

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**21.07.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1,A-3, A-4 & A-5.

Fresh vakalatnamas filed on behalf of A-1 & A-3.

Shri Arvind Kumar Shukla, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Accused (A-1) Saurav Sharma has appeared on bail **through VC (Cisco Webex App).**

Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2), Onkar Singh (A-3), Kishore Kumar Shrichandani (A-4) and Neeraj Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

An application U/s 239 Cr. P.C. for discharge has been filed on behalf of (A-4) Neeraj Kumar. Copy supplied to Ld. Sr. PP for CBI who seeks some time for filing reply.

Arguments on charge advanced by Ld. counsels for accused persons have been heard at length. Now to come up for reply to application U/s 239 Cr. P.C. and further arguments on

**03.08.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.07.2023**

**CBI Vs. Sunil Jain**  
**RC No. 0032021A0014**  
**U/s 120B r/w Sec. 420/467/468/471 IPC &**  
**Sec. 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act, 1988 &**  
**Substantive Offences thereof**  
**PS CBI, ACB, New Delhi**

**21.07.2023**

**Fresh application for bail U/s 438 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of applicant / accused Sunil Jain has been assigned to this court by order of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge cum Special Judge, CBI, PC Act, RADC, New Delhi. It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri R.S. Ahuja & Shakshit Bhardwal, Ld. counsel(s)  
for applicant/accused Sunil Jain.

Copy of bail application supplied to CBI. Ld. Sr. PP  
for CBI seeks time for filing reply to the application.  
To come up for reply and arguments on **26.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.07.2023**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.**  
**ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**  
**CT Case No. 24/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000365-2021**

**19.07.2023**

Present : Shri Maynk Punia, Ld. counsel for A-7 Anil Bhargava.

File taken up today in compliance of order dated 14.07.2023. The accused has furnished original FDR in sum of Rs.20 Lacs in the name of Court i.e. Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI, (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi alongwith surety bond in sum of Rs.5 Lacs (which is already on record). Same is accepted in terms of order dated 14.07.2023. Passport of the applicant be released to him against receipt.

Accused Anil Bhargava has also furnished travel itinerary mentioning the address where he shall stay in Melbourne, Australia from 05.08.20223 to 05.09.2023. Same is taken on record.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. **09.08.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.07.2023**

**CC no. 38/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Devender Gupta & Ors**  
**RC no. 47(A)/99/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT1-000229-2019**

**18.07.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Gagan Minocha, Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Rajat Gautam, Ld. counsel for A-2 & A-3.

Shri Sushil Bajaj, Shri Mohammad Shahrukh and  
Shri Vishal Sharma, Ld. counsels for A-4, A-5, &  
A-6.

Shri H.R. Dhamija Ld. Counsel for A-7.

**Personal appearance of accused No. 2 & 4 is stated  
to be already exempted vide order dated  
25.05.2011 through their Ld. counsel.**

**Personal appearance of accused No.3 & 5 is stated  
to be already exempted vide order dated  
30.04.2022 through their Ld. counsel.**

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-7) Govind Ram on medical grounds. Medical certificate in this regard has also been filed on record. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, (A-7) Govind Ram is exempted from personal appearance for today.

Accused Devender Gupta (A-1) & Anil Goel (A-6)  
are present on bail.

Contd .....

Reply to application of A-1 Devender Gupta for dropping proceedings against him has not been filed by CBI. Ld. PP for CBI seeks some more time to file reply as IO is not present today. Let the reply if any be filed by the next date of hearing.

Summons sent to PW Vikas Goel received back duly served. However, he has sent a request for exemption for today on the ground that he will be traveling to Chennai on 18.07.2023 and has requested for some other date.

Now to come up for PE on **31.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.07.2023**

**CBI Vs. Subodh Mishra**  
**CC No. 376/2019**  
**RC No. 04(A)/2014/SPE/CBI/AC-III/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001473-2019**

**17.07.2023**

Present : Sh. V.K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Nitesh Anan alongwith Shri Kapil Kant, Ld. counsel(s) for A-1 Subodh Mishra.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi, Ld. counsel for A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri & A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt. Ltd.

A-1 Subodh Mishra and A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri (who also represents A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt. Ltd.) are present on bail.

PW-15 Sh. Shri Ajay Kumar is present, examined and discharged.

Summons sent to PW Shri Pradeep Singh received back from his office and one Shri Kaushik Ghoshal, Nodal Officer, Vodafone Idea from Delhi Office appeared in the court and he stated that Shri Pradeep Singh has left the job long back and he does not know the present whereabouts of the witness. Prosecution may take appropriate steps to serve the summon upon PW Pradeep Singh.

No other witness is present today.

Now to come up for PE on **03.08.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.07.2023**

**CC No. 282/2019**  
**RC No. 03(A)/2014/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CBI Vs. Emani Venkata Sarveswara Rao & Ors.**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001116-2019**

**17.07.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Tushar Bhardwaj, Ld. Counsel for EVS Rao (A-1).

Shri Akhilesh Wahal Ld. counsel for (A-2), (A-7) & (A-10).

Shri Harsh Bora, Ld. counsel for (A-3).

Ms. Prapti Singh, Ld. counsel for (A-4) has appeared **through VC (Cisco Webex App)**.

Shri Gautam Khazanchi & Ms.Suruchi Jaiswal, Ld. counsel(s) for (A-5).

Shri Kanishk, Ld. counsel for AR for (A-6).

Shri Anand Tiwari AR for (A-8).

Shri Krishna Yadav, Ld. counsel for (A-9).

Eight separate applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of (A-1) & Authorized Representatives of (A-2), (A-3), (A-5), (A-7), (A-9) (A-10) & Interim Representative of (A-4). Heard. In view of reasons stated and in the interest of justice, said accused persons / AR(s) / IR are allowed to be exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Ld. counsel(s) for the accused persons have placed on record copy of order dated 11.07.2023 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi whereby the interim order has been ordered to be continued till next date of hearing i.e. 22.11.2023.

Contd .....

In these circumstances, put up for further proceedings/awaiting further directions on **28.11.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.07.2023**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & Anr.**  
**RC No. 12(A)/2019/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**Case ID CC No. 30/2020**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000182-2020**

**14.07.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Rahul Poonia, Ld. counsel for A-1 K.  
Kanadassan.

Shri Shaikh Bakhtiyar, Ld. counsel for A-2 alongwith  
A-2 Manoj Kumar Singh.

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of A-1 K. Kannadassan & A-2 Manoj Kumar Singh. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-1 & A-2 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Ld. Counsel for A-2 submitted that he wants to withdraw the application U/s 311 Cr. P.C which was moved by him on 03.02.2023. Signature of the Counsel is taken on the margin of the application to this effect. Keeping in view the statement made by Ld. Counsel for accused, application U/s 311 Cr. P.C is dismissed as withdrawn.

Now to come up for final arguments on **07.08.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/14.07.2023**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**15.07.2023**

Present : Shri Anil Kumar Verma, Presenting Officer for the  
Department.

Delinquent Official Shri Rajesh Kumar Yadav with  
Defence Assistant Shri Gaurav Bhutani.

Part arguments heard. Defence Assistant Shri Gaurav  
Bhutani seeks short adjournment for placing on record certain  
judgments. On request, to come up for further arguments on  
**22.07.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.07.2023**

**CC No. 61/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Others**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2019/CBI/AC-III/SPE/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**13.07.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Mudit Jain, Ld. counsel for A-1.  
Shri Pratyush Pandey, Ld. counsel for A-2.  
Shri Anand Vedhan Maiteya, Ld. LAC for A-3 has  
appeared **through VC (Cisco Webex App)**.  
Shri Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Sushil Kumar (A-2), Sachin Gupta (A-3), Khizar Abbas (A-5) and Javed Khan (A-6) are present on bail.

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of A-1 Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & A-4 B. Ramachandhiran. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-1 & A-4 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Ld. counsel for A-4 B. Ramachandhiran has filed an affidavit alongwith original passport of accused in compliance of order dated 07.07.2023 for taking on record the photocopy of passport with arrival stamp in India and for release of FDR furnished as additional security moved on behalf of A-4. Original passport seen and returned.

Ld. counsel for A-1 Shri Mudit Jain requests for short adjournment as he has to rush to Hon'ble High Court in some urgent matter.

On request of Ld. counsel for A-1, to come up for reply and arguments on all pending applications on **01.08.2023**. IO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.07.2023**



**RC No. 0502021A0006/CBI/SC.II/New Delhi**  
**CBI Vs. M/s Mohan Gems & Jewels Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**  
**U/s 120B r/w 420, 468, & 471 IPC and substantive offences.**

**06.07.2023**

Present : Sh. Amit Kumar, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Jitendra Kumar.

Report not filed by IO. IO seeks two weeks time to  
file report.

At request of IO, to come up for filing report and for  
consideration on **24.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.07.2023**

**Moin Akhtar Qureshi vs. CBI.**  
**FIR no. RC 224/2017/A0001**  
**U/s 120 B IPC & Sec. 8, 9, 13(2) R/w 13(1)(d) P. C. Act**  
**PS: CBI/AC-VI [SIT], Delhi**

**21.06.2023**

Present : Shri Shaikh Bakhtiyar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

In compliance of order dated 08.06.2023, Ld. counsel has furnished an affidavit alongwith FDR in sum of Rs.50 Lacs on behalf of applicant Sathish Babu Sana. Same is accepted in terms of order dated 08.06.2023.

Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed.

(RAJESH KUMAR GOEL)  
Vacation/Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-16  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/21.06.2023

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.  
ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**

**19.06.2023**

**This is an application seeking permission to surrender passport and release of Fixed Deposit Receipts.**

Present : Applicant Ashish Agarwal in person.

In compliance of order dated 10.03.2023, applicant/accused Ashish Agarwal (A-16) has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record.

Further, it is stated that FDR of Rs.20 Lakhs deposited by applicant stands in favour of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi and the same be released in favour of the applicant. FDR in sum of Rs.5 Lacs submitted by surety if any on record be also returned to the applicant/surety after canceling the endorsement and obtaining their identity documents. Since the applicant has returned back to India in compliance of the above order, in these circumstances, the Manager of concerned Bank Branch is directed to release the amount of the said FDR in favour of the applicant/accused Ashish Agarwal.

Accused is directed to appear in person on **14.07.2023**, date already fixed.

(SUNENA SHARMA)  
Vacation Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-01  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.06.2023**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.  
ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**

**19.06.2023**

**This is an application moved on behalf of A-13 Ramesh Sharma seeking permission to surrender passport and release of Fixed Deposit Receipts.**

Present : Applicant Ramesh Sharma in person.

In compliance of order dated 28.04.2023, applicant/accused Ramesh Sharma (A-13) has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record.

Further, it is stated that FDR of Rs.10 Lakhs deposited by applicant stands in favour of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi and the same be released in favour of the applicant. FDR in sum of Rs.5 Lacs submitted by surety if any on record be also returned to the applicant/surety after canceling the endorsement and obtaining their identity documents. Since the applicant has returned back to India in compliance of the above order, in these circumstances, the Manager of concerned Bank Branch is directed to release the amount of the said FDR in favour of the applicant/accused Ramesh Sharma.

Accused is directed to appear in person on **14.07.2023**, date already fixed. Copy of order be given dasti to applicant/accused.

**(SUNENA SHARMA)**  
Vacation Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-01  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/19.06.2023

**Moin Akhtar Qureshi vs. CBI.**  
**FIR no. RC 224/2017/A0001**  
**U/s 120 B IPC & Sec. 8, 9, 13(2) R/w 13(1)(d) P. C. Act**  
**PS: CBI/AC-VI [SIT], Delhi**

**08.06.2023**

Present : Sh. Naveen Giri, Ld. Substitue Sr. PP for CBI  
Shri Shaikh Bakhtiyar, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.

This is an application moved on behalf of applicant Sathish Babu Sana seeking release of FDR in compliance to order dated 20.04.2023. FDR, if any on record be returned to the applicant after cancelling the endorsement and obtaining identity documents of applicant.

Further, it is stated that FDR of Rs.5 Lakhs deposited by applicant in compliance of order dated 20.04.2023 stands in favour of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi and the same be released in favour of the applicant. Since the applicant has returned back to India in compliance of the above order, in these circumstances, the Manager of concerned Bank Branch is directed to release said FDR No.U04073107853, in sum of Rs.5 Lakhs, AXIS Bank in favour of the applicant/accused Sathish Babu Sana. Copy of order be given dasti to applicant.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.06.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**08.06.2023**

Present : Sh. Naveen Giri, Ld. substitute Sr. PP for CBI  
Shri Lakshaya Parashar, Proxy counsel for  
applicant/accused Pawan Baid alongwith applicant  
Pawan Baid and surety Shri Krishan Lal Baid.

It is stated by Ld. counsel for A-5 Pawan Baid that  
FDR/bank guarantee for Rs.10 Lacs and surety bond for Rs. 2  
Lacs which were earlier furnished by A-5 are already on record.  
Previous surety Shri Krishan Lal Baid is also present and he  
further wishes to remain as surety for A-5.

Surety bond in terms of order dated 07.06.2023 has  
been furnished. The same is accepted.

Further, pursuant to the said order, an undertaking  
regarding the fulfillment of other conditions imposed in order  
dated 07.06.2023 and the itinerary regarding the stay of accused  
Pawan Baid has also been filed. The same is also taken on  
record.

Further, vide order dated 05.04.2023, the passport of  
the applicant had already been released to him till December,  
2023.

Now, to come up on 28.07.2023 i.e. the date already  
fixed in the matter.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.06.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**08.06.2023**

Present : Sh. Naveen Giri, Ld. substitute Sr. PP for CBI  
Shri Lakshaya Parashar, Proxy counsel for  
applicant/accused Pawan Baid alongwith applicant  
Pawan Baid and surety Shri Rajiv Baid.

It is stated by Ld. counsel for A-2 Pawan Baid that  
FDR/bank guarantee for Rs.10 Lacs and surety bond for Rs. 2  
Lacs which were earlier furnished by A-2 are already on record.  
Previous surety Shri Rajiv Baid is also present and he further  
wishes to remain as surety for A-2.

Surety bond in terms of order dated 07.06.2023 has  
been furnished. The same is accepted.

Further, pursuant to the said order, an undertaking  
regarding the fulfillment of other conditions imposed in order  
dated 07.06.2023 and the itinerary regarding the stay of accused  
Pawan Baid has also been filed. The same is also taken on  
record.

Further, vide order dated 05.04.2023, the passport of  
the applicant had already been released to him till December,  
2023.

Now, to come up on 19.07.2023 i.e. the date already  
fixed in the matter.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.06.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**08.06.2023**

Present : Sh. Naveen Giri, Ld. substitute Sr. PP for CBI  
Shri Lakshaya Parashar, Proxy counsel for  
applicant/accused Pawan Baid alongwith applicant  
Pawan Baid and surety Shri Mukesh Kumar Singhi.

Pursuant to order dated 07.06.2023, FDR for sum of  
10 Lakhs in the name of Court i.e. Ld. Principal District &  
Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI, (PC Act), RADC, ND is  
already on record.

In terms of the aforesaid order, surety bond of Rs.2  
Lakhs has been furnished by surety Shri Mukesh Kumar Singhi  
for accused Pawan Baid. The surety bond stand accepted.

Further, pursuant to the said order, an undertaking  
regarding the fulfillment of other conditions imposed in order  
dated 07.06.2023 and the itinerary regarding the stay of accused  
Pawan Baid has also been filed. The same is also taken on  
record.

Further, vide order dated 05.04.2023, the passport of  
the applicant had already been released to him till December,  
2023.

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. **19.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.06.2023**



**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.**  
**CT No. 11/2020**  
**ECIR/10/DLZO-I/2017**  
**CNR DLCT11-000286-2020**

**07.06.2023**

Present : Shri Vignaraj Pasayat, Ld. counsel for applicant/  
A-16 Rishabh Jhunjhunwala alongwith surety of  
A-16.

It is stated by Ld. counsel for A-16 that FDR/bank guarantee for Rs.35 Lacs and surety bond for Rs.20 Lacs which were earlier furnished by A-16 are already on record. Previous surety Pankaj Jain is also present and he further wishes to remain as surety for A-16.

Surety bond in terms of order dated 22.05.2023 has been furnished. The same is accepted.

Now, to come up on 22.08.2023 i.e. the date already fixed in the matter.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/07.06.2023**

**CC No. 5/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Hem Chandra Borah & Ors.**  
**RC no. ACI 2021 A 0001 CBI ACI, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11000547-2021**

**02.06.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI..

Sh. H.K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-1 Hem Chandra Borah.

Shri Anubhav Deep Singh, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka, Ld. counsel for A-3.

A-1 Hem Chandra Borah present on bail.

A-2 Tapan Kumar Nag and A-3 Ramesh Agarwal are absent.

Applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf of A-2 Tapan Kumar Nag and A-3 Ramesh Agarwal. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the applications, both accused are exempted from personal appearance for today.

Reply to application moved on behalf of A-3 Ramesh Aggarwal U/s 317 Cr. P.C. for permanent exemption and application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-1 Hem Chandra Borah not filed by CBI. Ld. Sr. PP submits that IO is not well today and seeks some more time to file reply to the same on NDOH.

At request of Ld. Sr. PP, to come up on **28.07.2023** for reply / arguments and disposal of all pending applications as well as for scrutiny of documents.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.06.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**02.06.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO.  
Shri Vikas Walia Ld. counsel for (A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma and (A-2) Ms. Anytama Verma.  
Shri Gurfateh Singh Proxy counsel for Shri Abhinav Sekhri Ld. counsel for (A-3) Puran Sharma.  
Shri Avneet Singh Sikka Ld. counsel for (A-4) Ramesh Aggarwal.  
Shri H.K. Sharma, Shri Rishabh Sharma & Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Ld. Counsels for (A-5) Pawan Baid & (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.  
Shri Anubhav Deep Singh, Ld. counsel for (A-6) Tanmoy Dass.

(A-3) Puran Sharma & A-6 Tanmoy Dass are present in person.

(A-5) Pawan Baid has appeared as Authorized Representative of (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf of A-1 Laxmi Kant Verma, A-2 Ms. Anytama Verma and A-4 Shri Ramesh Agarwal. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the applications, above named accused persons are exempted from personal appearance for today.

Contd .....

Reply has been filed on behalf of CBI to application moved on behalf of A-1 Laxmi Kant Verma for dropping the proceedings for lack of requisite territorial jurisdiction in terms of section 4(2) of the PC Act, 1988. Copy supplied to Ld. Counsel for accused. Ld. counsel seeks time for arguments.

Reply to application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-3 Puran Sharma not filed by CBI. Some more time is sought by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI for filing the reply to the said application. Let reply to the application be filed by next date of hearing.

Verification report filed by IO in respect of A-6 Tanmoy Das. A-6 Tanmoy Das and his surety are present today, bail bond and surety bond accepted in terms of anticipatory bail order dated 05.04.2022.

Now to come up on **28.07.2023** for reply/arguments and disposal of all pending applications as well as for scrutiny of documents.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.06.2023**



**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**02.06.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.06.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**01.06.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO  
Inspector Shakti Kumar.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Abhishek  
Tiwari.

Shri Kartik Venu and Ms. Swati Khanna, Ld.  
counsel(s) for A-2 Anand Dilip Daga.

Shri Narender Mann and Shri Shailesh Chandra Jha,  
Ld. Counsel(s) for A-3 Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane.

Shri D.S. Kohli, Ld. counsel for accused Vikrant  
Deshmukh.

(A-1)Accused Abhishek Tiwari is present on bail.

An Application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of A-3 Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane on medical grounds.  
Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-3  
Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane is exempted from personal appearance  
for today only subject to filing medical certificate on next date of  
hearing.

Another application for exemption has been moved  
on behalf of A-2 Anand Daga on account of prior business  
commitment. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the  
application, A-2 Anand Daga is exempted from personal  
appearance for today only.

Contd .....

It is submitted by IO that documents/articles were deposited before CFSL, New Delhi but due to delay in examination of the articles, all the documents and articles were taken back from CFSL, New Delhi and have been sent to FSL, Gandhinagar 15 days back.

No fresh orders / directions have been received from Hon'ble Supreme Court in respect of accused Vikrant Deshmukh. In view of the aforesaid, now to come up on **31.07.2023** for further proceedings.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.06.2023**

**CC No. 378/2019**  
**CBI s. Alok Kumar Sharan & Ors**  
**RC No. 06(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-001479-2019**

**01.06.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.  
Shri Vikas Walia, Ld. counsel for A-1.  
Shri Deepak Kumar Singh, proxy counsel for Ms. Olivia A.I. Bang, Ld. counsel for A-2.  
Shri Keshari Kumar Tiwari Ld. counsel for A-3.  
Shri S.K. Sharma, Ld. Senior counsel for A-4 & A-5.  
Accused Alok Kumar Saran (A-1), Ms. L. Bindoni Devi (A-2), and Anil Kumar Mangal (A-5) for himself and as Director of M/s Sai Flytech Aviation Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of accused Prakash Shambharkar. Heard. In view of the grounds stated in the application, accused Prakash Shambharkar is exempted from personal appearance only for today.

It is stated by Ld. counsel for A-1 that accused has challenged the order of framing of charge before Hon'ble Delhi High Court and next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court is 21.08.2023.

Date is sought by Ld. counsel for A-1 and Ld. counsels for other accused for final arguments. At request, now to come up for final arguments on **27.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.06.2023**



**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**30.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI with IO  
Inspector Yatish Chander Sharma.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Shantanu Shukla, Ld. proxy counsel for A-2.

Shri Abhishek Mahal, Proxy counsel for Shri Robin  
Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-3, A-4 & A-5.

Accused (A-1) Saurav Sharma has appeared on bail  
**through VC (Cisco Webex App).**

Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2), Kishore Kumar  
Shrichandani (A-4) and Neeraj Kumar (A-5) present  
on bail.

An Application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of A-3 Onkar Singh. Heard. In view of the  
grounds mentioned in the application, A-3 Onkar  
Singh is exempted from personal appearance for  
today only.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for A-1 Saurav Sharma  
that report from CFSL has been filed but he has not received the  
CD. Date is requested by IO for supplying the CD. IO is directed  
to supply the copy of CD to the accused 10 days prior to the next  
date of hearing.

Contd .....

Written synopsis on charge has been filed on behalf of A-2 Ram Krishan Mishra. Copy supplied to Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Ld. Sr. PP for CBI states that he has one more urgent matter listed for today before Ld. court of Shri N.K. Laka, Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI), Rouse Avenue Court and he has to rush to that court and he shall be available only after lunch and date is requested for arguments on charge. Date is also requested by Ld. proxy counsel(s) for accused No. 2,3,4 & 5 as the main counsels are not available.

At joint request, to come up for arguments on charge for **21.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.05.2023**

**CC no. 66/2020**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjeev Kumar**  
**RC no. DAI-2020-A-0016 dated 18.03.2020**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11-000296-2020**

**29.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused on bail with Ld. counsel Shri Sanjay Gupta.

PW-7 Sanjay Gupta is present today. His cross examination has been concluded and he is discharged.

No other PW is summoned for today.

To come up for PE on **25.07.2023 & 02.08.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.05.2023**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**29.05.2023**

Present : Delinquent Official Shri Rajesh Kumar Yadav is present.

No time left for arguments as evidence is being recorded in other matter after post lunch session.

