predecessor of this Court with conditions **(1) not to leave the country without prior permission of the court and (2) the applicant shall surrender his passport if not yet within a week from custody.** Thus, the applicant filed the present application seeking return of his passport and permission to travel abroad.

4.1 The copy of order dated 19.05.2025 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.C (C) 11367/2021 in Surender Kumar Bansal & Anr. V. Union of India & Ors is placed on record by Ld counsel for the applicant whereby the petitioners (accused/applicant herein and his wife) have been permitted to to travel U.K. from 15.07.2025 to 06.08.2025. The same is perused. The conditions have already been put on the applicant for furnishing joint security of Rs.4 crores to the satisfaction of the Ld. Registrar. He has been further directed to approach this Court seeking permission to travel abroad.

5. As far as the plea of E.D that there is apprehension of accused fleeing away from the process of law or not returning India is concerned, the same is not forthcoming from the record. There is no report/complaint of his misusing the

permission/liberty to travel abroad which was granted on previous occasions in the connected matter of CBI or violating the bail conditions. The order dated 12.01.2024 whereby this Court permitted the applicant to travel abroad is also perused.

6. In view of the facts and circumstances, the passport of Accused/ Applicant Surender Kumar Bansal be returned to him for the scheduled journey and he is permitted to travel/ visit United Kingdom from 15.07.2025 to 06.08.2025 subject to the following conditions:

- (i) That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. Two lacs in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount. The said security may be furnished in the form of FDR or immovable property of the said value or any of his close relative who will furnish the surety bond.
- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 72 hours of his return.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to him contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.
- (vi) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no

adjournment shall requested on his behalf due to his absence.

- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit his addresses/itinerary at abroad where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.
- (x) That Applicant/accused after travel shall surrender his passport in the Court within 72 hours of his arrival in India.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/
his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
RACC: New Delhi:
10.07.2025