

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE:
PC ACT (CBI) - 04, ROUSE AVENUE COURTS, DELHI.**

IA No. 32/2026

CT No. 01/2023

E.D V. SSK Trading Pvt Ltd & Ors. (Applicant Pradeep Goel)

ECIR /DLZO-1/06/2018

U/s 4 r/w Section 3 of PMLA 2002

25.08.2026

Present : Sh. Naveen Sharma (VC), Ld. Counsel for the
applicant Pradeep Goel.

Sh. Atul Tripathi (VC), Ld. Spl. Public Prosecutor
for E.D/non-applicant.

This is an application moved on behalf of applicant
seeking permission to travel abroad i.e Bangkok from 31.08.2026
to 05.09.2026.

No reply to the aforesaid application has been filed
by the E.D.

Arguments heard on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER

1. This order shall decide the application filed by
applicant/accused Pradeep Goel seeking permission to travel to
Bangkok from 31.08.2026 to 05.09.2026.

1.1 It is pleaded in the application that the applicant was
granted regular bail vide order dated 17.04.2023. It is further
pleaded in the application that the applicant is a businessman by
profession and is doing consultancy for diamond and precious
stone jewellery. He is required to travel to Bangkok for attending
meetings and conferences scheduled from 31.08.2026 to

05.09.2026. It is submitted that the visit of the applicant is purely for the business purposes and essential for professional commitments. It is further submitted that the applicant has been allowed to travel abroad earlier also on various occasions and each and every time, the applicant has duly complied with all the conditions imposed by the Court. It is further submitted that the applicant undertakes to abide by all the conditions imposed by this Court and shall be duly represented by his Counsel during the period of his travel.

2. The Enforcement Directorate has not filed any written reply to the application. However, Ld. Special PP for ED has vehemently opposed the present application stating that no cogent reason has been furnished to undertake the travel as sought in the application.

3. *Per contra*, Ld. Counsel for the applicant has argued that if the applicant is not permitted to undertake the travel, he shall suffer irreparable loss in his business. In support of the purpose for travel mentioned in the application, a photocopy of an advertisement has been filed in the Court. It is submitted that an exhibition is scheduled to be held from 08.09.2026 to 12.09.2026 at Bangkok and the applicant has meeting for consultancy to the customers participating in the said exhibition.

4. I have heard and considered the rival contentions. Record is also perused.

5. The record reveals that the applicant was admitted to regular bail vide order dated 17.04.2023 and since then, he has been regularly appearing before this Court. In the past also, the applicant has been permitted to travel abroad and there is no allegation that he has, at any stage, attempted to evade the process or disobey any of the conditions imposed.

6. It is well-settled that the right to travel abroad is a fundamental right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution, subject to reasonable restriction imposed in accordance with law. While considering a request by an accused to travel abroad, the court is required to balance such right against the necessity of securing his presence during the proceedings and ensuring that the administration of criminal justice is not prejudice.

7. The applicant has placed on record the relevant documents in support of purpose of travel. There is no material placed on record which casts any doubt upon the genuineness of the reasons furnished by the applicant for the purpose of travel. The travel is being undertaken purely for business purpose. Earlier also the applicant has availed of similar concession without anything adverse reported against him. Therefore, taking into consideration the past conduct and the reason mentioned for present travel, the present application is allowed. **The applicant/accused Pradeep Goel is permitted to travel to Bangkok from 31.08.2026 to 05.09.2026 subject to the following conditions:**

- (i) That Accused/Applicant shall furnish a security of Rs. FIVE LACS in addition to his personal surety bond in the like amount. The said security shall be furnished in the form of FDR/Bank Guarantee in favour of this Court which shall be accepted only after verification. The said security shall be furnished prior to departure.
- (ii) That Accused/Applicant shall submit on an affidavit his addresses/ detailed itinerary at abroad where he intends to stay alongwith his working contact number and email during this period alongwith the copy of his air-travel tickets before his departure.
- (iii) That Accused/Applicant shall inform the Court about his arrival in India within 48 hours of his return. He shall intimate his return on an affidavit alongwith copy of the passport showing the date of his departure and arrival.
- (iv) That in any eventuality, the Accused/Applicant shall not request for extension of his stay abroad.
- (v) That Accused/Applicant shall not tamper with evidence or influence witnesses in any manner and shall not use the permission granted to him contrary to the Rules.
- (vi) That this permission shall be subject to all other applicable rules and shall not be deemed as directions to any other authority.
- (vii) That during his stay abroad, the Accused/Applicant shall be represented before the Court by his counsel and no adjournment shall be requested on his behalf due to his absence.
- (viii) That Accused/Applicant shall file an undertaking with affidavit that he shall not dispute his identity during the proceedings/trial conducted in the presence of his counsel during this period.

- (ix) That Surety of Accused/Applicant shall undertake to accept notice, if any, on behalf of applicant/accused in his absence.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

A copy of this order be given Dasti to Accused/Applicant/
his counsel.

Announced in the open Court
on 25.08.2026

(Dr. Sugandha Aggarwal)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue District Courts,
New Delhi.