Now to come up for final arguments on **15.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.05.2023**

**CT Case No.34/2022**  
**ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.**  
**ED Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022**

**27.05.2023**

Present : Shri Nitesh Rana, SPP alongwith Ms. Francita Mary  
Advocate for ED.

Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld. counsel for A-1 M/s OPG  
Securities Pvt. Ltd. & A-2 Sanjay Gupta.

A-2 Sanjay Gupta present on bail who also represents  
A-1 OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.

An application U/s 207/208 Cr. P.C. has been moved on  
behalf of Ld. counsel for A-2 Sanjay Gupta for supply of  
deficient/illegible documents. Copy supplied. Some time sought  
by Ld. Sr. PP for ED for filing reply to the application.

At request, to come up for filing reply and arguments  
to application U/s 207/208 Cr. P.C. on **24.07.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.05.2023**

**CC No. 37/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Rakesh Sharma & Ors.**

**26.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Pradeep Mahajan, Ld. counsel for convict Satbir Singh alongwith convict Satbir Singh and surety Shri Amarjeet Sahni.

Ld. counsel for convict submits that interim order has been extended by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court is 14.09.2023. An application for intimation regarding extension of interim order alongwith copy of order dated 19.05.2023 has also been filed on behalf of convict.

In these circumstances, bail bond and surety bond of the convict is accepted till next date of hearing in terms of order dated 19.05.2023 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Put up for further proceedings / awaiting further orders on **21.09.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/26.05.2023**

**CC No. 61/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Others**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2019/CBI/AC-III/SPE/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**25.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4 B.  
Ramchandhiran.

File taken up today in compliance of order dated 24.05.2023. The accused has furnished FDR in sum of Rs.5 Lacs in the name of Court i.e. Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI, (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi alongwith surety bond in sum of Rs.5 Lacs. Same is accepted in terms of order dated 24.05.2023.

Accused B. Ramachandhiran has also furnished undertaking mentioning the address where he shall stay in UK. Same is taken on record.

Copy of this order be given dasti as prayed.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. 13.07.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.05.2023**

**CLOR No. 2/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Unknown official (Closure report)**  
**RC no. 02(A)/2017/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000142-2022**

**23.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.  
Complainant Shri Chetan Prakash in person.  
IO is absent.

An application U/s 91 Cr. P.C. has been moved on behalf of complainant for supply of the complete documents in possession of IO/CBI/ACB. It is submitted by complainant that the matter was investigated by Crime Branch of Delhi and Crime Branch investigated the case for about five years and collected the evidence. It is stated that later on, the case was handed over to CBI for investigation by the orders of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on 17.11.2016. It is further stated that CBI took over the case from the Crime Branch on 13.01.2017 and CBI also took over the possession of all the documents related to the investigation of Crime Branch of Delhi Police.

It is further stated that the documents mentioned in the present application were taken over by Shri M.K. Jaiswal, DSP/CBI from IO of the Crime Branch and after investigation, IO Inspector Mahendra Singh has filed the report mentioning certain documents. It is submitted that IO has filed the report but he has not supplied certain documents i.e. report of expert opinion with respect to road certificate No. 183/21/12 dated 28.03.2012, report of expert opinion with regard to road certificate No.46/21/15, report of expert opinion with respect to

Contd .....

road certificate No. 388/21/15, report of expert opinion with regard to letter No. RC-DAI-2017-A-0002/DLI 3323 dated 10.03.2017, specimen voice sample memo/voice examination report of accused persons, specimen voice sample memo/voice examination report of complainant, voice and person identification memo visible in the video footage, photo identification memo / photo comparison report certified by CFSL/FSL and copy of complete W.P. (PIL) 5026/2010.

Ld. Sr. PP sought some time to seek clarification regarding the status of the documents mentioned in the application moved by complainant U/s 91 Cr. P.C. It is further stated that assistance of IO is also required.

At request, put up on 28.07.2023 for arguments on application U/s 91 Cr. P.C. / clarification regarding the status of the documents mentioned in the application. Let notice to IO be also issued for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.05.2023**

**CC no. 377/19**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjay Pratap Singh & Anr.**  
**RC no. 41(A)/2015/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001477-2019**

**22.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.  
Accused persons Sanjay Pratap Singh (A-1) and  
Ramesh Kumar (A-2) are present.

It is submitted by accused Sanjay Pratap that his counsel is busy in Hon'ble High Court. As requested, put up on 01.06.2023 for further proceedings. Accused is directed to place on record the order of Hon'ble Delhi High Court dated 02.05.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.05.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**22.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.05.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**22.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.05.2023**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & Anr.**  
**RC No. 12(A)/2019/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**Case ID CC No. 30/2020**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000182-2020**

**19.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.  
Shri Rahul Poonia Ld. counsel for A-1 K.  
Kannadassan.  
Shri Shaikh Bakhtiyar, Ld. counsel for A-2 alongwith  
A-2 Manoj Kumar Singh.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-1 K. Kannadassan. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 K. Kannadassan is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Reply to application U/s 311 Cr. P.C. filed by CBI.  
Copy given to Ld. counsel(s) for accused persons.

At joint request, now to come up for arguments on  
**25.05.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.05.2023**

**CBI Vs. Subodh Mishra**  
**CC No. 376/2019**  
**RC No. 04(a)/2014/SPE/CBI/AC-III/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001473-2019**

**18.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V.K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Nitesh Anan Kumar alongwith Shri Kapil Kant,  
Ld. counsel(s) for A-1 Subodh Mishra.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi, Ld. counsel for A-2 Rajesh  
Agnihotri & A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt. Ltd.

A-1 Subodh Mishra and A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri (who  
also represents A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt.  
Ltd.) are present on bail.

PW-13 Sh. Shri Manindra Kumar and PW-14 Shri  
Vinay Bhushan Khanna are present, examined and discharged.

Summons sent to PW Shri Puneet Chawla received  
back from his office with report that he has been nominated for  
Jeddah for two months to handle Hajj Flights w.e.f. 16.05.2023.

No other witness is present today.

Now to come up for PE on **17.07.2023**. IO/HIO be  
summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.05.2023**

**CBI Vs. Brij Bhan Singh & Ors.**  
**CC No. 229/2019**  
**RC no. 18(E)/2013/CBI/EOU-IV/EO-II/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000901-2019**

**17.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Ms. Stuti Gujral, Ld. counsel for accused Rita Verma.  
Shri Anuj Kapoor, Ld. counsel for Neeraj Kumar Garg.  
A-3 Rita Verma is present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of accused Neeraj Kumar Garg. Heard. In view of the grounds stated in the application, accused Neeraj Kumar Garg is exempted from personal appearance only for today.

An application U/s 91 Cr. P.C seeking issuance of summons to the Head Office, Punjab Nation Bank, New Delhi for production of documents necessary for the purpose of present proceedings has been filed. Copy supplied to Ld. Sr. PP for CBI who seeks some time for filing reply. Let reply be filed by NDOH.

PW-25 Shri Anar Singh is present. He was bound down for today for further cross examination. He has been further cross examined and discharged.

PW-26 Shri Pavinder Singh and PW-27 Vrundeeep Singh are present, examined and discharged.

Now to come up for PE on **12.07.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for the next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.05.2023**

**CC no. 230/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Rajesh Kumar Singh & Ors.**  
**RC no. 17(E)/2013/CBI/EOU-IV/EO-II/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000903-2019**

**17.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Ms. Stuti Gujral, Ld. counsel for accused Rita Verma.  
Shri Anuj Kapoor, Ld. counsel for Neeraj Kumar Garg.  
A-3 Rita Verma is present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of accused Neeraj Kumar Garg. Heard. In view of the grounds stated in the application, accused Neeraj Kumar Garg is exempted from personal appearance only for today

An application U/s 91 Cr. P.C seeking issuance of summons to the Head Office, Punjab Nation Bank, New Delhi for production of documents necessary for the purpose of present proceedings has been filed. Copy supplied to Ld. Sr. PP for CBI who seeks some time for filing reply. Let reply be filed by NDOH.

PW-28 Mrs. Neeta Madan is present. She is partly cross examined. Her further cross examination is deferred as it is already 4.00 PM. She is bound down for next date of hearing.

PW-29 Rambir Singh & PW-30 Shri Ashutosh Kumar Sharma are present, examined and discharged.

Now to come up for PE on **12.07.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for the next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.05.2023**



**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**17.05.2023**

Present : None for Presenting Officer Shri Anil Kumar Verma.  
Defence Assistant Shri Gaurav Bhutani in person.

It is submitted by Defence Assistant Shri Gaurav Bhutani that Delinquent official is not present due to transfer of Ld. Principal Judge, Family Court, North West District, Rohini.

Written arguments have been filed on behalf of delinquent official. Copy be supplied to Presenting Officer.

At request, now to come up for arguments on 29.05.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.05.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**16.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI  
Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Proxy counsel for  
applicant/accused Pawan Baid alongwith applicant  
Pawan Baid and surety Shri Krishan Lal Baid.

Pursuant to order dated 15.05.2023, FDR for sum of  
10 Lakhs in the name of Court I.e. Ld. Principal District &  
Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI, (PC Act), RADC, ND is  
already on record.

Further, in terms of the aforesaid order, surety bond  
of Rs.2 Lakhs has been furnished by Surety Krishan Lal Baid for  
accused Pawan Baid. The surety bond stand accepted.

Further, pursuant to the said order, an undertaking  
regarding the fulfillment of other conditions imposed in order  
dated 15.05.2023 and the itinerary regarding the stay of accused  
Pawan Baid has also been filed. The same is also taken on  
record.

Further, vide order dated 05.04.2023, the passport of  
the applicant had already been released to him till December,  
2023.

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. 18.05.2023

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.05.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**16.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI  
Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Proxy counsel for  
applicant/accused Pawan Baid alongwith applicant  
Pawan Baid and surety Shri Hemant Baid.

Pursuant to order dated 15.05.2023, FDR for sum of  
10 Lakhs in the name of Court I.e. Ld. Principal District &  
Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI, (PC Act), RADC, ND is  
already on record.

Further, in terms of the aforesaid order, surety bond  
of Rs.2 Lakhs has been furnished by Surety Hemant Baid for  
accused Pawan Baid. The surety bond stand accepted.

Further, pursuant to the said order, an undertaking  
regarding the fulfillment of other conditions imposed in order  
dated 15.05.2023 and the itinerary regarding the stay of accused  
Pawan Baid has also been filed. The same is also taken on  
record.

Further, vide order dated 05.04.2023, the passport of  
the applicant had already been released to him till December,  
2023.

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. 18.05.2023

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.05.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**16.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI  
Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Proxy counsel for  
applicant/accused Pawan Baid alongwith applicant  
Pawan Baid and surety Shri Pramod Bardia.

Pursuant to order dated 15.05.2023, FDR for sum of  
10 Lakhs in the name of Court I.e. Ld. Principal District &  
Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI, (PC Act), RADC, ND is  
already on record.

Further, in terms of the aforesaid order, surety bond  
of Rs.2 Lakhs has been furnished by Surety Shri Pramod Bardia  
for accused Pawan Baid. The surety bond stand accepted.

Further, pursuant to the said order, an undertaking  
regarding the fulfillment of other conditions imposed in order  
dated 15.05.2023 and the itinerary regarding the stay of accused  
Pawan Baid has also been filed. The same is also taken on  
record.

Further, vide order dated 05.04.2023, the passport of  
the applicant had already been released to him till December,  
2023.

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. 18.05.2023

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/16.05.2023**

**CC No. 47/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjay Kumar Saxena**  
**RC No. 1(E)/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000247-2019**

**15.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Anand B.L. Srivastava, Ld. counsel for accused persons A-1, A-5, A-6 & A-7.  
(A-1) Sanjay Kumar Sxena, (A-5) Abhay Kumar Saxena, (A-6) Banwari Lal Meena & (A-7) Dal Chand @ Sheru) are present on bail.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for accused persons Shri Anand B.L. Srivastava that matter is still pending before Hon'ble Delhi High Court for final disposal for 15.09.2023 and interim order is still continuing. Ld. counsel has placed on record the order of Hon'ble High Court mentioning the interim order and the NDOH.

In view of the submissions made, matter stands adjourned for 22.09.2023 for awaiting further orders/directions from the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.05.2023**

**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.**  
**CT No. 11/2020**  
**ECIR/10/DLZO-I/2017**  
**CNR DLCT11-000286-2020**

**15.05.2023**

**This is an application filed on behalf of A-16 Rishabh Jhunjunwala seeking modification of condition No.1 imposed vide order dated 24.11.2021 while granting bail to the applicant.**

Present : Shri Samarth Luthra, Proxy counsel for applicant/A-16 Rishabh Jhunjunwala.

Let notice be issued to ED for filing reply of the application and arguments on **19.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.05.2023**

**Bail Matter 90/2023**  
**CBI Vs. Pradeep Kumar Jain**  
**RC No. 00320023A0014**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000394-2023**  
**PS CBI/ACB/New Delhi**

**12.05.2023**

**Fresh application for bail U/s 439 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of applicant / accused Pradeep Kumar Jain has been assigned to this court by order of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge cum Special Judge, CBI, PC Act, RADC, New Delhi. It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused Pradeep Kumar Jain.

Copy of bail application supplied to CBI. Ld. Sr. PP for CBI seeks time for filing reply to the application.

To come up for reply and arguments on 17.05.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.05.2023**

**CC no. 358/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Shambhu Prasad Singh and Ors.**  
**RC no. 08(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001437-2019**

**11.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI alongwith HIO/SI Aditya Kumar.

Shri Singh, Shri Syed Arham Masud & Shri Vikhyat Oberoi, Ld. counsels for A-1 Shambhu Prasad Singh.

Sh. N. P. Singh, AR for A-3 M/s Sai Baba Builders and Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Shri R.S. Ahum & Shri Rishi Singhal, Ld. counsel(s) for Liquidator Ashok Kumar Gupta for A-4 M/s Dynamic Shells India Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Bhavesh Jha, AR for A-5 M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd.

Sh. Ravinder Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla.

Sh. Sunil Saha, Ld. Counsel for A-7 Vinod Gupta.

Sh. Mudit Jain, Ld. Counsel for A-8 Manish Rai Mathur.

Shri N.P. Singh (AR for A-3 M/s Sai Baba Builders and Consultants Pvt. Ltd.) and Shri Bhavesh Jha (AR for A-5 M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd.) in person.

A-1 Shaumbhu Prasad Singh, A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla, A-7 Vinod Gupta and A-8 Manish Rai Mathur are present on bail.

PW-27 Shri Suresh Dewan who was bound down for today for cross examination is present. He has been cross

examined and discharged.

Contd .....

PW-28 Shri Ravi Mathur is present, examined and discharged.

PW Sumati Kumar was served but the witness has sent request for exemption on medical grounds.

No other PW has been summoned for today. Now to come up for PE on **11.07.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.05.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**11.05.2023**

**This is an application seeking permission to travel abroad filed on behalf of applicant/accused Pawan Baid (A-5).**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI

Shri Rishabh Sharma proxy counsel on behalf of Shri H.K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused Pawan Baid.

Copy of the application supplied to Ld. Sr. PP. At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for reply and arguments on **15.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.05.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**11.05.2023**

**This is an application seeking permission to travel abroad filed on behalf of applicant/accused Pawan Baid (A-2).**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI

Shri Rishabh Sharma proxy counsel on behalf of Shri H.K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused Pawan Baid.

Copy of the application supplied to Ld. Sr. PP. At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for reply and arguments on **15.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.05.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**11.05.2023**

**This is an application seeking permission to travel abroad filed on behalf of applicant/accused Pawan Baid (A-3).**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI

Shri Rishabh Sharma proxy counsel on behalf of Shri H.K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused Pawan Baid.

Copy of the application supplied to Ld. Sr. PP. At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for reply and arguments on **15.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.05.2023**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**09.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI with IO  
Inspector Yatish Chander Sharma.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Arvind Kumar Shukla, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Abhishek Mahal, Proxy counsel for Shri Robin  
Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-3, A-4 & A-5.

Saurav Sharma (A-1), Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2),  
Onkar Singh (A-3) and Kishore Kumar Shrichandani  
(A-4) and Neeraj Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

An application has been filed on behalf of CBI seeking  
permission to place on record CFSL report and additional list of  
documents and list of witnesses. Same be taken on record. Copy  
supplied to Ld. counsel(s) for accused persons.

Part arguments on charge heard. At joint request,  
matter is adjourned for **30.05.2023** for remaining arguments on  
charge. IO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.05.2023**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**08.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

It is submitted by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI that sanction order qua accused Sant Prasad Gupta and M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. has already been filed. I have perused the sanction order. Assistance of IO is required.

Put up on **24.05.2023** for consideration. IO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.05.2023**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**08.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

It is submitted by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI that sanction order qua accused Dipu Kumar and M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. has already been filed. I have perused the sanction order. Assistance of IO is required.

Put up on **24.05.2023** for consideration. IO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.05.2023**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kumar @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**08.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

It is submitted by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI that sanction order qua accused Sant Prasad Gupta and M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. has already been filed. I have perused the sanction order. Assistance of IO is required.

Put up on **24.05.2023** for consideration. IO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.05.2023**



**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**08.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.05.2023**

**CC No. 378/2019**  
**CBI s. Alok Kumar Sharan & Ors**  
**RC No. 06(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-001479-2019**

**06.05.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.  
Shri Yash Bansal, Proxy Counsel for Shri Vikas Walia  
Ld. counsel for A-1.  
Shri Deepak Kumar Singh, proxy counsel for Ms.  
Olivia A.I. Bang, Ld. counsel for A-2.  
Shri Keshari Kumar Tiwari Ld. counsel for A-3.  
Shri S.K. Sharma, Ld. Senior counsel and Shri Rahul  
Sharma Ld. counsel for A-4 & A-5.  
Accused Alok Kumar Saran (A-1), Ms. L. Bindoni  
Devi (A-2), and Anil Kumar Mangal (A-5) for  
himself and as Director of M/s Sai Flytech Aviation  
Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of accused Prakash Shambharkar. Heard. In view of the grounds stated in the application, accused Prakash Shambharkar is exempted from personal appearance only for today.

It is stated by Ld. counsel for A-1 that they have challenged the order of framing of charge in the present case before Hon'ble High Court and next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court is 21.08.2023. Ld. counsel for A-1 has placed on record copy of order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Contd .....

It is submitted by Ld. proxy counsel for A-1 that main counsel is sick and not available today for arguments. Date is also sought by proxy counsel for A-2 as the main counsel is not available.

At request, now to come up for final arguments on **01.06.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.05.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**06.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.05.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**06.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.05.2023**

**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.**  
**CT No. 11/2020**  
**ECIR/10/DLZO-I/2017**  
**CNR DLCT11-000286-2020**

**04.05.2023**

**This is an application filed on behalf of A-16 Rishabh Jhunjhunwala seeking permission to travel abroad.**

Present : Shri Vignaraj Pasayat, Ld. counsel for applicant/A-16 Rishabh Jhunjhunwala.

Let notice be issued to ED for filing reply of the application and arguments on **06.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.05.2023**

**CT Case No.34/2022**  
**ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.**  
**ED Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022**

**02.05.2023**

Present : Shri Ali Khan & Ms. Francita Mary proxy counsels  
for Shri Nitesh Rana, SPP for ED.

Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld. counsel for A-1 M/s OPG  
Securities Pvt. Ltd. & A-2 Sanjay Gupta.

A-2 Sanjay Gupta present on bail who also represents  
A-1 OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2 that the  
documents are voluminous and some more time is sought for  
moving an application U/s 207 Cr. P.C.

At request, to come up for moving application U/s  
207 Cr. P.C. / further proceedings for **27.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.05.2023**

**CC no. 66/2020**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjeev Kumar**  
**RC no. DAI-2020-A-0016 dated 18.03.2020**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11-000296-2020**

**01.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused on bail with Ld. counsel Shri Sanjay Gupta.

PW-7 Sanjay Gupta is not present today. An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of PW-7 on the ground that he is suffering from acute cervical spondylosis. Medical certificate in this regard has also been placed on record. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, PW-7 Shri Sanjay Gupta is exempted from personal appearance for today.

To come up for PE on **29.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.05.2023**

**CC No. 5/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Hem Chandra Borah & Ors.**  
**RC no. ACI 2021 A 0001 CBI ACI, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11000547-2021**

**01.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI..

Sh. Lakshay Parashar, Ld. counsel for A-1 Hem Chandra Borah.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka, Ld. counsel for A-3.

A-2 Tapan Kumar Nag and A-3 Ramesh Agarwal are present on bail.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of A-1 Hem Chand Borah. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, A-1 Hem Chand Borah is exempted from personal appearance for today.

It is submitted by Shri Avneet Singh Sikka, Ld. counsel for A-3 Ramesh Aggarwal that application for permanent exemption moved on behalf of A-3 is pending and reply has not been filed by CBI. IO is directed to file reply to the said application by NDOH.

Some more time is requested by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI to file reply to the application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. Date is also requested on behalf of A-1 for arguments on the ground that main counsel Shri Harsh K. Sharma is not available.

At joint request, to come up on **02.06.2023** for reply/arguments and disposal of all pending applications as well as for scrutiny of documents.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/01.05.2023

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**01.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO.

Shri Vikas Walia & Ms. Drishti Ld. counsels for (A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma and (A-2) Ms. Anytama Verma.

Shri Abhinav Sekhri Ld. counsel for (A-3) Puran Sharma.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka Ld. counsel for (A-4) Ramesh Aggarwal.

Shri Lakshay Parasher & Ms. Vaibhavi Sharma, Ld. Counsels for (A-5) Pawan Baid & (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for (A-6) Tanmoy Dass.

(A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma, (A-2) Anytama Verma, (A-3) Puran Sharma, (A-4) Ramesh Aggarwal are present in person.

(A-5) Pawan Baid has appeared as Authorized Representative of (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of A-6 Tanmoy Dass. In

view of the reasons stated in the application, A-6 Tanmoy Dass is exempted from personal appearance for today.

Contd .....

An application has been filed on behalf of A-1 Laxmi Kant Verma for dropping the proceedings for lack of requisite territorial jurisdiction in terms of section 4(2) of the PC Act, 1988. Copy supplied to CBI. Ld. Sr. PP seeks some time to file reply to the application.

Reply to application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-3 Puran Sharma not filed by CBI. Some more time is sought by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI for filing the reply to the said application. Let reply to this application be filed by next date of hearing with an advance copy to ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

It is submitted by IO that he was directed to verify the details of surety of A-6 Tanmoy Das. IO states that he has already filed the verification report but surety is not present today.

As A-6 Tanmoy Das and his surety are not present today, bail bond and surety bond moved in terms of anticipatory bail order dated 05.04.2022 is extended till next date of hearing and A-6 is directed to appear alongwith his surety on next date of hearing.

Perusal of order sheet dated 11.04.2023 reveals that as sureties of accused(s) namely Anytama Verma and Puran Sharma were not present, bail bonds of both the accused were extended till today with direction to both accused to appear alongwith their sureties on NDOH i.e. today. As both accused and their sureties are present today, bail bonds of both accused are accepted.

In compliance of bail order dated 11.04.2023, A-3 Puran Sharma has deposited his passport in court today. Same be taken on record.

Contd .....

