

CNR No. DLCT11-000050-2023

CT No. 01/2023

ED Vs. M/s SSK Trading Private Limited & Ors.

17.04.2023

Present : Sh. Arun Khatri, Ld. SPP for ED.

Sh. Siddharth Singh, Ld. Counsel for ED.

Sh. Ritesh Kumar, RP in case of A1 and Liquidator
in case of A4.

A2 and A3 with Sh. Adit S. Pujari, Ms. Aparajita
Sinha and Sh. Ankit Singh Advocates.

A8 with Sh. Rishabh Kumar Thakur, Advocate.

Sh. S. P. Singh and Sh. Kartikeya Singh, Ld.

Counsel for A9, A29, A34, A36, A38, A39 & A40
alongwith A9.

Sh. Nagesh Kumar Behl and Sh. Rajat Tayal, Ld.

Counsel for A10. Vakalatnama filed.

Dr. Sushil Kumar Gupta and Sh. Sushil Kumar
Satrawla, Ld. Counsel for A11, A14, A16, A17 &
A21.

A12 and A13 with Sh. Hemant Shah and Sh.

Prashant Kumar, Advocates. Vakalatnama filed.

A18 with Sh. Mukesh Kalia and Sh. Virender Kumar
Advocates.

Sh. Kuldeep Gala, Ld. Counsel for A23 and A24
alongwith A23. Vakalatnama filed.

Sh. Shrey Tanwar, Ld. Counsel for A25 and A26
alongwith A25. Vakalatnama filed.

A27 with Sh. Ravi Soni and Ms. Madhu Singh,
Advocates. Vakalatnama filed.

Exemption application seeking exemption from personal appearance of A10 filed by Ld. Counsel for A10. Heard. Exemption of A10 is allowed only for today.

Replies to the bail applications of A11, A14, A18 and A21 filed by ED. Copies supplied.

Arguments heard on the bail applications of A11, A14, A18 and A21. The objections raised in the reply are noted.

None of these accused were arrested during investigation and the twin conditions of Section 45 of PMLA do not apply. Considering the law on bail laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***CBI v. Satender Antil, passed in SLP (Crl.) No. 5191 of 2021 dated 11.07.2022***, A11, A14, A18 and A21 are admitted to bail on furnishing of Personal Bond of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety each in the like amount.

Applications for taking on record the retraction of the statement recorded under Section 50 of PMLA filed on behalf of A11, A25 and A26. Copies supplied. Same is taken on record. Replies if any be filed.

Fresh bail applications filed on behalf of A3, A8, A12, A13, A23 and A25/A26. Copies supplied.

Ld. Counsel for ED seeks time to file reply.

No reply is sought from ED to the bail applications of as these accused were not arrested during investigation and objections of ED to the bail applications of non arresting accused have already come in the replies filed to the bail applications of A11, A14, A18 and A21. Considering the facts that the similar placed accused A11, A14, A18, A21 have been admitted on bail, A3, A8, A12, A13, A23 and A25/ A26 are also admitted to bail on furnishing of Personal Bond of Rs.50,000/- each with one surety each in the like amount.

Time sought by A12 and A13 to furnish the surety bonds as they are residents of Mumbai. Time also sought by A18 to furnish the surety bond. Let the same be furnished on the next date of hearing.

Surety bonds furnished by A3, A8, A11, A14, A21, A23 and A25/A26 accepted.

Let the copies of complaint with documents be supplied to all the served accused.

Service report filed by ED. It is stated by Ld. Counsel for A2 and A3 that A6 and A7 have been struck off and are no longer in existence. He will seek instructions qua appearance on behalf of A5.

It is stated by Ld. Counsel for A12 and A13 that A43 is under liquidation and A12 accepts the service only for A42. A13 has nothing to do with A44 to A54 yet he will seek instructions after receiving the copy of the complaint today.

Ld. Counsel for A9 has stated that A9 has accepted service only for A29, A34, A36, A38, A39 and A40 and he has no concern with A30, A31, A32, A35, A37 and A41.

Put up for service of remaining accused and for scrutiny of documents on **11.05.2023**.

(AMIT KUMAR)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue Courts, New Delhi
17.04.2023