

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE COURTS, DELHI.

IA No. 12/2024

CNR No. DLCT11-11000050-2023

CT No. 01/2023

E.D V. M/s SSK Trading Pvt. Ltd & Ors. (Applicant- Shefali
Bansal)

ECIR/DLZO-1/06/2018

U/s 4 r/w Section 3 of PMLA 2002

10.07.2025

Present : Sh. Adit S. Pujari, Ld. Counsel for
Applicant/Accused-3 alongwith Applicant/A-3
Shefali Bansal (**through VC**)

Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor
(**through VC**) and Sh. Shubham Mishra, Advocate
for E.D/non-applicant.

Reply is filed by the E.D to the application of
applicant seeking permission to visit abroad. Taken on record.
Copy supplied.

ORDER

1. This is an application moved on behalf of
Accused-3/applicant Shefali Bansal seeking return of his passport
in terms of order dated 31.03.2023 and permission to travel
abroad (United Kingdom) from **15.07.2025 to 06.08.2025** for

purpose of attending a family function and looking for matrimonial alliance for her daughter.

1.1 It is, inter alia, stated in the application that the applicant intends to visit aforesaid country for during the aforesaid period to attend a family gathering organised by her sister-in-law at her residence as well as look for potential matrimonial alliance for daughter. The tickets for the above-stated period have already been booked and copies of itinerary are attached with the application as Annexure A1 (colly). The copies of passport of her sister-in-law and brother-in-law alongwith their address proof of residence where the applicant will reside during her stay are also attached as Annexure A2 (colly). It is further pleaded that she was not arrested during investigation and was granted bail vide order dated 17.04.2023. Further, it is pleaded in the application that Five Look Out Circulars (LOCs) had been issued against the applicant herein due to which she sought permission of the Hon'ble High Court. The said permission was previously granted by Hon'ble High Court on 08.10.2021, 13.06.2022 and 10.01.2024. Further vide order dated 19.05.2025 in W.P (C) No.11367/2021, she has been granted permission to travel U.K. The copy of the said order is annexed herewith as Annexure A3.

1.2 It is further argued on behalf of the applicant that they have already been granted permission to travel United Kingdom by the Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 19.05.2025. It is further argued that the onerous condition for the said travel has been

stipulated and they are supposed to comply with the said condition before the Hon'ble High Court today only. Hence, prayer is made for waiving off any condition for filing the FDR or bank guarantee.

2. Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor for the E.D has vehemently opposed the present application stating that applicant herein is facing trial in case of money laundering under Section 3 & 4 of PMLA 2002 on the basis of complaint dated 13.01.2023 made under Section 44 read with Section 45 of PMLA. The Court vide order dated 10.03.2023 took cognizance of the complaint and proceedings are in progress. There are serious allegations against applicant herein of submitting false, forged and fabricated documents to lender banks to secure credit facilities in M/s SSK Trading Pvt Ltd, standing guarantor to the credit facilities, siphoning off funds and diverting the said funds for purchase of properties in the name of other company M/s A.S. Technobuild Pvt. Ltd and in her own name etc.

2.1 In respect to plea qua LOC against the accused/applicant herein, it is submitted that the magnanimity of the Hon'ble Court has been misused/abused by the persons committing huge economic offences and thereafter absconding to evade the process of law. In this regard reliance has been placed upon cases titled **Nitin Sandesara V. Directorate of Enforcement & Ors in WP (C) No. 7559/2017 and U.P Polic in CrI. M.P No. 1107/2016 in Petition No. For Special Leave to Appeal (CrI.)**

Nos. 10244 whereby accused persons were allowed to travel abroad and they absconded.

2.2 It is further argued by Ld. Spl. P P for the E.D that the charges in the matter are yet to be framed, if the accused/applicant herein is permitted to travel abroad, she may flee in order to avoid the trial.

3. Heard and considered.

4. Vide order dated 17.04.2023, Accused-3/applicant Shefali Bansal was admitted to bail Ld. Predecessor of this Court.

4.1 The copy of order dated 19.05.2025 passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.C (C) 11367/2021 in Surender Kumar Bansal & Anr. V. Union of India & Ors is placed on record by Ld counsel for the applicant whereby the petitioners (accused/applicant herein and her husband) have been permitted to to travel U.K. from 15.07.2025 to 06.08.2025. The same is perused. The conditions have already been put on the applicant for furnishing joint security of Rs.4 crores to the satisfaction of the Ld. Registrar. The applicants were further directed to approach this Court for permission in present case.

5. As far as the plea of E.D that there is apprehension of accused fleeing away from the process of law or not returning India is concerned, the same is not forthcoming from the record.

There is no report/complaint of her misusing the permission/liberty to travel abroad which was granted on three previous occasions. The order dated 12.01.2024 whereby this Court permitted the applicant to travel abroad is also perused.

6. In view of the facts and circumstances, **Accused-3/ Applicant Shefali Bansal** is permitted to ~~permitted to travel/~~ visit United Kingdom from 15.07.2025 to 06.08.2025 subject to the following conditions:

- (I) That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. One Lakh in addition to her personal surety bond in the like amount. The said security may be furnished in the form of FDR or immovable property of the said value or any of her close relative who will furnish the surety bond.
- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about her arrival in India within 72 hours of his return alongwith copy of passport.
- (iii) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of her stay abroad.
- (iv) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to her contrary to the Rules.
- (v) That this permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority except permission from the side of the Court.
- (vi) That during her stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by her counsel

and no adjournment shall requested on her behalf due to her absence.

- (vii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that she shall not dispute her identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of her counsel during this period.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit her addresses/itinerary at abroad where she intends to stay alongwith her working contact number during this period.
- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in her absence.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/ his counsel.

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
RACC: New Delhi:
10.07.2025