Now to come up on **02.06.2023** for reply/arguments and disposal of all pending applications as well as for scrutiny of documents.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.05.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**01.05.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.05.2023**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**29.04.2023**

Present : None for Presenting Officer Shri Anil Kumar Verma.  
Delinquent Official Shri Rajesh Kumar in person.

Date is requested on behalf of Delinquent Official on the ground that Defence Assistant Shri Gaurav Bhutani is on leave today.

At request of delinquent official, now to come up for final arguments on 17.05.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.04.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**29.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.04.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**28.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.04.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**29.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.04.2023**

**CC no. 38/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Devender Gupta & Ors**  
**RC no. 47(A)/99/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT1-000229-2019**

**28.04.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Sanjay Malhotra.

Shri Gagan Minocha, Ld. counsel for A-1 Devender  
Gupta.

Shri Mohd. Shahrukh and Shri Vishal Sharma, Ld.  
counsels for A-4 Smt. Satyawati Gupta, A-5 Sunita  
Gupta & A-6 Anil Goel.

Shri H.R. Dhamija Ld. Counsel for A-7 Govind Ram.

**Personal appearance of accused Nos. 2 & 4 is  
stated to be already exempted vide order dated 25.05.2011  
through their Ld. counsel.**

**Personal appearance of accused No.3 & 5 is  
stated to be already exempted vide order dated 30.04.2022  
through their Ld. counsel.**

An application for exemption from personal  
appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-7) Govind Ram on  
medical grounds. Medical certificate in this regard has also been  
filed on record. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the  
application, (A-7) Govind Ram is exempted from personal  
appearance for today.

Accused Devender Gupta (A-1) & Anil Goel (A-6)  
are present on bail.

Contd .....

Summons sent to PW Vikas Goel received back duly served. However, he has sent a request for exemption for today on the ground that he will be travelling to Chennai on 27.04.2023 and has requested for some other date.

Summons sent to PW Anjana Gupta received back duly served. However, she has sent a request for exemption for today on the ground that she is a resident of Kolkata and cannot come to court in such short notice.

Now to come up for PE on **08.05.2023 & 31.05.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.04.2023**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**24.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Avinash Gupta.

An application seeking permission to add modified list of witnesses and documents with charge sheet has been filed on record. Alongwith the application, the modified list of witnesses and documents has been filed. The same are taken on record.

At request of Ld. Sr. PP, to come up for consideration on 08.05.2023. IO be also summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.04.2023**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**24.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Avinash Gupta.

An application seeking permission to add modified list of witnesses and documents with charge sheet has been filed on record. Alongwith the application, the modified list of witnesses and documents has been filed. The same are taken on record.

At request of Ld. Sr. PP, to come up for consideration on 08.05.2023. IO be also summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.04.2023**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kumar @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**24.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Avinash Gupta.

An application seeking permission to add modified list of witnesses and documents with charge sheet has been filed on record. Alongwith the application, the modified list of witnesses and documents has been filed. The same are taken on record.

At request of Ld. Sr. PP, to come up for consideration on 08.05.2023. IO be also summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.04.2023**

**In respect of Complaint of inmate Ankit Dabas S/o Jaipal, Jail  
No.15, Mandoli, New Delhi**

**25.02.2023**

Present: None.

No one has appeared from Mandoli Jail. Let notice be issued to Superintendent, Jail No.15, Mandoli, Delhi for personal appearance on 20.05.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.02.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**24.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.04.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**24.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.04.2023**

**CBI Vs.**  
**RC No.**  
**CC No.**  
**CNR No.**

**24.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.04.2023**

**Moin Akhtar Qureshi vs. CBI.**  
**FIR no. RC 224/2017/A0001**  
**U/s 8, 9, 13(2) R/w 13(1)(d) P. C. Act**  
**PS: CBI/AC-VI [SIT], Delhi**

**19.04.2023**

**This is an application moved on behalf of applicant Sathish Babu Sana seeking permission to travel abroad.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI

Ms. Stuti Gujral, Shri Shaikh Bakhtiyar and Ms. Shaurya Singh , Ld. Counsel(s) for the applicant.

Copy of the application supplied to Ld. Sr. PP. At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for reply and arguments on **20.04.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.04.2023**

**CC no. 26/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ishma Arora & Ors.**  
**RC no. 9E/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001068-2019**

**17.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Anuj Rathi, Ld. counsel for A-1 Ishma Arora.

Shri Suresh Kumar Garg, Ld. counsel for A-3 Rajiv Kumar Dogra.

Shri Anil Bhargava, Ld. counsel for A-4 Sandeep Khera.

Shri Vinay Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-6 Suresh Kumar.

Accused Ishma Arora (A-1) and accused Sandeep Khera (A-4) have appeared on bail **through VC (Cisco Webex App)**.

Accused Suresh Kumar and Amit Verma (on bail in this case) produced from JC.

Accused Rajiv Kumar Dogra is present on bail.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of accused Ishma Arora. Heard. In view of grounds stated, accused Ishma Arora is exempted from personal appearance for today only. She has however appeared through VC.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-4 Sandeep Khera. Heard. In view of grounds mentioned in the application, A-4 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

An application has been moved on behalf of A-6

Suresh Kumar for issuing direction to Tihar Jail Authorities for taking treatment from Sharp Sight Center. Let copy of the application be forwarded to Superintendent, Jail Tihar. Superintendent Jail is directed to file reply to the application by next date of hearing.

An application has been moved on behalf of A-7 Amit Verma for issuing direction to Mandoli Jail Authorities for providing mulakat facility and legal meeting through VC. Let copy of the application be forwarded to Superintendent, Mandoli Jail, for filing reply to the application by next date of hearing.

PW-35 Shri Davinder Kumar Sharma is present, examined and discharged.

Summons sent to PW Shri Ramesh Kumar Sharma & Shri Sanjay Mehta received back with report that both the witnesses have expired. Death certificates of both the witnesses have been filed on record. In view of the report received on the summons, names of both the witnesses be deleted from the list of witnesses.

At joint request, the application U/s 311 Cr. P.C moved on behalf of applicant/accused Nitin Arora (Since deceased) and Ishma Arora is adjourned for today.

Shri Vinay Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-6 submits that he will not be available for 15 days in the month of May from 12.05.2023 to 27.05.2023 on account of marriage of his brother.

To come up for arguments on application and for PE for **30.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.04.2023**



**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.  
CT No. 11/2020  
ECIR/10/DLZO-I/2017  
CNR DLCT11-000286-2020**

**17.04.2022**

**This is an application filed on behalf of A-16  
Rishabh Jhunjunwala seeking permission to travel abroad.**

Present : Sh. Arun Khatri, Ld. SPP for ED/prosecution.  
Sh. Madhav Khurana Sr. Counsel alongwith Shri  
Vignaraj Pasayat, Ld. counsel for applicant/A-16  
Rishabh Jhunjunwala.

Ld. SPP for ED seeks some time to file reply to the  
application. At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for filing reply  
and arguments on **21.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.04.2023**

**RC No. 0502021A0006/CBI/SC.II/New Delhi**  
**CBI Vs. M/s Mohan Gems & Jewels Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**  
**U/s 120B r/w 420, 468, & 471 IPC and substantive offences.**

**17.04.2023**

Present : Sh. Amit Kumar, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO.  
At request of Ld. Senior PP for CBI, to come up for  
consideration on **24.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.04.2023**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.  
ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**

**15.04.2023**

**An application has been moved on behalf of accused Ramesh Sharma for surrender of passport.**

Present : Applicant Ramesh Kumar Sharma in person with Ld. counsel Shri Kartikeye Dang.

In compliance of order dated 14.10.2022 and 17.11.2022, applicant/accused Ramesh Kumar Sharma has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record. Accused is directed to appear in person on **28.04.2023**, date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & Anr.**  
**RC No. 12(A)/2019/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**Case ID CC No. 30/2020**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000182-2020**

**15.04.2023**

**Matter taken up today as 14.04.2023 was declared Holiday.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Rahul Poonia Ld. counsel for A-1 K.  
Kannadassan.

A-2 Manoj Kumar is present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-1 K. Kannadassan. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 K. Kannadassan is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Reply to application U/s 311 Cr. P.C. not filed by CBI. It is submitted by Ld. Sr. PP that IO is suffering from Covid and he has been quarantined. At request of Ld. Sr. PP, put up for filing of reply and arguments on **19.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**

**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**

**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**

**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**15.04.2023**

**Matter taken up today as 14.04.2023 was declared Holiday.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI with IO Inspector  
Yatesh Chander Sharma.

Shri Arvind Kumar Shukla, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Abhishek, Proxy counsel for Shri Robin Kumar,  
Ld. counsel for A-3, A-4 & A-5.

Saurav Sharma (A-1), Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2),  
Onkar Singh (A-3) and Kishore Kumar Shrichandani  
(A-4) and Neeraj Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

Date is requested by A-1 Saurav Sharma as well as  
Proxy counsel for A-3, A-4 & A-5 as their counsels are not  
available. Date is also sought by Ld. counsel for A-2.

At joint request, to come up for arguments on charge for  
**09.05.2023**. IO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.04.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**13.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Abhinav Sekhri, Ld. counsel for A-3 Puran  
Sharma.

An application has been moved on behalf of A-3 Puran Sharma seeking some time for compliance of bail order dated 11.04.2023 for deposit of passport in court. It is prayed by Ld. counsel for applicant that applicant be permitted to deposit his passport in court on NDOH i.e. 01.05.2023.

Heard. Application is allowed and A-3 Puran Sharma is permitted to deposit his passport in the court on date already fixed i.e. **01.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.04.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**12.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
DSP P.K. Srivastava.

Sh. Gagan Minocha proxy counsel for Shri Harsh K.  
Sharma Ld. counsel for A-1, A-2 & A-7.

Sh. Abhishek Mahal, Ld. counsel for A-3.

Sh. Suyash Sinha, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Sh.Anshuman Vashistha and Ms. Harleen Kaur, Ld.  
counsels for A-5 & A-6.

Mahendra Singh Chauhan (A-1), Pawan Baid (A-2)  
also representing (A-7) Authorized Representative of  
M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. Bhupender Singh  
Rawat (A-3), Inder Singh (A-4), Babul Nath (A-5)  
and Mahesh Kumar (A-6) are present on bail.

Reply to applications U/s 207 Cr. P.C. moved on  
behalf of A-5 & A-6 not filed and date is requested by Ld. Sr. PP  
for CBI. Date is also requested by Shri Gagan Minocha, Ld.  
proxy counsel for Ld. counsel for A-1, A-2 & A-7 for arguments  
on the applications.

To come up for reply and arguments on all pending  
applications on **18.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/12.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**12.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
DSP P.K. Srivastava.

Shri Abhishek Mahal, Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Shri Gagan Minocha proxy counsel for Shri H.K.  
Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-3 & A-4.

(A-1) Madhur Kaushal, (A-2) Pawan Baid also  
representing (A-4) Authorized Representative of M/s  
ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.& is present on bail.

Application for exemption has been moved on behalf  
of (A-2) Avdesh Kumar Kaushal. Heard. In view of the grounds  
mentioned in the application, A-2 is exempted from personal  
appearance for today only.

Reply on behalf of CBI to applications U/s 91 Cr.  
P.C. moved on behalf of A-3 & A-4 not filed. Ld. Sr. PP seeks  
time to file the reply to said applications.

Ld. counsels for accused persons sought some time  
for arguments. To come up for reply and arguments on pending  
applications on **18.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/12.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. Praveen Kaushik & Ors.**  
**RC No. 33(A)/2014**  
**PS - CBI/ACB/ND**

**12.04.2022**

**This is an application for release of FDR/documents moved on behalf of applicant/surety Beena Goel W/o Shri Praveen Goel in respect of accused Praveen Kaushik who has been convicted vide judgment dated 13.07.2020.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Shri Ram Kumar, Ld. counsel for applicant.

As per report of Ahlmad, present case has been decided by this court on 13.07.2020 and judicial file has been consigned to record room.

Copy of application supplied to Ld. Sr. PP for CBI. Robkar be also issued to Incharge, Record Room for production of the file in court on **15.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.04.2023**

**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.  
CT No. 11/2020  
ECIR/10/DLZO-I/2017  
CNR DLCT11-000286-2020**

**11.04.2022**

**This is an application filed on behalf of A-16  
Rishabh Jhunjhunwala seeking permission to travel abroad.**

Present : Sh. Vignaraj Pasayat, Ld. counsel for applicant/A-16  
Rishabh Jhunjhunwala.

Let notice of the application be issued to ED for filing  
reply for 17.04.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.04.2023**



**CC no. 377/19**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjay Pratap Singh & Anr.**  
**RC no. 41(A)/2015/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001477-2019**

**10.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.  
Accused persons Sanjay Pratap Singh (A-1) and  
Ramesh Kumar (A-2) are present.

It is submitted by accused Sanjay Pratap that matter has already been stayed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi is 02.05.2023 and prayer is made for adjourning the matter after 02.05.2023.

Accused(s) have filed copy of an order dated 05.04.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, whereby the matter has now been listed before the Hon'ble High Court on 02.05.2023.

At the request of accused persons, put up for further proceedings / awaiting further orders from Hon'ble High Court on **22.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/10.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. Devender Rastogi**  
**RC No. 219-2016-E-0013**  
**CC No. 181/2019**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11**

**06.04.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Members of the Bar are stated to be on strike today.

Accused Devender Rastogi (A-1), Jagmohan Sharma (A-3) & Anil Malhotra (A-4) are present on bail.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-2) Surender Kumar Gogia. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, (A-2) Surender Kumar Gogia is exempted from personal appearance for today.

No witness is present today. Now to come up for PE on **08.05.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.04.2023**

**CT Case No.34/2022**  
**ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.**  
**ED Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. & Anr.**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022**

**05.04.2023**

Present : Shri Nikhil Kohli proxy counsel for Shri Nitesh Rana,  
SPP for ED.

Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld. counsel for A-1 M/s OPG  
Securities Pvt. Ltd. & A-2 Sanjay Gupta.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-2) Sanjay Gupta. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, (A-2) Sanjay Gupta is exempted from personal appearance for today. It is submitted by Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2 that he has received the documents but some of the documents are illegible and he wants to move application U/s 207 Cr. P.C.

At request, to come up for further proceedings in this regard for **02.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. Brij Bhan Singh & Ors.**  
**CC No. 229/2019**  
**RC no. 18(E)/2013/CBI/EOU-IV/EO-II/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000901-2019**

**05.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Members of the Bar are stated to be on strike today.

A-3 Rita Verma and A-4 Neeraj Kumar Garg on bail  
in person.

PW-25 Shri Anar Singh is present. He is partly  
examined in chief. On request of Ld. SPP, his further examination  
chief is deferred. He is bound down for next date of hearing.

Now to come up for PE on **03.05.2023**. IO/HIO be  
summoned for the next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.04.2023**

**CC no. 230/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Rajesh Kumar Singh & Ors.**  
**RC no. 17(E)/2013/CBI/EOU-IV/EO-II/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000903-2019**

**05.04.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Members of the Bar are stated to be on strike today.

A-3 Rita Verma and A-4 Neeraj Kumar Garg on bail  
in person.

PW-28 Mrs. Neeta Madan is present. She is partly  
examined in chief. On request of Ld. SPP, her further examination  
chief is deferred. He is bound down for next date of hearing.

Now to come up for PE on **03.05.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned  
for the next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. M/s Noesis Industries Ltd. & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2023/CBI/SC-II/ND**  
**U/s 120B r/w Sec. 420/468/471 IPC**  
**13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act.**

**05.04.2023**

Present: Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr.PP for CBI alongwith DSP  
A. Sathiamoorthy.

This is an application for compliance report on  
execution of search warrants.

It is submitted by Ld. SPP for CBI that during search  
of different premises, certain articles/documents were  
seized and prayer is made for taking on record the  
search list dated 29.03.2023. List is taken on record.

Application stands disposed off.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.04.2023**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**03.04.2023**

Present : Ms. Shashi Tiwari, Ld. Substitute PP for CBI.  
IO not present today.

Ld. Substitute PP stated that all Public Prosecutors have gone to Vigyan Bhawan to attend CBI day.

As Ld. Sr. PP as well as IO are not available today, to come up for consideration for **24.04.2023**. IO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.04.2023**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**03.04.2023**

Present : Ms. Shashi Tiwari, Ld. Substitute PP for CBI.  
IO not present today.

Ld. Substitute PP stated that all Public Prosecutors have gone to Vigyan Bhawan to attend CBI day.

As Ld. Sr. PP as well as IO are not available today, to come up for consideration for **24.04.2023**. IO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.04.2023**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kumat @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**03.04.2023**

Present : Ms. Shashi Tiwari, Ld. Substitute PP for CBI.  
IO not present today.

Ld. Substitute PP stated that all Public Prosecutors have gone to Vigyan Bhawan to attend CBI day.

As Ld. Sr. PP as well as IO are not available today, to come up for consideration for **24.04.2023**. IO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & others**  
**RC No. 14(A)/2018/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000020-2021**  
**CC No. 03/2021**

**03.04.2023**

Present : Ms. Shashi Tiwari, Ld. Substitute PP for CBI.

Ld. Substitute PP stated that all Public Prosecutors have gone to Vigyan Bhawan to attend CBI day.

Members of the Bar are stated to be on strike today.

A-1 K. Kannadasan, A-2 Tej Pal, A-3 Veer Singh Kem & A-4 Pradeep Sharma (also representing M/s Riduas Auto Components Pvt. Ltd. (A-5) are present on bail.

PW(s) Shri Mahendra Singh and Smt. Ruchira are present. As Ld. Sr. PP for CBI is not available and lawyers are stated to be abstaining from work, both the witnesses are discharged today and bound down for NDOH.

To come up for PE for **04.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/03.04.2023

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**03.04.2023**

**This is an application seeking permission to travel abroad and for release of passport, filed on behalf of applicant/accused Pawan Baid (A-5).**

Present : Ms. Shashi Tiwari, Ld. Substitute PP for CBI.

Ld. Substitute PP stated that all Public Prosecutors have gone to Vigyan Bhawan to attend CBI day.

Members of the Bar are stated to be on strike today.

As Ld. Sr. PP as well as IO are not available today, to come up for reply and arguments on 05.04.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.04.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**03.04.2023**

**This is an application seeking permission to travel abroad and for release of passport, filed on behalf of applicant/accused Pawan Baid (A-2).**

Present : Ms. Shashi Tiwari, Ld. Substitute PP for CBI.

Ld. Substitute PP stated that all Public Prosecutors have gone to Vigyan Bhawan to attend CBI day.

Members of the Bar are stated to be on strike today.

As Ld. Sr. PP as well as IO are not available today, to come up for reply and arguments on 05.04.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.04.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**03.04.2023**

**This is an application seeking permission to travel abroad and for release of passport, filed on behalf of applicant/accused Pawan Baid (A-3).**

Present : Ms. Shashi Tiwari, Ld. Substitute PP for CBI.

Ld. Substitute PP stated that all Public Prosecutors have gone to Vigyan Bhawan to attend CBI day.

Members of the Bar are stated to be on strike today.

As Ld. Sr. PP as well as IO are not available today, to come up for reply and arguments on 05.04.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.04.2023**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**01.04.2023**

Present : None for Presenting Officer Shri Anil Kumar Verma.  
Delinquent Official Shri Rajesh Kumar in person.

Request has been received from Presenting Officer through Whatsapp to the effect that on account of meeting of Purchase Cell today, he is not in a position to appear today.

Summons sent to Officer-in Charge, Sadar Police Station, Shillong, Meghalaya not received back. Issue fresh summons to above witness for NDOH.

At request of delinquent official, now to come up for DE on 15.04.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.04.2023**



**CC No. 69/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Surender Kumar Bhandoria**  
**RC No. 31(A)/2021**  
**u/S 7 of PC Act 1988**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000509-2021**

**31.03.2023**

Present : Sh. Avneesh Kumar Chand, Ld. Substitute PP for  
CBI.

Accused Surender Kumar Bhandoria on bail with Ld.  
counsels Shri N.K. Bhatnagar and Shri Naveen  
Kumar.

Shri N.K. Bhatnagar Ld. counsel has submitted that  
as accused has already engaged another counsel, he  
be discharged in this case.

Summons sent to PW Shri Pramod Kumar received  
back with his request that he will not be able to appear in court  
today as he will be traveling to Bihar. Summons sent to PW Shri  
Srawan Kumar Gupta received back with report that he is unable  
to appear in court today due to his ill health.

To come up for PE for **26.04.2023**. Both the  
witnesses be summoned again for NDOH. IO/HIO be summoned  
for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**29.03.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO  
Inspector Shakti Kumar.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Abhishek  
Tiwari.

Shri Vaibhav Suri, Ld. counsel for A-2 Anand Dilip  
Daga.

Shri Narender Mann and Shri Shailesh Chandra Jha,  
Ld. Counsel(s) for A-3 Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane.

(A-1)Accused Abhishek Tiwari, (A-2) Anand Dilip  
Daga & (A-3) Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane are present  
on bail.

Notice was issued to Director, CFSL, New Delhi for expediting the examination of the documents/articles in the present case and for submitting the report till today. As per report received from Director, CFSL, 236 cases are pending in the Computer Forensic Division of the Laboratory and out of the same, more than 70% of the cases are pending for more than one year due to shortage of manpower/tools and more time is sought for submitting the report.

To come up on **29.04.2023** for awaiting report of CFSL as well as for arguments on application U/s 207 r/w Section 91 Cr. P.C.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/29.03.2023

**CC no. 38/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Devender Gupta & Ors**  
**RC no. 47(A)/99/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT1-000229-2019**

**29.03.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.  
Shri Gagan Minocha, Ld. counsel for A-1 Devender Gupta.  
Shri Bhavook Chauhan, Ld. counsel for A-2 Smt. Raj Rani Gupta & A-3 Smt. Sudha Gupta.  
Shri Mohd. Shahrukh and Shri Vishal Sharma, Ld. counsels for A-4 Smt. Satyawati Gupta, A-5 Sunita Gupta & A-6 Anil Goel.  
Shri H.R. Dhamija Ld. Counsel for A-7 Govind Ram.

**Personal appearance of accused Nos. 2 & 4 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 25.05.2011 through their Ld. counsel.**

**Personal appearance of accused No.3 & 5 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 30.04.2022 through their Ld. counsel.**

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-7) Govind Ram. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, (A-7) Govind Ram is exempted from personal appearance for today.

Accused Devender Gupta (A-1) & Anil Goel (A-6) are present on bail.

PW Shri Narender Kumar is present. However, Ld. PP for CBI requests to drop this witness. Statement of Ld. PP in this regard is recorded separately.

Contd .....

In view of statement of Ld. PP for CBI, PW Shri Narender Kumar is dropped from the array of list of witnesses.

PW-48 Shri Dinesh Mittal is present, examined and discharged.

Summons sent to PW Shiv Charan received back with report that his nephew has received the summons. It is further mentioned in the report that PW Shiv Charan has left the given address and at present is residing at House No. 34, Pocket-5, Sector-2, Rohini, New Delhi. Let summons to this witness be again issued at the above mentioned address for NDOH.

Date is sought by both the counsels for arguments on application for dropping proceedings against the accused No.1 Devender Gupta.

Now to come up for on **12.04.2023 & 21.04.2023** for PE as well as for further arguments on the aforesaid application.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Green Valley Plywood Limited & Ors.  
FIR No. RC.6(E)/2015/BDI/CBI/BS & FC/ New Delhi  
U/s 120B IPC r/w 420,467,468,471 IPC &  
Sec. 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act 1988**

**28.03.2023**

**This is an application filed on behalf of applicant /A-6 R.C. Juneja for seeking release of passport and permission to travel abroad.**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Aditya Singla, Ld. counsel for A-6 R.C. Juneja.

Copy of application supplied to Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Ld. Sr. PP seeks time to file reply. To come up for reply and arguments on **05.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**17.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.  
Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1.  
Shri Arvind Kumar Shukla, Ld. counsel for A-2.  
Shri Robin Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-3, A-4 &  
A-5.

Saurav Sharma (A-1), Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2),  
Onkar Singh (A-3) and Kishore Kumar Shrichandani  
(A-4) present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of (A-5) Neeraj Kumar on medical grounds. Heard. In  
view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-5 is  
exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Part arguments advanced on behalf of Ld. counsel  
for (A-1) Saurav Sharma have been heard.

At request of Ld. counsel for A-1, to come up for  
further arguments on charge for **14.04.2023**. IO be summoned for  
next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Green Valley Plywood Limited & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC.6(E)/2015/BDI/CBI/BS & FC/ New Delhi**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w 420,467,468,471 IPC &**  
**Sec. 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act 1988**

**16.03.2023.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Raj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 to A-3.  
Shri Himanshu Anand, Ld. counsel for A-4 & 5.  
Ms. Suchita, Ld. Proxy counsel for A-6.  
Ms. Shalu Tomar, Ld. counsel for A-12.

Accused Jagmohan Kejriwal (A-2)\_for self and for M/s Green Valley Plywood Ltd. (A-1), Anju Kejriwal (A-3), Mukesh Sharma (A-4) for self and for M/s Pine Decor Pvt. Ltd. (A-7) and M/s Mam Chand Mahabir Prasad Pvt. Ltd. (A-8), Pramod Kumar Varshney (A-5) for self and for M/s Vintage Decor Ltd. (A-9) and M/s Green Valley Decor Pvt. Ltd. (A-10), Ramesh Chander Juneja (A-6), Sukhdev Raj Khinchi (A-11) and Ashok Kumar Raheja (A-12) are present on bail.

It is submitted by Ld. SPP for CBI and Ld. counsels for accused persons that matter is still pending before Hon'ble High Court and proceedings in this case are stayed and matter is fixed for 08.08.2023 before Hon'ble High Court.

Now to come up for awaiting of orders from Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and further proceedings for **11.08.2023**. Accused(s) are directed to place on record copy of order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.03.2023**

CT Cases No. 9/2019  
ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.  
ECIR/03/DLZO/2016  
CNR No. DLCT11-000265-2019

**15.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused Manoj Gupta, Hemant Patil and Dharmesh Shah are present in person.

Accused C. P. Khandelwal is also present on bail with Ld. Proxy Counsel.

Today, the case is fixed for orders on the bail applications moved on behalf of accused persons, namely, Dharmesh Shah, Hemant Patil and Manoj Gupta (who also represents A-16 M/s Trinity Investments Pvt. Ltd.).

However, due to some personal reasons, I do not want to try this case.

**Let the complete file be placed before the Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge-CBI (PC Act), RADC, New Delhi today itself at 2.00 pm for appropriate orders.**

Since this court has recused to try this case, which is regarding a predicate offence to the CBI case bearing RC no. 04(E)/2014/CBI/BS and FC/ND, CC no. 23/2021 (CNR no. DLCT11-000205-2021) titled CBI vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Ors., **let the file of RC no. 04(E)/2014/CBI/BS and FC/ND (CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Ors.) be also placed before the Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge-CBI (PC Act), RADC, New Delhi today itself at 2.00 pm for appropriate orders.**

contd....2/-

The accused persons are directed to appear before the Ld. Principal District and Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge-CBI (PC Act), RADDC, New Delhi today itself at 2.00 pm.

The bail bond verification report regarding the bail bonds of accused C. P. Khandelwal has been received. The same is perused. In view of the report, the bail bond and surety bond furnished on behalf of accused C. P. Khandelwal stand accepted.

**A signed copy of this order be also placed in the file of CBI case bearing RC no. 04(E)/2014/CBI/BS and FC/ND, CC no. 23/2021 (CNR no. DLCT11-000205-2021) titled CBI vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Ors.**

Ahlmad is directed to send both the files complete in all respects before 2.00 pm.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/15.03.2023

**CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna**  
**(FIR No. RC2162018A0011 (RC AC1 2018 A0011))**  
**U/s 120 B IPC r/w 13(1)(d) r/w. 13(2) PC Act 1988**  
**and substantive offences thereof**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000236-2022)**  
**CC no. 28/2022**

**15.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Both accused persons are absent.

Sh. Akhil Ranganathan and Sh. Arshdeep Singh, Ld.  
Counsels for accused Chitra Ramkrishna.

Sh. Alok Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for accused Anand  
Subramanian.

Accused Sanjay Gupta is present on bail.

Separate applications for exemption have been filed on behalf of both the accused persons. Heard. In view of the grounds stated in the applications, both the accused persons are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is stated by the Ld. Sr. PP for CBI that in addition to the Sanction filed on the last date of hearing, the CBI wishes to file one more sanction letter in respect of accused Chitra Ramakrishna with regard to a separate activity/offence.

At request of Ld. Sr. PP for CBI as well as in the interest of justice, now to come up on **10.04.2023** for filing of the additional Sanction order, if any/consideration.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.03.2023**

**ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.**  
**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**& Anr.**  
**CT Case No.34/2022**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022**

**15.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused Sanjay Gupta alongwith Sh. Harshit Sethi,  
Advocate.

Bail bond and surety bond in terms of bail order dt.  
10.03.2023 have been furnished. The same are  
accepted.

Now, to come up on 05.04.2023 i.e. the date already  
fixed in the matter.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.03.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**14.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO.  
Shri Vikas Walia Ld. counsel for (A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma and (A-2) Ms. Anytama Verma.  
Shri Abhinav Sekhri Ld. counsel for (A-3) Puran Sharma.  
Shri Avneet Singh Sikka Ld. counsel for (A-4) Ramesh Aggarwal.  
Shri Harsh K. Sharma, Ld. Counsels for (A-5) Pawan Baid & (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.  
Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for (A-6) Tanmoy Dass.

(A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma, (A-2) Anytama Verma, (A-3) Puran Sharma, (A-4) Ramesh Aggarwal are present in person.

(A-5) Pawan Baid has appeared as Authorized Representative of (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of A-1 Laxmikant Verma.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for accused A- 4 Ramesh Agarwal that accused has been granted anticipatory bail vide order dated 05.10.2021 and in view of the judgement of

Contd....

Hon'ble Supreme court titled as **Sushila Aggarwal and Others Vs. State (NCT of Delhi) and another**, anticipatory bail can be continued even after filing of chargesheet till the end of the trial if no restrictive condition is imposed at the time of passing order of anticipatory bail.

I have perused the order dated 05.10.2021 passed by Sh.Sanjeev Aggarwal, Ld. Predecessor of this court and no restrictive condition was imposed while passing the impugned order. In view of the above mentioned order, bail bonds/surety bond is furnished and same is accepted. Original FDR is retained on record.

CD of chargesheet is supplied to all accused after playing the same on laptop. The CD is running properly.

Verification report of the bail bonds qua accused persons namely Puran Sharma, Anytama Verma and Tanmoy Das is not received back. IO is directed to file the report on or before next date of hearing.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsels for (A-2) Anytama Verma and (A-3) Puran Sharma that their bail applications are pending. Arguments heard.

Now to come up on **11.04.2023** for reply/arguments and disposal of all the pending applications as well as scrutiny of documents and clarification/orders on the bail applications of (A-2) Anytama Verma and (A-3) Puran Sharma.

Interim orders of (A-2) Anytama Verma and (A-3) Puran Sharma to continue.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)

Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/14.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Hem Chandra Borah & Ors.**  
**RC no. ACI 2021 A 0001 CBI ACI, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11000547-2021**

**14.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI..

Sh. Harsh K. Sharma, Ld. counsels for A-1 Hem Chandra Borah.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka, Ld. counsel for A-3  
alongwith Ramesh Aggarwal (A-3) on bail.

Applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf of A-1 Hem Chand Borah and A-2 Tapan Kumar Nag.

Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the applications, A-1 Hem Chand Borah and A-2 Tapan Kumar Nag are exempted from personal appearance for today.

Applications moved on behalf of accused No.1, viz. (i) application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. for supply of deficient copies; and (ii) application U/s 5(2) of PC Act, 1988 r/w Section 163 Cr.P.C. are pending.

Date is requested by Ld. PP to file replies to applications U/s 207 Cr. P.C. as well as to application U/s 5(2) of PC Act.

Brief arguments qua application u/s 91 Cr.PC for directions on behalf of accused (A-1) Hem Chandra Borah is filed today.

Contd.....

At request of Ld. SPP for CBI, to come up for reply  
and arguments to all pending applications on **11.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/14.03.2022**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**10.03.2023**

**The aforesaid Departmental Enquiry was listed for 09.03.2023 and is being taken up today in terms of Notification no. 823/I-G-4/Genl.-I/DHC dt. 07.03.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide which 09.03.2023 was declared a holiday.**

Present : None.

Matter has been called several times since morning.

Put up for DE on **18.03.2023**. DW-2 who was bound down for today be summoned again for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/10.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Ors.**  
**CBI no. 43/2019**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**10.03.2023**

**File taken up today on an application seeking medical assistance moved on behalf of applicant/accused Subrata Bhattacharya.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Ms. Radhika, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused no. 6  
Subrata Bhattacharya.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for applicant Subrata Bhattacharya that on 07.03.2023 inmate was taken to Higher Center for Management of Implant, DDU Hospital, but he is not getting any relief from the medicines prescribed by DDU Hospital. It is further submitted that earlier the tooth treatment was taken from Apollo Hospital and the doctors at Apollo Hospital know the medical history of applicant and the DDU Hospital is not well equipped so far as dental procedure is concerned and prayer is made for sending the inmate to Apollo hospital for tooth treatment.

In view of submission made by Ld. counsel for applicant, Superintendent, Jail is directed to take the inmate Subrata Bhattacharya to Apollo Hospital for his dental treatment and cost/expenses shall be borne by the applicant/accused himself. Jail Superintendent is further directed to file the medical status report to this court on or before next date of hearing i.e. **21.03.2023**. Application stands disposed off.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/10.03.2023

**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CT No. 09/19**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**07.03.2023**

**Judicial file has been produced before this court by the Reader of the Court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-III, Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02, PC Act being the first Link Judicial Officer as Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present: Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP for ED alongwith Shri Atul Sharma Ld. counsel for ED.  
Shri Shishir Pinaki, Ld. counsel for A-1. (Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of A-1).  
Shri Neeleshwar Kaushik, Ld. counsel for A-5.  
Shri Jai Anant Dehadrai, Ld. counsel for A-6.  
Shri Adrish Guha for A-8, A-11, A-12 & A-19.  
Ms. Ipsita Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for A-9 and A-10.  
Shri Vivek Bishnoi, Ld. counsel for A-15, A-16 & A-18.  
Shri Ashish Mohan, Ld. counsel for A-17.  
Shri Aashul Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for A-20 and A-21

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of Shishir Pinaki D. Shah AR for A-11 M/s DDPL Global Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & A-12 M/s Unicorn Infra Projects, AR for A-18 M/s Unicorn Infra Projects (now known as Systematix Holdings Pvt. Ltd.), Mr. Nikhil Khandelwal, AR for A-19 M/s Super Star Export Pvt. Ltd. Mr. Mukesh Kumar, AR for A-20 M/s Conlecta Capital Advisor and A-21 Wonderdream Developers Pvt. Ltd. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, accused(s) mentioned above are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Contd .....

A-1 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & A-6 Gurmeet Singh produced from JC.

A-4 Mohan Lal Sehejpal, A-8 C.P. Khandelwal, A-9 Dharmesh Shah, A-10 Hemant Patil, A-15 Manoj Gupta (he also represents A-16 Trinity Investments Pvt. Ltd.) present on bail.

Reader submits that A-7 Sarvesh Kumar was present in the morning. A-7 is not present at this stage. Issue B/W against him in sum of Rs.5000/- for next date of hearing.

An application U/s 317 Cr. P.C. has been filed on behalf of A-1 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.

An application U/s 457 Cr. P.C. has been filed on behalf of A-8 C.P. Khandelwal.

Some more time is sought on behalf of ED for filing reply to application moved on behalf of applicant Anil Gupta seeking directions to ED in respect of summons to Dhananjaya Money Management Services Pvt. Ltd. Put up on **06.04.2023** for filing reply to above mentioned application and for scrutiny of documents.

Now to come up for orders on bail applications moved on behalf of A-9, A-10 & A-15 on **13.03.2023**.

(AJAY GUPTA)  
Link Judge (PC Act)  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/07.03.2023

**ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.**  
**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**& Anr.**  
**CT Case No.34/2022**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022**

07.03.2023

**Ld. PO is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. Nitesh Rana, SPP for ED.

None for accused.

As per directions, now to come up for orders on  
**10.03.2023.**

**READER**

In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-III  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
07.03.2023

**CC no. 04/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Rajesh Kumar Singh & Ors.**  
**RC no. 17(E)/2013/CBI/EOU-IV/EO-II/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000903-2019**

**07.03.2023**

**Ld. PO is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Shri Sahil Ghai, Ld. counsel for A-3 Rita Verma.

Shri Anuj Kapoor, Ld. counsel for A-4 Neeraj Kumar Garg.

A-3 Rita Verma and A-4 Neeraj Kumar Garg on bail in person.

Summons sent to PW Mrs. Neeta Madan received back with her request that she has an appointment with Passport Office today.

No other PW is present or summoned for today.

Now to come up for PE on **05.04.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for the next date of hearing.

**READER**

In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-III  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
07.03.2023

**CBI Vs. Brij Bhan Singh & Ors.**  
**CC No. 05.2019**  
**RC no. 18(E)/2013/CBI/EOU-IV/EO-II/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000901-2019**

**07.03.2023**

**Ld. PO is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI Inspector  
Manoj Kumar.

Shri Sahil Ghai, Ld. counsel for A-3 Rita Verma.

A-3 Rita Verma and A-4 Neeraj Kumar Garg on bail  
in person.

PW Shri Anar Singh is present and discharged. He is  
bound down for next date of hearing.

No other PW is present or summoned for today.

Now to come up for PE on **05.04.2023**. IO/HIO be  
summoned for the next date of hearing.

**READER**

In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-III  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
07.03.2023

**CC no.**  
**CBI Vs.**  
**RC no.**  
**CNR no.**

**07.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/07.03.2023**

**RC No. 0502021A0006/CBI/SC.II/New Delhi**  
**CBI Vs. M/s Mohan Gems & Jewels Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**  
**U/s 120B r/w 420, 468, & 471 IPC and substantive offences.**

**06.03.2023**

Present : Sh. Amit Kmar, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

At request of Ld. Senior PP for CBI, to come up for  
consideration on 23.03.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.03.2023**

**CC no. 266/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ishma Arora & Ors.**  
**RC no. 9E/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001068-2019**

**06.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Anuj Rathee, Ld. counsel for A-1/Ishma Arora.

An application has been filed on behalf of accused Ishma Arora for furnishing new surety as one surety was discharged.

Perusal of record reveals that on application filed by one of the surety namely Rahul Kumar, he was discharged vide order dated 30.05.2019.

Today, Ld. counsel for A-1/Ishma Arora has furnished fresh bail bond and surety bond on behalf of accused Ishma Arora. Same is accepted till 17.03.2023 subject to verification through IO. IO is directed to verify the address, particulars and documents filed by the surety. Surety is directed to appear on next date of hearing.

Now to come up on date already fixed i.e.  
**17.03.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Ors.**  
**CBI no. 43/2019**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**06.03.2023**

**File taken up today on an application seeking medical assistance moved on behalf of applicant/accused Subrata Bhattacharya.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Sh. Janender Kumar Chumbak, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused no. 6 Subrata Bhattacharya.

Vide letter bearing no. SCJ-7/AS UT/2023/922 dt. 05.03.2023 of the Deputy Superintendent, Central Jail no. 7, Tihar, New Delhi, some more time has been sought to furnish the medical status report regarding UTP Subrata Bhattacharya.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel that the applicant/accused is facing severe toothache as the screw of his tooth has loosened and therefore, the applicant is not able to eat or drink anything and therefore, urgent medical assistance is required for the applicant/accused Subrata Bhattacharya.

It is further submitted that since earlier the tooth treatment was taken from Apollo Hospital, the applicant/accused may be permitted to take the further required treatment from Apollo Hospital only.

Heard. The order dt. 09.10.2020 passed by Sh. Ashok Kumar, Ld. ACMM-2, RADDC, vide which the

applicant was permitted to take the Dental Treatment from Apollo Hospital has been perused.

Since no medical status report has yet been received and Ld. Counsel requests for urgent medical assistance to the applicant/accused, Jail Superintendent concerned is directed to look into the matter and provide the necessary medical assistance to the applicant/accused Subrata Bhattacharya and if need be, the applicant/accused Subrata Bhattacharya be taken to Apollo Hospital for his dental treatment (cost/expenses to be borne by the applicant/accused). Jail Superintendent is further directed to file the medical status report to this court within two days.

Now, to come up on 10.03.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Devender Rastogi**  
**RC No. 219-2016-E-0013**  
**CC No. 181/2019**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11**

**06.03.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Sh. Rajat Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1.  
Shri M.K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-2..  
Shri N.C. Gupta, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Accused Devender Rastogi (A-1), Surender Kumar Gogia (A-2), Jagmohan Sharma (A-3), Anil Malhotra (A-4) are present on bail.

PW-68 Shri Ait Mahaliyan and PW-69 Shri Rajesh Ranjan are present, cross examined and discharged.

No other witness is present or summoned for today.

Now to come up for PE on **06.04.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.03.2023**

**ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.**  
**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**& Anr.**  
**CT Case No.34/2022**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022**

06.03.2023

Present : Sh. Nitesh Rana, SPP for ED.

Sh. Harshit Sethi, Ld. Counsel for A-1 M/s OPG  
Securities Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Pramod Kumar Dubey Sr. Counsel alongwith Shri  
Harshit Sethi Adv. for A-2 Sanjay Gupta.

A-2 Sanjay Gupta in person who also represents A-1  
OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.

Reply filed on behalf of ED to application U/s 439 Cr.  
P.C r/w Sec. 3 & 4 of PMLA, 2002 moved on behalf of (A-2)  
Sanjay Gupta.

Arguments advanced on behalf of both the parties have  
been heard.

Now to come up for orders on **07.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.03.2023**

**CC no. 26/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ishma Arora & Ors.**  
**RC no. 9E/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001068-2019**

**04.03.2023**

**This is an application U/s 440 Cr. P.C. on behalf of accused Ishma Arora for modification of order dated 06.03.2017**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Anuj Rathee, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused  
Ishma Arora.

At the outset, Ld. Counsel for accused submitted that he wants to withdraw the present application. Signature of the Counsel is taken on the margin of the application to this effect. Keeping in view the statement made by Ld. Counsel for accused, present application U/s 440 Cr. P.C is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & Anr.**  
**RC No. 12(A)/2019/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**Case ID CC No. 30/2020**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000182-2020**

**03.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Rahul Poonia Ld. counsel for A-1 K.  
Kanadassan.

Shri Sheikh Bakhtiyar, Ld. counsel for A-2 Manoj  
Kumar.

Applications for exemption have been moved on  
behalf of A-1 K. Kannadassan & A-2 Manoj Kumar  
Singh. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in  
the applications, A-1 & A-2 are exempted from  
personal appearance for today only.

Date is requested by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI for filing reply  
to application U/s 311 Cr. P.C. At request of Ld. SPP, put up on  
**22.03.2023** for reply and arguments.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Subodh Mishra**  
**CC No. 376/2019**  
**RC No. 04(a)/2014/SPE/CBI/AC-III/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001473-2019**

**03.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V.k. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI alongwith HIO  
Inspector Vinod Kumar.

Shri Nitesh Anan Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1 Subodh  
Mishra.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi, Ld. counsel for A-2 Rajesh  
Agnihotri & A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt. Ltd.

A-1 Subodh Mishra and A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri (who  
also represents A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt.  
Ltd.) are present on bail.

PW-11 Sh. Laxman Singh Karki is present and partly  
cross examined. His further cross examination is deferred at the  
request of Ld. counsel for A-2 & A-3. He is bound down for  
NDOH.

Now to come up for PE on **25.03.2023**. IO/HIO be  
summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.03.2023**

**ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.**  
**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**& Anr.**  
**CT Case No.34/2022**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022**

02.03.2023

Present : Sh. Nitesh Rana, SPP alongwith for ED alongwith  
Ld. counsels Shri Nikhil Kohli and Hitesh Nandel.  
Sh. Harshit Sethi, Ld. Counsel for A-1 M/s OPG  
Securities Pvt. Ltd.  
Shri Pramod Kumar Dubey Sr. Counsel for A-2 Sanjay  
Gupta.  
A-2 Sanjay Gupta in person who also represents A-1  
OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.  
Ld. SPP for ED seeks some time to file reply to the bail  
application.

At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for reply and  
arguments on bail application of accused Sanjay Gupta on  
**06.03.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/02.03.2023

**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CT No. 09/19**  
**ECIR/03/DLZO/2016**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**02.03.2023**

Present: Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP for ED alongwith Shri Atul  
Sharma Ld. counsel for ED.

Ms. Ipsita Agarwal, Ld. counsel for A-9 Dharmesh  
Shah and A-10 Hemant Patil.

Shri Lokesh Kashyap proxy counsel for Ld. counsel  
Shri Vivek Singh Bishnoi for A-15 Manoj Gupta.

A-9 Dharmesh Shah, A-10 Hemant Patil and A-15  
Manoj Gupta in person.

Clarification sought. Put up for orders on all three  
bail applications on **07.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.03.2023**

**CC No. 61/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Others**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2019/CBI/AC-III/SPE/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**01.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Mudit Jain, Ld. counsel for A-1.  
Shri Pratyanshu Pandey, Ld. counsel for A-2. **(Fresh vakalatnama filed today)**  
Shri Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4.  
Shri Shahbaaz Jameel, Ld. counsel for A-5.

Accused Sushil Kumar (A-2), Sachin Gupta (A-3), Khizar Abbas (A-5) and Javed Khan (A-6) are present on bail.

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of A-1 Col. Ajay Kumar Singh A-4 B. Ramachandhiran. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-1 & A-4 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for (A-4) B. Ramachandhiran that in compliance of application U/s 208 Cr. P.C., he has been received the deficient copies of documents mentioned in his application dated 02.06.2022 from IO. As the documents mentioned in the application have already been supplied, application stands disposed off.

Now to come up for arguments on all pending applications on **13.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**01.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO.  
Shri Himanshu, proxy Cl. for applicant Vikrant  
Deshmukh.

Ld. Sr. PP for CBI has submitted that annexures mentioned in the application were not supplied with the copy of the application.

Proxy counsel appearing for applicant is directed to supply the documents filed alongwith the application to Ld. SPP today itself.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)

Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.03.2023**

12.30 PM.

Ld. proxy counsel has supplied the annexures to Ld.  
Sr. PP for CBI.

Now to come up for reply and arguments on  
02.03.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.03.2023**

**Contempt Petition**  
**Ravi Kapoor Vs. DCP 3<sup>rd</sup> Battallion**

**13.02.2023**

Present : None.

Put up for consideration on 28.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.02.2023**

**Contempt Petition**  
**Ravi Kapoor Vs. DCP 3<sup>rd</sup> Battallion**

**28.02.2023**

Present : None.

Complaint U/s 10 & 12 of Contempt of Courts Act has been received from UTP Ravi Kapoor through Officer In-Charge, General Branch, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi for taking cognizance of non compliance of order dated 25.08.2010 passed by Shri Girish Kathpalia, the then ASJ, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi and order dated 23.02.2022 passed by Shri Dev Saroha, Ld. MM, Patiala House Courts, New Delhi. Shri Girish Kathpalia, the then ASJ, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi had passed the order in case FIR No. 24/09, PS Safdarjung Enclave and certain directions were given regarding separate van and increase of escort guard. Shri Dev Saroha, Ld. MM has passed order in case FIR No. 28/2002 (Crl. Cases 8930/2017).

As per the order dated 02.06.2018, passed by Shri Talwant Singh, the then District & Sessions Judge (HQ) Delhi, the undersigned was authorized to visit Jail No.15, Mandoli, Delhi to see the administrative work i.e. to check the food, sanitation conditions, medical conditions etc. and after checking, to submit inspection report. So far as the above mentioned contempt application is concerned, being authorised to check the above mentioned administrative work, the undersigned has no jurisdiction/authority to try the contempt application. Hence, the contempt petition be sent to Ld. District & Sessions Judge (HQ), Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi with the prayer for assigning the same to appropriate court.

Copy of this order be also sent to Officer Incharge, General Branch, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/28.02.2023**

**CC no. 37/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Rakesh Kumar**

**01.03.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Pradeep Mahajan, Ld. counsel for convict Satbir Singh alongwith convict Satbir Singh and Surety Shri Amarjeet Singh Sahni.

Ld. counsel for convict states that interim order has been extended by Hon'ble High Court and next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court is 19.05.2023. An application intimating the next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court alongwith the copy of order dated 21.02.2023 has also been filed on behalf of the convict.

It these circumstances, the bail bond and surety bond of the convict is accepted till next date of hearing in terms of order dated 21.02.2023 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Put up for further proceedings / awaiting further directions / further orders on **26.05.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.03.2023**

**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.**  
**CT No. 11/2020**  
**CNR DLCT11-000286-2020**

**01.03.2023**

**File taken up today on application moved on behalf of applicant/accused No.16 Rishabh Jhunjhunwala seeking permission to travel abroad to Dubai from 02.03.2023 to 09.03.2023 and to Istanbul from 09.03.2023 to 15.03.2023.**

Present : Sh. Arun Khatri, Ld. SPP for ED/prosecution.

Shri Vignaraj Pasayat, Ld. counsel for A-16 Rishabh Jhunjhunwala.

Heard. Record perused.

It is stated by Ld. counsel for applicant/accused that vide order dated 17.12.2022, applicant was permitted to travel to Indonesia from 19.12.2022 to 27.12.2022 (both days inclusive) and thereafter to Singapore for a period of four months w.e.f. 27.12.2022 (both days inclusive). However, due to his professional exigencies/commitments, he is also required to travel to Dubai from 02.03.2023 to 09.03.2023 and to Istanbul from 09.03.2023 to 15.03.2023.

Ld. Special PP for ED has opposed the present application and states that the applicant despite knowing the fact that he is also required to travel Dubai and Istanbul did not mention this fact in the earlier application and prayer is made for dismissal of the present application.

As per record, accused has been granted permission to travel to Indonesia earlier also and he has always always reported back to the court on time.

In view of the above facts and circumstances and

keeping in mind that factum of travel to Dubai & Intanbul has been disclosed by accused Rishabh Jhunjunwala himself and the fact that he has already been granted permission to travel to Singapore from 01.01.2022 to 31.03.2022, the application is allowed and the applicant/accused Rishabh Jhunjunwala is permitted to travel to Dubai from 02.03.2023 to 09.03.2023 and to Istanbul from 09.03.2023 to 15.03.2023, subject to the same terms and conditions as have been mentioned in the order dated 17.12.2022.

The application stands disposed off accordingly.

At request, copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.03.2023**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CBI No. 43/19**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**01.03.2023**

Present : Shri Manish Pratap Singh Ld. counsel for A-21 Anil Khemka.

Bail bond/surety bond has been filed pursuant to order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in bail application bearing No. 717/2022 alongwith copy of order dated 24.02.2023.

Heard.

In terms of the said orders, bail bond and surety bonds furnished on behalf of accused Anil Khemka is accepted till 04.03.2023 subject to verification through IO. IO is directed to verify the address, particulars and documents filed by the two sureties and also directed to verify the position regarding passport mentioned in paragraph C of the order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi. The remaining conditions mentioned in order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi be complied and accused and both the sureties are directed to appear before the court on next date of hearing.

Now to come up on **04.03.2023** for arguments on all the pending applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.03.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**28.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Sh. Harsh K. Sharma for Ld. counsels for A-1, A-2 & A-7.  
Sh. Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-3.  
Sh. Syed Mohd Shoeb, Ld. counsel for A-4.  
Sh. Anshuman Vashistha, Ld. counsel for A-5 & A-6.

Mahender Singh Chauhan (A-1), Pawan Baid (A-2) also representing (A-7) Authorized Representative of M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. Bhupender Singh Rawat (A-3), Inder Singh (A-4) and Mahesh Kumar (A-6) are present on bail.

Application for exemption has been moved on behalf of Babul Nath (A-5). Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-5 Babul Nath is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Fresh applications U/s 207 Cr. P. C. have been filed on behalf of accused (A-5) Babul Nath and (A-6) Mahesh Kumar. Copies supplied to the Ld. Sr. PP for CBI. Reply, if any, be filed by the next date of hearing.

Ld. counsels for accused persons sought some time for arguments. To come up for reply and arguments on pending applications on 20.03.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.02.2023**

**CC No. 69/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Surender Kumar Bhandoria**  
**RC No. 31(A)/2021**  
**u/S 7 of PC Act 1988**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000509-2021**

**28.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Surender Kumar Bhandoria on bail with Ld. counsels Shri N.K. Bhatnagar and Shri Naveen Kumar.

Shri N.K. Bhatnagar Ld. counsel has submitted that as accused has already engaged another counsel, he be discharged in this case.

PW-7 Shri Prakash Saxena and PW-8 Shri Kawaljet Singh are present, examined and discharged.

To come up for PE for **31.03.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.02.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**28.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/28.02.2023

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.**  
**(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)**  
**RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CC No. 56/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**27.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
DSP P.K. Srivastava.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma and Shri Lakshya Parashar, Ld.  
counsels for A-3 & A-4.

(A-1) Madhur Kaushal is present on bail.

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of (A-2) Avdesh Kumar Kaushal and (A-3) Pawan Baid & Authorized Representative of M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (A-4). Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-2 and A-3 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Reply on behalf of CBI to applications of A-3 & A-4 not filed. Ld. Sr. PP seeks time to file the reply to said applications.

Ld. counsels for accused persons sought some time for arguments. To come up for reply and arguments on pending applications on **20.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & others**  
**RC No. 14(A)/2018/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000020-2021**  
**CC No. 03/2021**

**27.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Rahul Poonia, Ld. counsel for A-1 K. Kannadasan.

Shri Surender Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for A-2 Tej Pal and A-3 Veer Singh Kem.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi, Ld. counsel for A-4 Pradeep Sharma & A-5 M/s Riduas Auto Component Pvt. Ltd.

A-2 Tej Pal, A-3 Veer Singh Kem & A-4 Pradeep Sharma (also representing M/s Riduas Auto Components Pvt. Ltd. (A-5) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-1 K. Kannadasan. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

PW-18 Shri Anil Kumar Dube is present and his cross examination completed.

To come up for PE for **03.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**27.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO.  
Shri D.S. Kohli alongwith Shri Himanshu, Ld. counsels  
for applicant Vikrant Deshmukh.

Orders dated 20.02.2023 from Hon'ble High Court of Delhi  
received and the relevant portion of the order is as under:

*“I have considered the submissions. Section 70(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr. P.C.) specifically provides that the court issuing the warrants has jurisdiction to cancel the same. In view of the submissions made by learned senior counsel let an application for cancellation of NBWs be moved before the learned Special Judge. Learned Special Judge shall consider the same as per law without being influenced by the factum of the filing of present petition and its withdrawal. It is also made specifically clear that this court has not gone into the merits of the case and no expression made herein shall tantamount to be an impression on the merits of the case. Learned Special Judge shall decide the issue of cancellation of NBWs being moved by the petitioner independently.*

*Learned Special Judge is directed to dispose of the application of cancellation of NBWs within 7 days of the filing thus for two weeks i.e. till 06.03.2023, the NBWs issued against the petitioner shall not be executed”.*

An application is moved on behalf of applicant Shri Vikrant Shridharrao Deshmukh U/s 70 (2) Cr. P.C for cancellation of warrants of arrest issued against him vide order dated 05.07.2022. Copy supplied.

As the application for cancellation of NBWs has to be decided within 7 days of the date of filing, therefore the matter is adjourned for 01.03.2023 for reply and arguments.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.02.2023**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**25.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Animesh Kumar.

Sanction order in respect of accused Sant Prasad  
Gupta and M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. has been filed  
by CBI. Same is taken on record. Now, to come up  
on **18.03.2023** for consideration.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.02.2023**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**25.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Animesh Kumar.

Sanction order in respect of accused Dipu Kumar &  
M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. has been filed by CBI.  
Same is taken on record. IO sought some more time  
for filing relevant documents relating to this case. At  
request, put up for filing of documents and  
consideration for **18.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.02.2023**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kummat @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**25.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Animesh Kumar.

Sanction order in respect of accused Sant Prasad Gupta & M/s Sify Technologies Ltd. has been filed by CBI. Same is taken on record. IO sought some more time for filing relevant documents relating to this case. At request, put up for filing of documents and consideration for **18.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.02.2023**

**CNR No. DLCT1-000352-2020**  
**CR No. 41/2020**  
**Reena Chawla & Anr. Vs. State.**

**25.02.2023**

Present : Shri V.K. Pathak, SPP for CBI.

Shri Pardeep Mishra Ld. counsel for revisionist(s).

Clarification sought. Vide my separate order, the revision petition is dismissed. Trial court record be sent back to ld. court concerned. Revision file be consigned to record room.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/25.02.2023



**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.**  
**ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**

**24.02.2023**

Present : Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP with Mr. Mohd. Faizan Khan, Ld. counsel for ED.

Shri Rajat Katyal & Shri Tushar Gupta, Ld. counsels for A-1, A-3, A-4, A-5, A-6, A-7, A-8, A-9, A-11, A-19 & A-20.

Ms. Stuti Gujral, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri S. Uday Bhanu, Ld. counsel for A-10.

Shri Kartikeye Dang Ld. counsel for A-12, A-13, A-15 & A-16.

Shri Sabyasachi, Ld. counsel for A-17.

Shri Ashray Chopra, Ld. counsel for A-18.

None for A-21 M/s Jhabua Power Ltd.

A-2 Rana Kapoor joined/produced through VC from Taloja Central Jail, Mumbai.

A-3 B. Hariharan, A-4 Abhishek S. Pandey, A-5 Rajendra Kumar Mangal, A-6 Raghubir Kumar Sharma, A-7 Anil Bhargava, A-8 Tapsi Mahajan, A-9 Surender Kumar Khandelwal, A-11 Ms. Sonu Chadha, A-12 Harsh Gupta, A-13 Ramesh Sharma, A-14 Pawan Kumar Aggarwal, A-15 Amit Mamtani, A-16 Ashish Aggarwal, A-17 Amit Kumar, Ms. Megha Bhardwaj AR for A-19 & A-20 Chiranjiv Singh are present on bail.

None is present on behalf of A-21 M/s Jhabua Power Ltd.

Applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf of A-1 Gautam Thapar (He is on

interim bail from Hon'ble High Court of Delhi) & A-18 Vinod Bahety.

Contd .....

Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the applications, A-1 Gautam Thapar & A-18 Vinod Bahety are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is submitted by ld. counsel for A-10 Janmejaya Mahapatra that quashing petition was filed on 15.11.2021 and the Hon'ble High Court permitted the applicant to appear in this court through his counsel and that interim order is still continuing and prayer is made for exemption of the applicant. Record perused. The latest order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 16.01.2023 has been placed on record. In view of same, A-10 Janmejaya Mahapatra is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

An application has been moved on behalf of A-16 Ashish Agarwal seeking permission to travel abroad from 01.06.2023 to 18.06.2023. Ld. SPP for ED has sought some time to file reply. At request of Ld. SPP, let reply be filed by ED to the said application on **09.03.2023**.

Date is requested by Ld. counsels for A-2, A-10, A-12, A-13, A-15, A-16, A-17 & A-18. At request of Ld. counsels, to come up for reply / arguments on all pending applications on **01.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/24.02.2023

**CC no. 26/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ishma Arora & Ors.**  
**RC no. 9E/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001068-2019**

**24.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Anuj Rathi, Ld. counsel for A-1 Ishma Arora and  
A-2 Nitin Kumar Arora.

**(Fresh vakalatnama filed by Shri Anuj Rathi  
today)**

Shri S.K. Garg, Ld. counsel for A-3 Rajiv Kumar  
Dogra.

Shri Anil Bhargava, Ld. counsel for A-4 Sandeep  
Khera.

Shri Amitabh Narendra, Ld. counsel for A-5 Sudhir  
Kumar.

A-1 Ishma Arora has joined through VC.

A-6 Suresh Kumar and A-7 Amit Verma produced  
from JC.

A-5 Sudhir Kumar present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of A-4 Sandeep Khera. Heard. In view of the  
grounds mentioned in the application, A-4 is  
exempted from personal appearance for today only.

An application has been filed by Ld. counsel for A-2  
giving intimation regarding death of (A-2) Nitin Kumar Arora.  
Intimation in this regard has also been received from Tihar Jail on  
10.02.2023. In view of intimation received in this regard,  
proceedings against accused Nitin Kumar Arora stands abated.

Vide order dated 15.02.2023, passed by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, in CrI. Revision Petition 1113/2019, accused Sudhir Kumar has been discharged. His bail bond is cancelled and surety stands discharged.

Contd .....

An application has been filed on behalf of A-6 Suresh Kumar for issuing direction to Tihar Jail Authorities for getting A-6 treated at private eye care center at his cost. Let copy of the application be forwarded to Superintendent, Jail Tihar. Superintendent, Jail is directed to file reply of the application by next date of hearing.

PW-31 Shri Swapan Kumar Saha & PW-32 Shri Joginder Singh are present, examined and discharged. No other PW is present.

Accused Amit Verma has already been directed to be released on furnishing personal bond in sum of Rs.15,000/- vide order dated 14.11.2021 in terms of earlier order dated 16.12.2020 but despite that he has failed to furnish personal bond till date.

An application U/s 311 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-1 & A-2 for recalling PW-17, PW-18 & PW-19 is pending. Date is requested by Ld. counsels for A-1.

To come up for arguments on said application and for PE for **17.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.02.2023**

**CC no. 38/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Devender Gupta & Ors**  
**RC no. 47(A)/99/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT1-000229-2019**

**23.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Gagan Minocha Ld. counsel for A-1 Devender Gupta.

Shri Bhavook Chauhan, Ld. counsel for A-2 Smt. Raj Rani Gupta & A-3 Smt. Sudha Gupta.

Shri Mohd. Shahrukh and Shri Vishal Sharma, Ld. counsels for A-4 Smt. Satyawati Gupta, A-5 Sunita Gupta & A-6 Anil Goel.

Shri H.R. Dhamija Ld. Counsel for A-7 Govind Ram.

**Personal appearance of accused Nos. 2 & 4 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 25.05.2011 through their Ld. counsel.**

**Personal appearance of accused No.3 & 5 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 30.04.2022 through their Ld. counsel.**

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-7) Govind Ram. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, (A-7) Govind Ram is exempted from personal appearance for today.

Accused Devender Gupta (A-1) & Anil Goel (A-6) are present on bail.

PW-46 Shri S.K. Dogra is present, examined and discharged.

Summons sent to PW Anil Kumar Gupta received

back with report that witness was not found at the given address and as per his wife, he had gone to Punjab.

Contd.....

Summons sent to PW Shri G.S. Rawat received back with report that address mentioned on the summon is incomplete.

Now to come up for PE as well as for reply and arguments on application of A-1 Devender Gupta for dropping proceedings against him for **10.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**23.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Arvind Kumar Shukla, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Abhishek Mahal, Ld. counsel for A-3, A-4 & A-5.

Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2), Onkar Singh (A-3), Kishore Kumar Shrichandani (A-4) and Neeraj Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-1 Saurav Sharma. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for (A-1) Saurav Sharma and Ld. counsel for (A-3) Onkar Singh, (A-4) Kishore Kumar Shrichandani and (A-5) Neeraj Kumar that they want to withdraw the applications moved U/s 207 r/w section 91 Cr. P.C. and they requested that matter be fixed for arguments. In view of submissions made by Ld. counsels for A1, A-3, A-4 & A-5, applications moved U/s 207 r/w section 91 Cr. P.C. are dismissed as withdrawn.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for (A-2) Ram Krishan

Mishra that in compliance of application U/s 207 r/w section 91 Cr. P.C., he has already received all the legible copies of dim and missing documents mentioned at Page No.2 of the application and prayer is made to dispose of the application. In view of the submission made by Ld. counsel for A-2, application moved U/s 207 r/w section 91 Cr. P.C. stands disposed off.

Now to come up for arguments on charge for  
**17.03.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.02.2023**

**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CT No. 09/19**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**23.02.2023**

Present : Shri Saransh Ld. counsel for accused C.P.  
Khandelwal.

Bail bond/surety bond has been filed pursuant to order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in bail application bearing No. 2470/2022 alongwith copy of order dated 23.02.2023.

Heard.

In terms of the said orders, bail bond and surety bond furnished on behalf of accused C.P. Khandelwal is accepted till 07.03.2023 subject to verification through IO. IO is directed to verify the address, particulars and documents filed by the surety. The remaining conditions mentioned in order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi be complied and accused and surety are directed to appear before the court on next date of hearing.

In terms of the said order, an application has been filed on behalf of applicant/accused C.P. Khandelwal for surrender of passport. Passport has been surrendered. Same be taken on record.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.02.2023**

**CC No.**  
**CBI Vs.**  
**RC no.**  
**CNR no.**

**23.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.02.2023**

**Mudit Khanna Vs. State/CBI**  
**FIR No. RC0032023A0003/2023**  
**U/S 120B, 409, 420, 477A IPC 12(2) r/w 13(1)(a) PC Act**  
**PS CBI, ACB, New Delhi**

**22.02.2023**

**This is an application for anticipatory bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Mudit Khanna.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI alongwith Sh.  
Vikrant Tomar IO.

Shri Pushp Saini Ld. counsel for applicant/accused  
Mudit Khanna.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for applicant that he wants to withdraw the anticipatory bail application moved on behalf of accused Mudit Khanna. He has made an endorsement in this regard on the last page of the application.

In view of statement and submission of Ld. counsel for the applicant, the present anticipatory bail application moved on behalf of accused Mudit Khanna is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.02.2023**

**CC no. 377/19**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjay Pratap Singh & Anr.**  
**RC no. 41(A)/2015/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001477-2019**

**22.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Accused persons Sanjay Pratap Singh (A-1) and  
Ramesh Kumar (A-2) are present.

The accused (A-1) has filed copy of an order dated 08.02.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court, Whereby the matter has now been listed before the Hon'ble High Court on 05.04.2023.

In these circumstances, put up for further proceedings / awaiting further orders from Hon'ble High Court on **10.04.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.02.2023**

**CC no. 03/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ulhas Prabhakar & Anr.**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11-0012080-2019**

**22.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Accused Ulhas Prabhakar produced from JC.

Shri Mudit Jain & Shri Yugant Sharma, Ld. counsels  
for A-2 Yogender Mittal alongwith A-2.

PW-27 Shri Devraj Murmu is present and partly  
cross examined. His further cross examination is deferred for  
next date of hearing. PW-27 is bound down for NDOH.

PW-30 Shri Amit Vishnoi and PW-31 Shri Rakesh  
Kumar are present, examined and discharged.

Now to come up for PE on 23.03.2023. IO/HIO be  
summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CBI No. 43/19**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**21.02.2023**

Present: Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO Addl. SP  
Tathagat Vardan.

None for A-1 M/s PGF Limited.

Mr. Avadh Kaushik, Ld. Counsel for A-2.

Sh. Nimish Chib, Ld. Counsel for A-4 and A-10.

Ms. Radhika, Ld. Counsel for A-6.

Shri Rohit Hooda, Ld. counsel for A-8.

Shri Bhanu Sanoriya, Ld. counsel for A-9.

Shri Harsha Vinoy, Ld. counsel for A-11.

Shri Ujjwala Gupta, Ld. counsel for A-12.

Sh. Deepanshu Chotwani, Ld. Counsel for accused A-  
13.

Shri Tushar Anand, Ld. counsel for A-19.

Shri Amar Gaur, Ld. counsel for A-20.

Shri Biswajit Patra, Ld. for A-22, A-24, A-25 & A-31.

Shri Bharat Beriwal, Ld. counsel for A-23.

Shri Ajay Singh, Ld. counsel for A-28.

Shri Arvind Wishwabandhu, Ld. Counsel for A-30.

Shri Chinmaya Seth, Ld. counsel for A-32.

Sh. Anshuman Gargesh, Ld. Counsel for accused no.  
33.

A-2 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo produced from JC. He  
also represents A-1 M/s PGF Ltd.

A-6 Subrata Bhattacharya produced from JC.

A-7 Harchand Singh Gill is absent.

A-8 Chander Bhushan Dhillon is present on bail.

A-9 Prem Seth is present on bail.

A-10 Gurjant Singh Gill is present on bail.

A-11 Balvir Singh is present on bail.

A-12 Tarlochan Singh is produced from JC from Ludhiana, Punjab.

A-13 Manmohan Kamal Mahajan is present on bail.

A-14 Mohan Lal Sehjpal is present on bail.

**A-15 Kanwaljit Singh Toor has already expired and proceedings have already been abated vide order dated 10.03.2022.**

A-16 Gurupratap Singh is absent.

A-17 Harsatinder Pal Singh Hayer produced from Muktsar Jail.

A-18 Sukhwinder Kaur is absent.

A-19 Barinder Kaur present on bail.

A-20 Parveen Kumar Agarwal is present on bail,.

A-21 Anil Kumar Khemka is absent.

A-25 Rajesh Agarwal is present on bail.

A-28 Janeswar Das is present on bail.

A-32 Gurnam Singh is present on bail.

Accused Joginder Tyer is not produced from Bilaspur Jail, Chattisgarh.

Four separate applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of A-22 MannoJ Kumar Jain, A-23 Akash Agarwal, A-24 Subhash Agarwal and A-27 Brajender Singh.

Heard. In view of reasons stated and in the interest of justice , the said applications are allowed and the above accused persons are exempted from personal appearance for today only through their counsels.

Accused Sukhdev Singh (A-4) has not been produced from Central Jail, Jaipur. Superintendent, Central Jail, Jaipur has also not appeared despite notice for appearance. Let fresh notice be issued to Jail Superintendent, Jaipur to explain as to why the accused has not been produced in the court. Production warrants in respect of accused Sukhdev Singh be again issued for NDOH. It be clarified on the production warrants that in case the physical production of accused is not possible due to any reasons, accused be produced in the court through VC on NDOH.

Accused Joginder Tyger (A-33) has not been produced from Central Jail, Bilaspur, Chattisgarh. Let, production warrants in respect of accused A-33 be again issued for NDOH.

Open NBWs issued against A-7 Harchand Singh Gill, A-16 Gurpratap Singh, A-18 Saukhwinder Kaur and A-26 Prateek Kumar in terms of order dated 29.04.2022 have not been received either executed or unexecuted.

Applications seeking release of mobile phones moved on behalf of accused Akash Aggarwal and Mohan Lal Sehjpal are pending. Ld. SPP submits that CBI has no objection to the release of mobiles phones of both the accused persons. No objection of the IO is taken on the margin of both the applications to this effect. Accordingly, both the applications moved on behalf of accused Akash Agarwal and Mohan Lal Sehpal are allowed.

Replies to applications have been filed by CBI seeking

“No Objection” for re-issue of passport, return of old passport and renewal of passport moved on behalf of applicant/accused Manmohan Kamal Mahajan and Mohan Lal Sehjpal. Ld. SPP submits that CBI has no objection to release of passport to the applicants for re-issue of same with the condition that applicants shall deposit the same in court after renewal. Let the passport of applicant / accused Manmohan Kamal Mahajan and Mohan Lal Sehjpal be released to them only for a period of one month from today in order to get the passports renewed.

An application on behalf of accused MannoJ Jain has been moved for surrender of passport. Ld. counsel for applicant MannoJ Jain submitted that as accused is not well today, he shall surrender the same on NDOH. Accused MannoJ Jain is directed to surrender his passport in court on NDOH.

Reply has been filed by CBI to application moved on behalf of Gurmeet Singh Chahal (A-5) seeking directions for restoration of the Special Armed Guard Status. Let, this application be put up on NDOH for arguments.

Reply has been filed by CBI to application moved on behalf of A-27 Brajendra Singh Bhadoria for providing / supplying copy of charge sheet in Hindi has been filed.

Arguments heard. It is submitted by Ld. SPP for CBI that the application for supplying the copy of charge sheet in Hindi is not maintainable as there is no provision in the Cr. P.C. to provide the copy of charge sheet in the language known to the accused. It is further submitted that accused is a BSc graduate and he is being represented by an Advocate and if he needs any copy, the same can be translated. As per provisions of Cr. P.C. and as per several judgments of different High Courts, there is no provision in the Cr. P.C to supply the material in the language known to the accused. The material alongwith the charge sheet

has already been supplied to the accused. The accused is already represented by Advocate and he could have translated these documents into the language known to the accused. Even if the accused does not know the language of the court, specific provision is there in Cr. P.C. Reference can also be made to **Sajal Suresh Kumar Jain Vs. State of Gujarat [(2005) 2 GLR 1716]** decided on 16.02.2005. In view of the above, the application moved on behalf of accused Brajendra Singh Bhadouriya for supplying copy of charge sheet in Hindi is dismissed.

An application had been filed on behalf of Mr. Uday Narayan Mitra on 06.02.2023 for arraying M/s ARSS Infrastructure Project Ltd. as accused No.30. Reply not filed by CBI. Ld. PP seeks some time to file reply to the application. Let reply of the application be filed on next date of hearing.

Reply to application U/s 173(8) Cr. P.C moved on behalf of applicant/accused M/s PACL Limited has not been filed by CBI. Ld. PP seeks some more time to file reply. Let the reply be filed by NDOH.

Reply to application moved on behalf of applicant Harjeet Singh Arora for quashing and setting aside of the Look Out Circular not filed by the CBI. Ld. SPP seeks some time to file reply. Let, reply be filed by NDOH.

Applications U/s 207 Cr. P.C. for supply of hard copy of the charge sheet alongwith the documents moved on behalf of applicant/ A-2 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo, A-10 Gurjant Singh, A-13 Manmohan Kamal Mahajan & A-14 Mohan Lal Sehjpal are already pending. Reply not filed by CBI. Ld. SPP seeks some time to file reply to all the applications. Let reply to all above applications be filed on NDOH.

An application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. for supply of hard

copy of the charge sheet alongwith the documents moved on behalf of applicant/accused Tarlochan Singh is pending. Reply has already been filed. Let this application be also placed for arguments on the NDOH.

Two other applications filed on behalf of A-5 Gurmeet Singh Chahal seeking direction to CBI to furnish list of unrelid documents, exhibits and statements collected by CBI during course of investigation and seeking direction to CBI to provide physical copies of charge sheet dated 07.06.2016 and supplementary charge sheet dated 31.12.2022. Replies have already been filed by CBI to both the application. Let these applications be also placed for arguments on NDOH.

Reply to application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of accused Joginder Tyger for supply of hard copy of the charge sheet alongwith the documents has been filed by CBI today. Copy supplied to ld. counsel. Let this application be also placed for arguments on NDOH.

Report on fresh bail bond furnished on behalf of accused Barinder Kaur which was accepted till today subject to verification, has been received. Bail Bonds accepted.

Bail bond/surety bond has been filed pursuant to order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in bail application bearing No. 1597/2022 & CRL. M.A. 13262/2022 alongwith copy of order dated 15.02.2023.

Heard.

In terms of the said orders, bail bond and surety bond furnished on behalf of accused Gurjant Singh Gill is accepted till 04.03.2023 subject to verification through IO. IO is directed to verify the address, particulars and documents filed by the surety. The remaining conditions mentioned in order of

Hon'ble High Court of Delhi be complied and accused and surety are directed to appear before the court on next date of hearing.

Now to come up on **04.03.2023** for arguments on all the pending applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.02.2023**

**At 2.10 PM**

At this stage, A-4 Sukhdev Singh has been produced from Central Jail, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.02.2023**

**CC No. 66/2020**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjeev Kumar**  
**RC no. DAI-2020-A-0016 dated 18.03.2020**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11-000296-2020**

**20.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused on bail with Ld. counsel Shri Sanjay Gupta.

Summons sent to PW Md. Manzar Alam received back with report that the said PW is on leave from 01.02.2023 to 25.02.2023.

PW-7 Sanjay Gupta is present today for further cross

examination. During the cross examination of this witness, Ld. counsel for accused moved an application for summoning file of Misc. Crl. No. 1/2021 in respect of RC No. 16(A)/2020 decided on 25.03.2021. Ld. counsel submitted that the documents of the said file are required to be put to PW-7 during course of cross examination. Heard. In view of the facts mentioned in the application, application is allowed.

Ahlmad of the court has been called and enquired about the file. It is pointed out by Ahlmad of this court that said file has not been consigned and same is still lying with him. Ahlmad is directed to produce the said case file at 11.30 AM. Let matter be taken up again at 11.30 AM for further cross examination of PW-7.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/20.02.2023**

Contd .....

Called again at 11.30 AM.

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused on bail with Ld. counsel Shri Sanjay Gupta.

Ahlmad has produced the file Misc. Crl. No. 1/2021 in respect of RC No. 16(A)/2020. After production of the said file by the Ahlmad, further cross examination of the witness again resumed.

Ld. counsel for accused has requested that it is already 1.15 PM and he has to appear in another matter which is fixed for evidence in some other court and in that matter two witnesses have already appeared. Further cross examination of PW-7 is deferred at the request of Ld. counsel for accused.

PW-7 has requested that he will not be able to appear in court for three weeks as he will be out of Delhi. In view of request of PW-7, to come up for PE on 27.03.2023 and 28.03.2023. PW-7 is bound down for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/20.02.2023**

**CC No. 282/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Emani Venkata Sarveswara Rao & Ors.**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001116-2019**

**20.03.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Tushar Bhardwaj, Ld. Counsel for EVS Rao (A-1).

Shri Akhilesh Wahal Ld. counsel for (A-2) & (A-10).

Shri Harsh Bora, Ld. counsel for (A-3).

Shri Anoop Prakash Awasthi, Ld. counsel for (A-4).

Shri Gautam Khazanchi, Ld. counsel for (A-5).

Shri Kanishk Ld. counsel for AR for (A-6).

None for (A-7).

Shri Anand Tiwari AR for (A-8).

Shri Krishna Yadav, Ld. counsel for (A-9).

Seven separate applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of (A-1) & Authorized Representatives of (A-2, A-6 A-10) (A-3), (A-4), (A-5), (A-9). Heard. In view of reasons stated and in the interest of justice, said accused persons / AR(s) are allowed to be exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Ld. counsel(s) for the accused persons submit that the next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court is 11.07.2023.

In these circumstances, put up for further proceedings/awaiting further directions on 17.07.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/20.02.2023**

**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CT No. 09/19**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**20.02.2023**

**This is an application moved on behalf of (A-15)  
Manoj Gupta for grant of bail.**

Present: Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP for ED alongwith Shri Atul  
Sharma Ld. counsel for ED.

Shri Siddharth Aggarwal, Ld. Sr. counsel alongwith Shri  
Vivek Bishnoi for A-15 Manoj Gupta.

Arguments concluded by both the counsels. Ld. SPP  
for ED sought some more time for placing on record certain  
judgments.

To come up on 02.03.2023 for filing judgments on  
behalf of ED / clarification if any / orders on all the three bail  
applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/20.02.2023**

ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.  
Directorate of Enforcement Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. &  
Anr.  
CT Case No.34/2022  
CNR No. DLCT11-000811-2022

17.02.2023

Present : Sh. Nitesh Rana, SPP alongwith for ED alongwith  
AEO Shri Mayank Kaswan.

Sh. Pramod Kumar Dubey, Ld. Senior Counsel for A-1  
M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. & A-2 Sanjay Gupta.

A-2 Sanjay Gupta in person who also represents A-1  
OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.

An application for bail U/s 439 Cr. P.C has been moved  
on behalf of applicant/A-2 Sanjay Gupta. Copy supplied to Ld.  
SPP for ED. He seeks time to file reply to the bail application.

Now to come up for reply and arguments on bail  
application and also for scrutiny of documents on **02.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Rajan Chopra & Ors.**  
**RC No. 7(S)/2006/CBI/SCR-III/ND**  
**CC No. 42/2019**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000237-2019**

**17.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Ashish Aggarwal and Shri Amit Sharma Ld.  
Counsel(s) for (A-1) Rajan Chopra.

Shri R.S. Ahuja and Ms. Soumya Shrivastava Ld.  
Counsels for (A-3) Bhoodev Prasad, (A-4) R.K.  
Srivastava and (A-6) Sandeep Sahni.

Shri Raghav Sabharwal Ld. counsel for A-7 S.P.  
Saxena.

Shri S.K. Bhatnagar Ld. counsel for (A-5) D.S. Negi.  
A-1 Rajan Chopra, A-3 Bhoodev Prasad, A-4 R.K.  
Srivastava, A-5 Dilip Singh Negi and A-6 Sandeep  
Sahni are present on bail.

A-7 S.P. Saxena is absent.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of accused Rajan  
Chopra.

An application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of A-7 S.P. Saxena. Heard. In view of the  
grounds mentioned in the application, A-7 S.P.  
Saxena is exempted from personal appearance for  
today only.

PW-54 Shri Ram Prakash Dhingra is present, examined  
and discharged.

Summons sent to PW S.D. Sharma received back

unserved with report that address is incomplete.

Contd .....

Summons issued to PW(s) Ishwar Prasad & Raj Kumar received back with report that both the witnesses have expired.

Copy

of death certificates of both the witnesses are also annexed with the reports. IO is directed to verify the death of both the PW(s) and file the report on or before the NDOH.

Now to come up for PE for **20.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.02.2023**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 4/E/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**Statement of Ld. counsel Shri Avadh Kaushik**

**Without Oath**

As per instructions received from my client, I want to withdraw the bail application moved on behalf of applicant Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.

**RO & AC**

(CBI)-02

Court

**(Rakesh Kumar-III)**

Special Judge (PC Act)

Rouse Avenue District

**New Delhi/16.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**16.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO.  
Shri D.S. Kohli, Ld. counsel for applicant Vikrant  
Deshmukh.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for applicant V.S. Deshmukh that petition for quashing and setting aside the order dated 05.07.2022 is pending before Hon'ble High Court and same is fixed for 21.02.2023 and prayer is made for adjournment.

It is submitted by Ld. SPP for CBI that accused Vikrant Deshmukh moved application for anticipatory bail before this court on 15.06.2022 and same was dismissed. Thereafter, accused Vikrant Deshmukh moved anticipatory bail application before Hon'ble Delhi High Court and the same was dismissed vide order dated 14.07.2022. It is stated that accused is deliberately concealing himself to avoid execution of NBWs dated 05.07.2022 and despite the challenge of the order before Hon'ble High Court, there is no stay of proceedings of trial court and application U/s 82 Cr. P.C is pending since long and prayer is made for issuance of proclamation U/s 82 Cr. P.C.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for accused that matter is coming up for hearing before Hon'ble Delhi High Court on 21.02.2023 which is a very short date and application U/s 82 Cr. P.C can be taken up after five days. As short date is given and matter is coming up before Hon'ble High Court on 21.02.2023, therefore the present matter is adjourned for **27.02.2023** for awaiting of orders and for consideration on application U/s 82 Cr. P.C.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.02.2023**

**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CT No. 09/19**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**16.02.2023**

Present: Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP for ED alongwith Shri Atul Sharma Ld. counsel for ED.  
Shri Avadh Kaushik, Ld. counsel for A-1 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.  
Shri Nimish Chib, Ld. counsel for A-5 PACL Ltd.  
Ms. Adrita proxy counsel for A-6 Gurmeet Singh.  
Ms. Riya Seth, Ld. counsel for A-7 Sarvesh Kumar.  
Shri Somit Kumar Singh for A-8, A-11, A-12 & A-19.  
Ms. Ipsita Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for A-9 Dharmesh Shah and A-10 Hemant Patil.  
Shri Vivek Bishnoi, Ld. counsel for A-15 Manoj Gupta, A-16 Trinity Investments Pvt. Ltd. & A-18 M/s Skitish Realtors Pvt. Ltd.  
Shri Aashul Aggarwal and Shri Avinash Kumar, Ld. counsel(s) for A-20 M/s Conlecta Capital and A-21 M/s Wonder Dream Developers Pvt. Ltd.

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of Shri Pinakin D. Shah AR for A-11 M/s DDPL Global Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & A-12 M/s Unicorn Infra Projects, Mr. Pradeep Gotecha, AR for A-18 M/s Superstar Exports Pvt. Ltd. (now known as Systematix Holdings Pvt. Ltd.) Mr. Nikhil Khandelwal, AR for A-19 M/s Super Star Export Pvt. Ltd. Mr. Mukesh Kumar, AR for A-20 M/s

Conlecta Capital Advisor and A-21 Wonderdream Developers Pvt. Ltd. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, accused(s) mentioned above are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

A-1 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & A-6 Gurmeet Singh produced from JC.

A-8 Chandra Prakash Khandelwal produced from JC through VC (via Cisco Webex App).

A-4 Mohan Lal Sehejpal present on bail.

A-9 Dharmesh Shah, A-10 Hemant Patil and A-15 Manoj Gupta (A-15 also represents A-16 M/s Trinity Investments) at present in person.

AR for A-5 M/s PACL Ltd.

AR for A-14 M/s Brighview Projects and Estates Pvt. Ltd.

Report from Jail Superintendent received submitting that medicines as per the prescription of Dr. Praveen have been permitted to inmate Chander Prakash Khandelwal. In view of reply filed by Jail Superintendent, the application moved in this regard by accused C.P. Khandelwal is disposed off.

Some more time is sought on behalf of ED for filing reply to application moved on behalf of applicant Anil Gupta seeking directions to ED in respect of summons to Dhananjaya Money Management Services Pvt. Ltd. Put up on **07.03.2023** for fling reply to above mentioned application and for scrutiny of

documents.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.02.2023**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 4/E/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**16.02.2023**

**This is an application U/s 439 Cr. P.C. for grant of bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI alongwith HIO Anurag Kumar.

Shri Avadh Kaushik Ld. counsel for applicant/accused N.S. Bhangoo.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for applicant that he wants to withdraw the bail application moved on behalf of accused N.S. Bhangoo. Statement of Ld. counsel for accused has been recorded in this regard. He has made an endorsement in this regard on the last page of the application.

In view of statement and submission of Ld. counsel for the applicant, the present bail application moved on behalf of accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo is dismissed as withdrawn.

Now to come up on date already fixed for 21.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.02.2023**

**CC No. 378/2019**  
**CBI s. Alok Kumar Sharan & Ors**  
**RC No. 06(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-001479-2019**

**16.02.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.  
Shri Yash Bansal, Proxy Counsel for A-1.  
Shri Deepak Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for A-2.  
Shri Keshari Kumar Tiwari Ld. counsel for A-3.  
Shri Rahul Sharma Ld. counsel for A-4 & A-5.  
Accused Alok Kumar Saran (A-1), Ms. L. Bindoni Devi (A-2), and Anil Kumar Mangal (A-5) for himself and as Director of M/s Sai Flytech Aviation Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of accused Prakash Shambharkar. Heard. In view of the grounds stated in the application, accused Prakash Shambharkar is exempted from personal appearance only for today.

The case is at the stage of final arguments but date is requested by Ld. SPP for CBI to file written arguments. Date is also requested by Ld. counsels for accused persons. At request, now to come up for final arguments on **10.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.02.2023**

**Moin Akhtar Qureshi Vs. CBI**  
**FIR No. RC 224/2017/A0001**  
**U/s 8,9,13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) PC Act**  
**PS CBI/AC-VI(SIT), Delhi**

**15.02.2023**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI  
alongwith IO/SP Shri Santosh Hadimani.  
None for applicant Moin Akhtar Qureshi.

It is submitted by IO that next date of hearing before the Hon'ble High Court is 23.03.2023. It is further submitted by the IO that the investigation is going on expeditiously and some more time is requested to complete the investigation.

At request, now to come up on **27.03.2023** for further proceedings.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Subodh Mishra**  
**CC No. 376/2019**  
**RC No. 04(a)/2014/SPE/CBI/AC-III/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001473-2019**

**15.02.2023**

Present : Sh. Naveen Giri, Ld. Substitute Sr. PP for CBI  
alongwith HIO Inspector Vinod Kumar.

Shri Nitesh Anan Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1 Subodh  
Mishra.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi and Sh. Rahul Punia, Ld.  
counsel(s) for A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri & A-3 M/s  
Vandana Air Travels Pvt. Ltd.

A-1 Subodh Mishra and A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri (who  
also represents A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt.  
Ltd.) are present on bail.

PW-10 Ms. Indira Chaturvedi Vaidya is present,  
examined and discharged.

PW-11 Sh. Laxman Singh Karki is present, but he is  
discharged unexamined today as Ld. Substitute Sr.  
P.P. for CBI submits that PW-11 is a material witness  
and he be examined by the regular PP of CBI. PW-11  
is bound-down for the next date of hearing.

PW-12 Sh. Maithli Sharan Arya is present, he is  
partly examined-in-chief. His further examination-in-  
chief is deferred at the request of Ld. Substitute Sr.  
P.P. of CBI for the want of original documents.

Contd .....

Now to come up for PE on **03.03.2023**. IO/HIO be  
summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna  
(FIR No. RC2162018A0011 (RC AC1 2018 A0011)  
U/s 120 B IPC r/w 13(1)(d) r/w. 13(2) PC Act 1988  
and substantive offences thereof  
CNR No. DLCT11-000236-2022)  
CC no. 28/2022**

**14.02.2023**

Present: Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO DSP  
Pushpal Paul.

Mr. Ronyoommen John, Sh. Akhil Ranganathan and Sh.  
Arshdeep Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused Chitra  
Ramkrishna.

Sh. Alok Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for accused Anand  
Subramanian.

Sh. Harshit Sethi, Ld. Counsel for accused Sanjay Gupta.  
Accused Ms. Chitra Ramkrishna and accused Anand  
Subramanian are absent.

Accused Sanjay Gupta is present on bail.

Separate applications for exemption have been moved on  
behalf of accused Chitra Ramkrishna and Anand Subramanian. Heard.  
In view of the grounds stated in the applications, accused Chitra  
Ramkrishna and Anand Subramanian are exempted from personal  
appearance only for today.

An application to place on record the fresh contact  
number of accused Anand Subramanian has been moved. Heard. The  
fresh contact details of accused Anand Subramian are taken on the  
court record.

An application seeking release of articles/property under  
Section 451 Cr. P. C. has been moved on behalf of applicant/accused  
Chitra Ramkrishna. Copy supplied to the Ld. Sr. PP for CBI, who  
seeks time to file reply.

Sanction order in respect of accused Chitra Ramakrishna  
and Anand Subramaniam has been filed by CBI. Same is taken on

record.

Contd .....

Now, to come up on **15.03.2023** for reply and arguments on the aforesaid application as well as consideration.

**(Rakesh Kumar-III)**  
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/14.02.2023**

**RC No. 0502021A0006/CBI/SC.II/New Delhi**  
**CBI Vs. M/s Mohan Gems & Jewels Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**  
**U/s 120B r/w 420, 468, & 471 IPC and substantive offences.**

**13.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Notice issued to IO received back with report that IO is on leave due to demise of his mother. At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for consideration on **06.03.2023**. IO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.02.2023**

**CLOR No. 2/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Unknown official (Closure report)**  
**RC no. 02(A)/2017/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000142-2022**

**13.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Complainant Shri Chetan Prakash in person.

An application has been moved on behalf of applicant/complainant mentioning therein that he has received all the documents except the CFSL report. Copy supplied to Ld. SPP for CBI.

Some time is sought by Ld. SPP for CBI for supplying CFSL report. At request of Ld. SPP, to come up for supply of CFSL report to complainant for **02.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**13.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO.  
Ld. counsel for applicant Vikrant Deshmukh.

Perusal of record reveals that in the last order sheet, it has been inadvertently mentioned that proceedings U/s 82 Cr. P.C. has been challenged before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, but infact applicant has challenged order dated 05.07.2022 for issuance of NBW. Order dated 05.07.2022 has been corrected accordingly.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for applicant that matter is fixed for today before Hon'ble Delhi High Court. At request of Ld. counsel for applicant, to come up for awaiting orders of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi on **16.02.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.02.2023**

**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CT No. 09/19**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**13.02.2023**

Present : Shri V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Abhydaya Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-8.

This is an application on behalf of applicant/A-8 Chandra Prakash Khandelwal seeking permission to appear before the court virtually on 16.02.2023.

Record shows that matter is listed for arguments on pending applications on 16.02.2023. It is stated in this application applicant/accused is suffering from high blood pressure and high sugar and has been prescribed medicines and may be permitted to appear through video conferencing link on 16.02.2023. Record shows that personal appearance of accused is not necessary on next date of hearing.

Accordingly, applicant is permitted to appear before VC on 16.02.2023. Jail Superintendent, Tihar is directed to make necessary arrangements for appearance of applicant/accused Chander Prakash Khandelwal through VC on 16.02.2023.

Copy of order be sent to Superintendent, Tihar Jail alongwith application for compliance.

Put up on date and purpose already fixed I.e. on 16.02.2023. Copy of order be given dasti to Ld. counsel for applicant.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/13.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Moin Akhtar Qureshi & Ors.**  
**Misc. DJ ASJ 5/2023**  
**(In case RC No. 224 2017 A0001/CBI/AC-VI/SIT)**

**This is an application seeking permission to go abroad from  
17.02.2023 to 02.04.2023.**

**10.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI  
Sh. Yognder Handoo , Ld. counsel for applicant.

Copy of the application supplied to Ld. SPP for CBI.  
Ld. SPP request for some time to file reply. Put up for reply and  
arguments on 13.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/10.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**06.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI with IO.  
Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Abhishek Tiwari.  
Shri Kartik, Ld. counsel for A-2 Anand Dilip Daga.  
Shri Narender Mann and Shri Shailesh Chandra Jha, Ld. Counsel(s) for A-3 Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane.  
(A-1)Accused Abhishek Tiwari and (A-2) Anand Dilip Daga are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-3 Vaibhav Gajender Tumane. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel that orders in respect of 82 Cr. P.C. for issuance of proclamation against Vikrant Deshmukh has been challenged in Hon'ble High Court and same is fixed for today. On request, to come up for awaiting orders of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for **13.02.2023**.

It is submitted by Ld. counsels for accused persons that applications U/s 207 r/w Section 91 Cr. P.C. is pending. Reply to the said application has already been filed. Some more time sought for arguments on pending applications.

Put up on **03.03.2023** for appearance of IO and disposal of all the applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.02.2023**

**In respect of Complaint of inmate Ankit Dabas S/o Jaipal, Jail  
No.15, Mandoli, New Delhi**

**04.02.2023**

Present: Shri Atul Sharma, Deputy Superintendent, Jail  
No.15, Mandoli, Delhi in person.

He has filed written reply.

To come up for consideration on 25.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.02.2023**

**CNR No. DLCT1-000352-2020**  
**CR No. 41/2020**  
**Reena Chawla & Anr. Vs. State.**

**04.02.2023**

Present : Shri Neetu Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.  
None for the revisionist(s).

Certain clarifications are required, however, none has  
appeared on behalf of the revisionist today.

To come up on 25.02.2023 for clarifications/orders.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.02.2023**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 4/E/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**02.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Bhanu Sanoriya, Ld. counsel for A-9 Prem Seth.

Bail bond has been filed pursuant to order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in bail application bearing No. 1828/2022 & CRL. M.A. 13818/2022 alongwith copy of order dated 19.01.2023. Alongwith the bail bond, an application on behalf of accused Prem Seth has been moved to consider surety of Rs.1 Lac which had been furnished at the time of grant of interim bail vide order dated 05.04.2022.

Heard.

In terms of the order of Hon'ble High Court, bail bond and surety bond furnished on behalf of A-9 Prem Seth is accepted. The remaining conditions mentioned in order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi be complied with.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CBI No. 43/19**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**02.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.  
Mr. Awadh Kaushik, Ld. Counsel for A-2 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.  
Sh. Nimish Chib, Ld. Counsel for A-4 and A-10.  
Sh. Jai Anand Dehadrai, Ld. Counsel for A-5 Gurmeet Singh.  
Sh. Janender Kumar Chumbak, Ld. Counsel for A-6 Subrata Bhattacharya.  
Sh. Deepanshu Chotwani and Sh. Anshul Thakur, Ld. Counsel(s) for accused Manmohan Kamal Mahajan (A-13).  
Sh. Anand Nandan, Ld. Counsel for accused Mohan Lal Sehejpal (A-14).  
Sh. Bharat Beriwal, Ld. Counsel for A-23 Akash Agarwal.  
Sh. C. M. Patel, Ld. Counsel for accused Brajendra Kumar Bhadoria (A-27).  
Sh. Arvind Wishwabandhu, Ld. Counsel for A-30 M/s ARSS Infrastructures Projects Ltd.  
Sh. Anshuman Gargesh, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 33 Joginder Tyger.

At the outset, it is pointed by the Reader of the Court that in the ordersheet dt. 18.01.2023, it has been inadvertently mentioned that the reply to the application U/s 207 Cr. P. C. of

accused Mohan Lal Sehejpal has been filed, whereas the reply has yet not been filed by CBI to the said application.

In view of the aforesaid, let the reply to the application U/s 207 Cr. P. C. of accused Mohan Lal Sehejpal, if any, be filed by the CBI by the next date of hearing.

Fresh applications U/s 207 Cr. P. C. on behalf of accused no. 1 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo, A-10 Gurjant Singh Gill, A-13 Manmohan Kamal Mahajan and A-33 Joginder Tyger. Copies supplied to the Ld. Sr. PP for CBI. Reply, if any, be filed by 21.02.2023 i.e. the next date of hearing fixed in the matter.

Another application for providing/supplying the copy of the charge-sheet in Hindi has been filed by Sh. C. M. Patel on behalf of accused no. 27 Brajendra Singh Bhadoriya.

It is stated by Ld. Sr. P P for CBI that no formal reply is required to be filed and he is ready to straightway argue this application.

An application seeking release of passport for the purposes of renewal has been filed on behalf of A-14 Mohan Lal Sehejpal. Copy supplied to the Ld. Sr. P P for CBI. Reply, if any, be filed by the next date of hearing I.e. 21.02.2023.

Since fresh applications U/s 207 Cr. P. C. have also been filed, reply of which are yet to be filed by CBI, now to come up on **21.02.2023** i.e. the date already fixed for reply and arguments on all the pending applications including the applications U/s 207 Cr. P. C., scrutiny of documents as well as for arguments on the point of charge.

**(Rakesh Kumar-III)**  
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/02.02.2023**

**CC no. 07/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Shambhu Prasad Singh and Ors.**  
**RC no. 08(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001437-2019**

**02.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Wasif Naushad, Ld. counsel for A-1 Shaumbhu Prasad Singh.

Sh. N. P. Singh, AR for A-3 M/s Sai Baba Builders and Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Shri R.S. Ahuja & Shri Nischay Bajaj, Ld. counsel(s) for Liquidator Ashok Kumar Gupta for A-4 M/s Dynamic Shells India Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Bhavesh Jha, AR for A-5 M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd.

Sh. Ravinder Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla.

Sh. Sunil Saha, Ld. Counsel for A-7 Vinod Gupta.

Sh. Alok Sharma, Ld. Counsel for A-8 Manish Rai Mathur.

Shri N.P. Singh (AR for A-3 M/s Sai Baba Builders and Consultants Pvt. Ltd.) and Shri Bhavesh Jha (AR for A-5 M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd.) in person.

A-1 Shaumbhu Prasad Singh, A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla, A-7 Vinod Gupta and A-8 Manish Rai Mathur are present on bail.

PW-23 Shri Brajesh Maheshwari and PW-24 Sri Dinesh Chandra are present, examined and discharged. No other PW has been summoned for today. Now to come up for PE on **14.02.2023.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.02.2023**

**Mudit Khanna Vs. State/CBI**  
**FIR No. RC0032023A0003/2023**  
**U/S 120B, 409, 420, 477A IPC 12(2) r/w 13(1)(a) PC Act**  
**PS CBI, ACB, New Delhi**

**02.02.2023**

**Fresh anticipatory bail application received from Filing Section. It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Furquan Reyaz, Proxy counsel for applicant.

It is submitted by ld. Proxy Counsel that main counsel is not available today and he will be available for arguments on the bail application on 10.02.2023.

As prayed, to come up for arguments on the application on **10.02.2023**. Let, notice be issued to IO for filing reply to the application for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.02.2023**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & others**  
**RC No. 14(A)/2018/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000020-2021**  
**CC No. 03/2021**

**01.02.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Rahul Poonia, proxy counsel for A-1 K. Kannadasan & A-4 Pradeep Sharma.

Shri Surender Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for A-2 Tej Pal and A-3 Veer Singh Kem.

A-1 K. Kannadasan, A-2 Tej Pal and A-4 Pradeep Sharma (also representing M/s Riduas Auto Components Pvt. Ltd. (A-5) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-3 Veer Singh Kem. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-3 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

PW-18 Shri Anil Kumar Dube has sent a request stating that he is not well. Heard. In view of the request, PW-18 is exempted from personal appearance for today only. He be summoned again for next date of hearing.

To come up for PE for **27.02.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.02.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**31.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.  
Shri Harsh Kumar Sharma Ld. counsel for A-5 Pawan  
Baid with A-5 in person.

In compliance of order dated 30.01.2023, A-5 Pawan  
Baid has appeared in court today.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.01.2023**

**CC no. 26/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ishma Arora & Ors.**  
**RC no. 9E/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001068-2019**

**31.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Ms. Sukriti Shukla, proxy counsel for A-1 Ishma & A-2 Nitin Kumar Arora.

Shri S.K. Garg, Ld. counsel for A-3 Rajiv Kumar Dogra.

Shri Anil Bhargava, Ld. counsel for A-4 Sandeep Khera.

Shri Amitabh Narendra, Ld. counsel for A-5 Sudhir Kumar.

Shri Vinay Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-6 Suresh Kumar.

A-1 Ishma Arora and A-2 Nitin Kumar Arora have joined VC from JC.

A-6 Suresh Kumar and A-7 Amit Verma produced from JC.

A-5 Sudhir Kumar present on bail.

A-4 Sandeep Khera who is on bail in this case has joined the proceedings through VC.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of (A-3) Rajiv Kumar Dogra. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

PW-30 Shri Prashant Hyanki present, examined and discharged. No other PW is present.

An application U/s 311 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-1 & A-2 for recalling PW-17, PW-18 & PW-19 is pending. Date is requested by Ms. Sukriti Shukla, proxy counsel for A-1 & A-2 on the ground that main counsel is not available today. Heard.

Contd .....

To come up for arguments on said application and for PE for **24.02.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**31.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
DSP P.K. Srivastava.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-3 & A-4.

(A-2) Pawan Baid also representing (A-4) Authorized  
Representative of M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd  
is present.

Applications for exemption have been moved on  
behalf of (A-1) Madhur Kaushal, (A-2) Avdesh Kumar Kaushal.  
Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-1  
and A-2 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for accused no.1 & 2  
that two applications U/Sec.451 Cr.P.C. of accused no.1 Madhur  
Kaushal and accused no.2 Avdesh Kumar Kaushal for release of  
documents are pending.

It is submitted by IO that he has already sent the  
mobile phones, black colour Redmi Note 8 Pro, M-1906 G71,  
mobile bearing IMEIs No.862298049312850 (Slot-1) &  
862298049312868 (Slot-2) of accused no.1 Madhur Kaushal and  
black colour Navy Blue Model No.SM-M515F/DSN, Mobile  
bearing IMEIs No.35354468570626 (Slot-1) &  
353914478570620 (Slot-2) of accused no.2 Avdesh Kumar  
Kaushal to NETRA, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, CGO Complex, Lodhi Road, New  
Delhi for imaging and data retrieval and he has no objection, if

the documents mentioned in the applications except the above mentioned

Contd.....2/-

-2-

mobile phones, are released to accused no.1 Madhur Kaushal and accused no.2 Avdesh Kumar Kaushal.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for accused no.1 & 2 that they will move another application for release of mobile phones as and when the same shall be deposited in the Court.

Separate statement of IO recorded and in view of the statement, documents are released to both the applicants/accused no.1 Madhur Kaushal and accused no.2 Avdesh Kumar Kaushal. Both the applications stand disposed off accordingly.

Reply on behalf of CBI to the applications U/Sec.207 Cr.P.C for supply of deficient copies of accused Madhur Kaushal, Avdesh Kumar Kaushal, Pawan Baid and M/s. ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd filed. Copy supplied.

Reply on behalf of CBI to the applications of accused Avdesh Kumar Kaushal and his family members for defreezing his bank accounts and account of his family members is already filed. Copy is already supplied.

Reply on behalf of CBI to the applications of accused no.1 Madhur Kaushal and accused no.2 Avdesh Kumar Kaushal U/Sec.91 Cr.P.C. is already filed. Copy is already supplied.

Reply on behalf of CBI to the applications of A-3 and A-4 are not filed. Ld. Sr. PP for CBI seeks time to file the reply to the said applications.

At request of Ld. Sr. PP for CBI and Ld. Counsels for all accused persons, put up for reply and arguments on all

pending applications on **27.02.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.01.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**31.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
DSP P.K. Srivastava.

Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-2 & A-7.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-3.

Shr Vikram Singh Panwar, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Shri Aditya Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for A-5 & A-6.

All the accused are in person.

Vakalatnama filed on behalf of A-5 and A-6. Same is  
taken on record.

Reply on behalf of CBI to the applications  
U/Sec.207 Cr.P.C for supply of deficient copies of A-4 Inder  
Singh, A-1 Mahnder Singh Chauhan, A-3 Bhupender Singh  
Rawat and A-2 Pawan Baid and A-7 M/s. ABCI Infrastructure  
Pvt. Ltd filed. Copy supplied.

At request of Ld. Counsels for all accused persons,  
put up for reply, if any and arguments on all pending applications  
on **28.02.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.01.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. M.S. Chauhan & Others.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**30.01.2023**

**An application has been moved on behalf of accused Pawan Baid (A-2) for surrender of passport.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI with IO  
Shri Harsh Kumar Sharma Ld. counsel for A-2 Pawan Baid.

In compliance of order dated 13.01.2023, A-2 Pawan Baid has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record. Accused is directed to appear in person on **31.01.2023**, date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. M.S. Chauhan & Others.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**30.01.2023**

**An application has been moved on behalf of accused Pawan Baid (A-2) for surrender of passport.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI with IO  
Shri Harsh Kumar Sharma Ld. counsel for A-2 Pawan Baid.

In compliance of order dated 13.01.2023, A-2 Pawan Baid has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record. Accused is directed to appear in person on **31.01.2023**, date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**30.01.2023**

**An application has been moved on behalf of accused Pawan Baid (A-3) for surrender of passport.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI with IO  
Shri Harsh Kumar Sharma Ld. counsel for A-3 Pawan Baid.

In compliance of order dated 13.01.2023, A-3 Pawan Baid has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record. Accused is directed to appear in person on **31.01.2023**, date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**30.01.2023**

**An application has been moved on behalf of accused Pawan Baid (A-5) for surrender of passport.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI with IO  
Shri Harsh Kumar Sharma Ld. counsel for A-5 Pawan Baid.

In compliance of order dated 13.01.2023, A-5 Pawan Baid has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record. Accused is directed to appear in person on **31.01.2023**, date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**30.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI with IO.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Proxy counsel for A-1, A-3, A-4  
& A-5.

Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2), Onkar Singh (A-3),  
Kishore Kumar Shrichandani (A-4) and Neeraj  
Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of A-1 Saurav Sharma. Heard. In view of the grounds  
mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal  
appearance for today only.

Reply  
filed on behalf of CBI to application moved on behalf of Saurav  
Sharma (A-1) U/s 207 r/w Section 91 Cr. P.C. Copy supplied to  
Ld. counsel for accused.

Now to come up for filing of rely and arguments on all  
the pending applications on 23.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**RC No. 0502021A0006/CBI/SC.II/New Delhi**  
**CBI Vs. M/s Mohan Gems & Jewels Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.**  
**U/s 120B r/w 420, 468, & 471 IPC and substantive offences.**

**30.01.2023**

**Fresh charge sheet has been received by way of assignment.**  
**It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. Amit Kumar, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

IO is absent today.

Heard.

Put up for consideration on **13.02.2023**. IO be  
summoned on next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**CC No. 69/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Surender Kumar Bhandoria**  
**RC No. 31(A)/2021**  
**u/S 7 of PC Act 1988**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000509-2021**

**30.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Surender Kumar Bhandoria on bail with Ld. counsel(s) Shri N.K. Bhatnagar and Shri Naveen Kumar.

PW-4 Shri Gyanesh Bharti, PW-5 Shri Parveen Kumar and PW-6 Shri Rakesh Ahuja are present, examined and discharged.

PW Rajiv Vashisth is served but he has sent a request to Naib Court claiming that he has to appear in a another court in Tis Hazari Court.

To come up for PE for **28.02.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 4/E/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**30.01.2023**

**Present is an application moved by Mr. Sunil Aggarwal for collecting original FDR on behalf of applicant/surety alongwith authority letter issued by Mr. Rajul Aggarwal.**

Present : Shri Biswajit Kumar Patra Ld. counsel alongwith surety Sunil Aggarwal.

Let, FDR be released in terms of order dated 18.01.2023. Application is accordingly disposed off.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 4/E/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**30.01.2023**

**Present is an application moved by Mr. Sunil Aggarwal for collecting original FDR on behalf of applicant/surety alongwith authority letter issued by Mr. Sourabh Agarwla and Mr. Sanju Aggarwal.**

Present : Shri Biswajit Kumar Patra Ld. counsel alongwith surety Sunil Aggarwal.

Let, FDR be released in terms of order dated 18.01.2023. Application is accordingly disposed off.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.01.2023**

**In respect of Complaint of inmate Ankit Dabas S/o Jaipal, Jail No.15, Mandoli, New Delhi**

**28.01.2023**

Present: None.

Notice issued to Superintendent, Jail No.15, Mandoli, Delhi received back unserved.

Let, fresh notice be issued to Superintendent, Jail No.15, Mandoli, Delhi alongwith copy of complaint for 04.02.2023. Superintendent, Jail No.15 to file the report on or before next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.01.2023**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**In Re: Harjeet Singh Arora**  
**RC no. 4/E/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**27.01.2023**

**This is an application for preponment of next date of hearing fixed on the application moved on behalf of Harjeet Singh Arora for quashing and setting aside of the Look-Out Circular issued by CBI.**

Present : Ms. Shriya Chanda Ld. counsel for applicant H.S. Arora.

Let notice of this application be issued to IO for 13.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.01.2023**

**CLOR No. 2/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Unknown official (Closure report)**  
**RC no. 02(A)/2017/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000142-2022**

**27.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

IO is absent.

None for the complainant.

Let notice be issued to IO and complainant for **04.02.2023**. IO is directed to supply copies of documents to complainant on or before next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.01.2023**

**In respect of Complaint of inmate Ankit Dabas S/o Jaipal, Jail No.15, Mandoli, New Delhi**

**23.01.2023**

Letter bearing No. 1920/Gen.II/THC 2023 dated 21.01.2023 has been received from Officer Incharge, General Branch, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi alongwith complaint of inmate Ankit Dabas in original, lodged in Jail No.15, Mandoli, Delhi against Assistant Superintendent, Sunil Dabas.

Let, notice be issued to Superintendent, Jail No.15, Mandoli, Delhi alongwith copy of complaint for 28.01.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.01.2023**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 4/E/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**25.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. SPP for CBI.

Shri Tushar Anand & Ms. Prabhneer Swani, Ld.  
counsel(s) for A-19 Barinder Kaur.

An application for furnishing of bail bond has been filed pursuant to order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in bail application bearing No. 2661/2022 & CRL. M.A. 17745/2022 alongwith copy of order dated 20.01.2023 and 24.01.2023 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

In terms of the said orders, bail bond and surety bond furnished on behalf of A-19 Barinder Kaur is accepted subject to verification through IO till 04.02.2023. IO is directed to verify the address, particulars and documents filed by the surety. The remaining conditions mentioned in order of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi be complied with.

The accused and surety are directed to appear before the court on 04.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.01.2023**

**CC No. 378/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Alok Kumar Sharan & Ors**  
**RC No. 06(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-001479-2019**

**25.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.  
Shri Yash Bansal, Proxy Counsel for A-1.  
Shri Deepak Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for A-2.  
Shri Mayank Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for A-3.  
Shri Yogender Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-4 & A-5.  
Accused Alok Kumar Sharan (A-1) and Anil Kumar Mangal (A-5) for himself and as Director of M/s Sai Flytech Aviation Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present on bail.

Two applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of A-2 Ms. L. Binodini Devi and A-3 Prakash Shambharkar on medical grounds. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-2 & A-3 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Evidence is being recoded in another case titled CBI Vs. Subodh Mishra till 1.30 PM and Ld. counsels for accused(s) requested for adjournment as they are not available after lunch.

At request of Ld. counsels for accused persons, now to come up for further final arguments on **16.02.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**21.01.2021**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

IO is not present.

Shri Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1  
Abhishek Tiwari.

Shri Vaibhav Suri, Ld. counsel for A-2 Anand Dilip  
Daga.

Shri Narender Mann and Shri Manoj Pant Ld.  
Counsel(s) for A-3 Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane.

Accused V.G. Tumane (A-3) is present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-1 Abishek Tiwari on the ground that he is appearing in LLB Exams from 19.01.2023 to 02.02.2023 and has also placed on record his Admit Card. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Another application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-2 Anand Dilip Daga. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-2 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is submitted by Ld. counsels for accused persons that applications U/s 207 r/w Section 91 Cr. P.C. is pending. It is stated by Ld. SPP that presence of IO is required for disposal of applications U/s 207 r/w Section 91 Cr. P.C. as well as application

U/s 82 Cr. P.C. At request of Ld. PP for CBI, let notice be issued to IO for NDOH.

Contd .....

Put up on **06.02.2022** for appearance of IO and disposal of the applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.01.2023**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**21.01.2023**

Present : None.

Presenting officer is stated to be on medical leave.

Summons sent to DW Shri Lalit Kishore Bhat received back with report that he is on medical leave. DW Shri Vijay Kumar is served.

Now to come up on **25.02.2023** for defence evidence of the Delinquent Official. Both the DWs Shri Lalit Kishore Bhat and Shri Vijay Kumar be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.01.2023**

**RC No. RC2202020E0015 CBI, EO-II, ND  
CBI Vs. Suresh Kumar Gupta partner of M/s Shri Sudershan  
Tubes & Ors.  
U/s 120B r/w 420, 468,477-A & 471 IPC and substantive  
offences U/s 420, 468, 477A & 471 IPC.**

**18.01.2023**

**Fresh charge sheet has been received by way of assignment.  
It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Aftab Alam.

Heard.

Put up for consideration on 23.01.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.01.2023**

**RC No. RC2202020E0015 CBI, EO-II, ND**  
**CBI Vs. Rajesh Kumar Gupta partner of M/s Shri Sidhdata**  
**Tubes & Ors.**  
**U/s 120B r/w 420, 468,477-A & 471 IPC and substantive**  
**offences U/s 420, 468, 477A & 471 IPC.**

**18.01.2023**

**Fresh charge sheet has been received by way of assignment.**  
**It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Aftab Alam.

Heard.

Put up for consideration on 23.01.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.01.2023**

**RC No. RC2202020E0015 CBI, EO-II, ND  
CBI Vs. M/s Govardhan Industries Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.  
U/s 120B r/w 420, 468,477-A & 471 IPC and substantive  
offences U/s 420, 468, 477A & 471 IPC.**

**18.01.2023**

**Fresh charge sheet has been received by way of assignment.  
It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Aftab Alam.

Heard.

Put up for consideration on 23.01.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Simmi Khan**  
**RC No. 3(A)/94/ACU-VII (ACU-IV)/CBI/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000375-2020**  
**CC No. 87/2020**

**18.01.2023**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.  
Shri Ajay Pratap Singh, Ld. counsel for accused  
Simmi Khan

Accused Simmi Khan is present on bail.

Date is requested by Ld. counsel for accused. At  
request, to come up for further arguments on charge on  
23.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.01.2023**

**CC no. 66/2020**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjeev Kumar**  
**RC no. DAI-2020-A-0016 dated 18.03.2020**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11-000296-2020**

**16.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Accused on bail with Ld. counsel Shri Sanjay Gupta.

PW-7 Sanjay Gupta is not present today. An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of PW-7 on the ground that he is suffering from acute colitis. Medical certificate in this regard has also been placed on record. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, PW-7 Shri Sanjay Gupta is exempted from personal appearance for today.

To come up for PE on 20.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**16.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is not present today.

Shri Abhishek Mahal, Proxy counsel for A-1, A-3, A-4 & A-5.

Shri Shantanu Shukla, ld. counsel for A-2.

Saurav Sharma (A-1), Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2), Onkar Singh (A-3), Kishore Kumar Shrichandani (A-4) and Neeraj Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

Date is requested by Ld. SPP for CBI for filing reply to supplementary application U/s 207 r/w Sec. 91 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-1.

Put up for filing of reply and arguments on above application for **30.01.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned on next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/16.01.2023**

**RC no. 224 2017 A0001/CBI/AC-VI/SIT**

U/s 120 B IPC and 8, 9, 13(2) r/w 13(1) d of PC Act 1988

**13.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is not present.

It is stated by the Ld. PP for CBI that the IO is on leave today and short date is requested by Ld. PP for CBI for placing on record the orders of the Hon'ble High Court and for explaining the present status of the matter which is pending before the Hon'ble High Court.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up on 16.01.2023 for further proceedings.

Notice be again issued to the IO to appear in person and explain the status of case.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Moin Akhtar Qureshi & Ors.**  
**Misc. DJ ASJ 5/2023**  
**(In case RC No. 224 2017 A0001/CBI/AC-VI/SIT)**

**09.01.2023**

**This is an application seeking directions from the court for the applicant to travel abroad.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Ms. Stuti Gujral, Ms. Shaurya Singh & Mr. Shaikh Bakhtiyar, Ld. counsel(s) for the applicant.

An application has been filed seeking permission to place additional facts and submissions on record in support of application dated 06.01.2023 on behalf of applicant.

Ld. Senior PP seeks time to file reply to both the applications. At request of Ld. SPP for CBI, to come up for reply and arguments on both the applications for 16.01.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.01.2023**

**CC No. 61/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Others**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2019/CBI/AC-III/SPE/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**09.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Shri Mudit Jain & Shri Pratyush Pandey, Ld.  
counsel(s) for A-1.

Shri Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Accused Col. Ajay Kumar Singh (A-1), Sushil Kumar (A-2), Sachin Gupta (A-3), Khizar Abbas (A-5) and Javed Khan (A-6) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-4 B. Ramachandhran. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Now to come up for reply and arguments on all pending applications on 07.02.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.01.2023**

**CC No. 61/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Others**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2019/CBI/AC-III/SPE/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**09.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior Senior PP for CBI.  
IO/Inspector Anil Kumar Yadav is present.

This is an application moved on behalf of CBI seeking permission to seek expert opinion on the article No. 29 seized in RC2182017A0009 for investigation of case bearing No. RC2182022A0004.

It is submitted by Ld. Senior PP for State that Case RC 2182017A0009 has been registered in CBI/AC-III New Delhi on 16.09.2017 under Section 120B of IPC and 7, 8, 12 & 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act 1988 (as amended in 2018) and section 43(a) & 43(b) r/w Section 66 of Information Technology Act, 2000 (as amended in 2008) on the basis of source information against Col. Ajay Kumar Singh, Colonel Indian Army, Ministry of Defence, Govt. of India, Sushil Kumar, private person, Sachin Gupta, private person, B. Ramachandhiran, Chairman of Venkateshwara Medical College and Research Center No. 13-A, Pondicherry, private person, Khizar Abbas, Data entry Operator (Contract basis), Medical Counsel of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare & Javed Khan, Assistant Programmer (contract basis), Medical Counsel of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. Charge sheet has been filed in this Court and at present the case is at the stage of pre-charge.

Contd .....

It is further submitted by Ld. Senior PP for State that another case RC 2182022A0004 has been registered in CBI/AC-III, New Delhi on 26.02.2022 under section 120B & 379 of IPC and 7 & 12 of PC Act, 1988 (as amended in 2018) and 43(a) & 43(b) r/w 66 of Information Technology Act, 2000 (as amended in 2008) on the basis of complaint against Sandeep Kumar, Lower Division Clerk of Medical Counsel of India, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Khizar Abbas, Lower Division Clerk (Contract basis), Dental Counsel of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Javed Khan, Assistant Programmer (contract basis) Medical Counsel of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Sachin Gupta, Private person, Sharad Kothari, Registrar, Pacific University, Sushil Kumar, Private person, Bal Govind, Private person and unknown officials of MCI, Delhi and other unknown persons.

Ld. PP for CBI further submitted that during the investigation of RC 2182017A0009, IO had collected certain documents / articles and filed in the Court alongwith the charge sheet. It is submitted that during the investigation of RC 2182022A0004, IO copy (Article-2) of the Article No. 29 (Hard Disk make of Western Digital Model WD5000AAKX, S/N WMAYUYW502234, 500 GB bearing M. No. 1444/17), received from CDAC Thiruvananthapuram was taken from IO of RC 2182017A0009 and after analysis some incriminating evidence related to the case registered as RC 2182022A0004 was found in the hard disk. It is submitted that Article No. 29 is lying with the custody and control of present court and same is required for the

purpose of sending the same to CFSL for extracting the relevant incriminating evidence required for investigation of the case registered as RC 2182022A0004 and prayer is made to hand over article No.29 for the purpose of investigation of RC 2182022A0004.

Contd .....

In view of facts mentioned in the application, the application is allowed and IO is permitted to take Article 29 seized in RC 21820170009 which is lying with the custody and control of this court for the purpose of sending the same to CFSL to extract the relevant evidence required for investigation of the case registered as RC 2182022A0004.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)

Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.01.2023**

**CC No. 61/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Others**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2019/CBI/AC-III/SPE/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**09.01.2023**

**This is an application moved on behalf of CBI seeking permission to seek expert opinion on the article No. 29 seized in RC2182017A0009 for investigation of case bearing No. RC2182022A0004.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior Senior PP for CBI.  
IO/Inspector Anil Kumar Yadav is present.

Ld. SPP for CBI seeks some time to move application to place on record additional facts and submission on record in support of the above application.

Let this application be put up on date fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.01.2023**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**09.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
DSP P.K. Srivastava.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-2 & A-7.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-3.

Shr Vikram Singh Panwar, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Shri Aditya Bhardwaj, Ld. counsel for A-5 & A-6.

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of (A-2) Pawan Baid & (A-7) Authorized Representative of M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd., (A-4) Inder Singh & (A-5) Babul Nath. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-2, A-4, A-5 & Authorized Representative of A-7 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

An application seeking permission to travel abroad and for release of passport has been filed on behalf of (A-2) Pawan Baid. Copy supplied to Ld. Senior PP for CBI. Ld. Senior PP seeks some time to file reply to the said application. To come up for reply by CBI to the said application on **13.01.2023**.

IO seeks time to file reply to the application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. Date is also requested for arguments on application U/s 91 Cr. P.C.

Contd .....

At request of Ld. PP or CBI, put up for reply and arguments on all pending applications on **31.01.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**09.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith IO  
DSP P.K. Srivastava.

Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-3 & A-4.

(A-2) Avdhesh Kumar Kaushal is present on bail.

Applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of (A-1) Madhur Kaushal, (A-3) Pawan Baid & (A-4) Authorized Representative of M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-1, A-3 & Authorized Representative of A-4 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

An application seeking permission to travel abroad and for release of passport has been filed on behalf of (A-3) Pawan Baid. Copy supplied to Ld. Senior PP for CBI. Ld. Senior PP seeks some time to file reply to the said application. To come up for reply by CBI to the said application on **13.01.2023**.

Two separate applications have been filed on behalf of (A-1) Madhur Kaushal and (A-2) Avdesh Kumar Kaushal for release of documents seized by CBI vide arrest cum personal search memo dated 17.02.2021. Copies supplied to Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

Contd ....

Ld. SPP for CBI seeks some time to file reply to the applications filed today and other pending applications.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, put up for reply and arguments on all pending applications on **31.02.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.01.2023**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**07.01.2023**

Present : None.

On last date of hearing, delinquent official was directed to file list of witnesses within one week so that the witnesses could be summoned for today, but the said order has not been complied with by the delinquent official.

Now to come up on **21.01.2023** for defence evidence of the Delinquent Official. Previous order be complied with by delinquent official. Pursuant to filing of list of witnesses, let the witnesses be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/07.01.2023**

**CC no. 28/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Chitra Ramakrishna**  
**(FIR No. RC2162018A0011 (RC AC1 2018 A0011))**  
**U/s 120B IP r/w 13(1)(d) r/w 13(2) PC Act 1988**  
**and substantive offences thereof**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000236-2022)**

**06.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI alongwith  
IO/DSP Pushpal Paul.

Mr. Shivam Batra and Shir Ankit Bhushan, Ld.  
Counsel(s) for accused Chitra Ramkrishna.

Shri Alok Tripathi, Ld. counsel for accused Anand  
Subramaian.

Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld. counsel for accused Sanjay  
Gupta.

Accused Ms. Chitra Ramkrishna produced from JC.

Accused Sanjay Gupta present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of Accused Anand Subramanian on the ground that he is  
unwell and is not in a condition to travel from Chennai to Delhi.  
Heard. Subject to filing of medical certificate on next date of  
hearing, accused Anand Subramanian is exempted from personal  
appearance for today only.

Reply to application U/s 451 & 457 Cr. P.C moved  
on behalf of accused Anand Subramanian for release of seized  
articles filed by CBI. Copy supplied to Ld. counsel. Date is  
requested by both sides for arguments.

Sanction order has not been filed by the IO and some  
more time is sought. In the interest of justice, last opportunity is

granted to the CBI to file sanction on next date of hearing.

Contd .....

Now to come up on 01.02.2023 for further proceedings awaiting sanction as well as arguments on the pending application.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.01.2023**

**CBI Vs. Moin Akhtar Qureshi & Ors.**  
**Misc. DJ ASJ 21/2023**  
**(In case RC No. 224 2017 A0001/CBI/AC-VI/SIT)**

**06.01.2023**

**This is an application seeking directions from the court for the applicant to travel abroad.**

Present : Shri Shaurya Singh Ld. counsel for applicant.

Copy supplied to CBI.

Put up with file on 09.01.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.01.2023**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**05.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

IO is not present.

It is stated by Ld. PP that CBI is pursuing the matter for obtaining the sanction for prosecution against the public servants in this case and some more time is sought. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file sanction order on or before next date of hearing. IO is also directed to appear in person on NDOH.

At request of Ld. SPP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **06.02.2023**. This shall be last opportunity to file sanction.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.01.2023**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**05.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

IO is not present.

It is stated by Ld. PP that CBI is pursuing the matter for obtaining the sanction for prosecution against the public servants in this case and some more time is sought. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file sanction order on or before next date of hearing. IO is also directed to appear in person on NDOH.

At request of Ld. SPP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **06.02.2023**. This shall be last opportunity to file sanction.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.01.2023**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kumat @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**05.01.2023**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

IO is not present.

It is stated by Ld. PP that CBI is pursuing the matter for obtaining the sanction for prosecution against the public servants in this case and some more time is sought. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file sanction order on or before next date of hearing. IO is also directed to appear in person on NDOH.

At request of Ld. SPP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **06.02.2023**. This shall be last opportunity to file sanction.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.01.2023**

ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.  
Directorate of Enforcement Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. &  
Anr.

**04.01.2023**

Present : Sh. Nitesh Rana, SPP alongwith Shri Hitesh Ld.  
counsel for ED alongwith AEO Shri Mayank  
Kaswan.

Part arguments heard on point of cognizance. Put up  
for further arguments on **20.01.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.01.2023**

ECIR/DLZO-I/01/2019 dt. 24.01.2019.  
Directorate of Enforcement Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. &  
Anr.

**22.12.2022**

**Fresh complaint case has been received by way of assignment.  
It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. Nikhil Kohli, Proxy counsel for Shri Nitish Rana  
SPP for ED alongwith AEO Shri Mayank Kaswan.

Heard.

Put up for consideration on 04.01.2023.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.12.2022**

**CC no. 377/19**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjay Pratap Singh & Anr.**  
**RC no. 41(A)/2015/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001477-2019**

**22.12.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused persons Sanjay Pratap Singh (A-1) and  
Ramesh Kumar (A-2) are present.

It is stated by (A-1) that next date of hearing before  
Hon'ble High Court is 08.02.2023.

In these circumstances, put up on 22.02.2023 for  
awaiting of orders from Hon'ble High Court / further proceedings.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.12.2022**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**19.12.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Avadh Kaushik, Ld. counsel for A-2 Nirmal  
Singh Bhangoo.

Report from G.B. Pant Hospital regarding treatment of  
applicant Nirmal Singh Bhangoo not received. Let report be  
called from G.B. Pant Hospital through IO for **21.12.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.12.2022**

**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CT No. 09/19**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**19.12.2022**

Present : Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP for ED alongwith Shri Atul Sharma Ld. counsel for ED.

Shri Avadh Kaushik, Ld. counsel for A-1 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.

Shri Anand Nandan, Ld. counsel for-4 Mohan Lal Sehpal.

Shri Neeleshwar Kaushik, Ld. counsel for A-5 PACL Ltd.

Ms. Riya Seth, Ld. counsel for A-7 Sarvesh Kumar.

Shri Shri Singh, Ld. counsel for A-9 Dharmesh Shah and A-10 Hemant Patil.

Shri Vivek Bishnoi, Ld. counsel for A-15 Manoj Gupta, A-16 Trinity Investments Pvt. Ltd. & A-18 M/s Skitish Realtors Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Ashish Mohan, Ld. counsel for A-17 M/s Dhananjaya Money Management Services Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Aashul Aggarwal and Shri Avinash Kumar, Ld. counsel(s) for A-20 M/s Conlecta Capital and A-21 M/s Wonder Dream Developers Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Pinakin D. Shah AR for A-11 M/s DDPL Global Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. & A-12 M/s Unicorn Infra Projects.

Accused Mohan Lal Sehajpal, Gurmeet Singh (on interim bail by Hon'ble Supreme Court) and accused Sarvesh Kumar are present on bail.

A-9 Dharmesh Shah, A-10 Hemant Patil and A-15 Manoj Gupta (A-15 also represents A-16 M/s Trinity Investments Pvt. Ltd.) are present in person.

Mr. Pinakin D. Shah, AR for A-11 M/s DDPL Global Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. and A-12 M/s Unicorn Infraprojects and Estates Pvt. Ltd., Mr. Pradeep Gotecha, AR for -19 M/s Superstar Exports Pvt. Ltd. (now known as Systematix Holdings Pvt. Ltd.)

Mr. Mukesh Kumar, AR for A-20 M/s Conlecta Capital Advisor and A-21 Wonderdream Developers Pvt. Ltd.

Accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Chandra Prakash Aggarwal not produced from JC.

Report from Jail Superintendent received and time of two working days sought to file report regarding medical diet of inmate Chander Prakash Khandelwal. Jail Superintendent is directed to file report at the earliest.

Report from Jail Superintendent received submitting that accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo is admitted in GTB Hospital for medical treatment since 15.12.2022. Jail Superintendent is directed to produce accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo in Court on NDOH.

It is submitted by ld. counsel for accused Chandra Prakash Khendelwal that accused is not well today and is suffering from high blood pressure and sugar and has been prescribed medicines. Ld. counsel further submits that vide order dated 16.12.2022, applicant was allowed to appear before court through VC for today but neither any report is received from Jail

authorities nor accused has joined the court proceedings through VC and prayer is made for producing the accused in the court through VC on NDOH. The applicant/accused is permitted to appear through VC on next date of hearing. Jail Superintendent, Tihar is directed to make necessary arrangements for appearance of applicant/accused Chander Prakash Khandelwal through VC on 30.01.2022. The Reader of the court is also directed to send the Link in time.

Time sought on behalf of ED for filing reply to application moved on behalf of applicant Anil Gupta seeking directions to ED in respect of summons to Dhananjaya Money Management Services Pvt. Ltd. Let reply be filed on next date of hearing.

Now to come up on **30.01.2022** for reply and arguments on pending applications and scrutiny of documents.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.12.2022**

**Called again at 2.30 PM.**

At this stage accused Chandra Prakash Khendelwal has been produced from JC through video conferencing. He has been informed about the next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.12.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd.  
CBI Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.  
RC ACI/2018/A/011/EO-III**

**17.12.2022**

**Above are the two separate applications moved U/s 475/451 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of applicants viz. M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd. and M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd., seeking de-freezing of various bank accounts. These applications are being taken up together.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld. counsel for applicants.

It is stated by Ld. PP for CBI that assistance of IO is required for arguments on the above applications. IO is not present today.

Let the matter be put up for 18.01.2023 with the main case for date already fixed. IO be also summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.12.2022**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**06.12.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.  
Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-1.  
Shri Shantanu Shukla, ld. counsel for A-2.  
Shri Robin Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-3, 4 & 5.  
Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2), Onkar Singh (A-3),  
Kishore Kumar Shrichandani (A-4) and Neeraj  
Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-1 Saurav Sharma. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to the application dated 05.11.2022 filed by (A-1) Saurav Sharma U/s 207 r/w Sec. 91 Cr. P.C. Copy supplied.

A supplementary application U/s 207 r/w Sec. 91 Cr. P.C. requesting for supply of unrelayed documents has been moved on behalf of accused No.1. Copy supplied.

Put up for filing of reply and arguments on all the applications for **16.01.2023**. IO/HIO be summoned on next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.12.2022**



**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & others**  
**RC No. 14(A)/2018/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000020-2021**  
**CC No. 03/2021**

**05.12.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Deepak Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-1 K. Kannadasan.

Shri Ramesh Chander Bhagat, Ld. proxy counsel for A-2 Tej Pal and A-3 Veer Singh Kem.

Tej Pal (A-2), Veer Singh Kem (A-3) and Pradeep Sharma (A-4) (also representing M/s Riduas Auto Components Pvt. Ltd. (A-5) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of A-1 K. Kannadasan. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

PW-18 Shri Anil Kumar Dube who was bound down for today has sent a request for exemption stating that he is not well today. Heard. In view of the request, PW-18 is exempted from personal appearance for today only. He be summoned again for next date of hearing.

To come up for PE for **12.12.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.12.2022**

**CC No. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 04(E)/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-0002050-2021**

**05.12.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Brajendra Singh in person alongwith surety  
Pawan Singh alongwith Ld. counsel Shri C.M. Patel.

Verification report has not been received from IO. It is stated by Ld. PP that IO is not present today and has sent a request on the ground that his son is unwell.

Now to come up for verification report of IO on date already fixed for 16.12.2022. Bail bond already furnished is accepted till 16.12.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.12.2022**

**RC No. 218 2021/A/005 dated 31.08.2021**  
**In CC N. 66/21**  
**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Others.**  
**PS CBI/AC-III/New Delhi.**

**02.12.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer has gone to attend workshop on Wildlife Trafficking, Animals Rights and Environmental Justice at Delhi Judicial Academy.**

**This is an application filed by CBI U/s 82 Cr. P.C for issuance of proclamation against Shri Vikrant Deshmukh.**

Present : Shri V.K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO Inspector Shakti Singh.

It is submitted by ld. PP for CBI that application for cancellation of NBW in respect of present accused/applicant is fixed in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for 06.01.2023.

As per directions of Ld. Presiding Officer, now to come up for further proceedings/purpose fixed on 21.01.2023.

III

READER  
In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

02.12.2022.

**CLOR No. 2/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Unknown Official (Closure report)**  
**RC no. 02(A)/2017/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000142-2022**

**01.12.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on Half Day Leave (F/N) today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

None for the Complainant.

Application for adjournment received through  
Whatsapp from the Complainant Sh. Chetan Prakash.

As per direction of Ld. Presiding Officer, now to  
come up for further proceedings on **03.01.2023**.

(CBI)-02

Ahlmad in the court of  
Sh.Rakesh Kumar-III  
Special Judge (PC Act)

Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.12.2022**

**CC No. 61/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Others**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2019/CBI/AC-III/SPE/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**01.12.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on Half Day Leave (F/N) today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.  
Sh. Mudit Jain, Ld. Counsel for A-1.  
Sh. Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4.  
Sh. Shahbaaz Jameel Ld. counsel for A-5.

Accused Col. Ajay Kumar Singh (A-1), Sushil Kumar (A-2), Sachin Gupta (A-3), Khizar Abbas (A-5) and Javed Khan (A- 6) are present on bail.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-4) B. Ramachandhran.

Fresh Vakalatnama filed on behalf of Accused No.1

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to application U/s 207 Cr.Pc for supply of deficient copies of documents moved by (A-1) Col. Ajay Kumar Singh.

Another reply filed on behalf of CBI to application U/s 207 Cr.PC filed by (A-1) Col. Ajay Kumar Singh for supply of a list of all un-relied documents, statements and articles collected during the investigation.

An application for de-sealing of allowed articles and permitting IO to collect articles mentioned in Annexure-A for the purpose of investigation and later re-sealing the same by the seal of the court, was filed yesterday and was listed for today.

Ld. PP request short date for above mentioned application. As per direction of Ld. Presiding Officer put this application for **13.12.2022** and now to come up for further proceeding on **09.01.2023**.

Ahlmad in the court of  
Sh.Rakesh Kumar-III  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-



**CT Cases No. 9/2019**  
**ED Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**ECIR/03/DLZO/2016**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**01.12.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on Half Day Leave (F/N) today.**

Present : Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP for ED alongwith Shri Atul  
Sharma, Ld. Counsels for ED.

Ms. Ipsita Agarwal, Ld. counsel for A9 & A-10 Shri  
Siddharth Agarwal, Ld. Senior Counsel, Ld. counsel for A-15

Accused Dharmesh Shah, Hemant Patil & Manoj  
Gupta are present in person.

As per direction Ld. Presiding Officer put up on  
**13.12.2022** for arguments on bail applications.

02

Ahlmad in the court of  
Sh.Rakesh Kumar-III  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-

Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.12.2022**

**CBI Vs. Hem Chandra Borah & Ors.**  
**RC no. ACI 2021 A 0001 CBI ACI, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11000547-2021**

**31.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI..

Shri Lakshay Parashar, Ld. counsels for A-1 Hem Chandra Borah.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for A-2 alongwith A-2 Tapan Kumar Nag on bail.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka, Ld. counsel for A-3 alongwith Ramesh Aggarwal (A-3) on bail.

Application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of A-1 Hem Chand Borah. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, A-1 Hem Chand Borah is exempted from personal appearance for today.

Three applications moved on behalf of accused No.1, viz. (i) application U/s 207 Cr. P.C. for supply of deficient copies; (ii) application U/s 91 Cr. P.C. for directions to the IO to supply list of unrelid documents; and (iii) application U/s 5(2) of PC Act, 1988 r/w Section 163 Cr.P.C. are pending.

Reply to application U/s 91 Cr. P.C. has already been filed on behalf of CBI. Date is requested by Ld. PP to file replies to applications U/s 207 Cr. P.C. as well as to application U/s 5(2) of PC Act.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, to come up for reply and arguments to all pending applications on **29.11.2022**.

At this stage, application on behalf of (A-2) Tapan Kumar Nag has been moved for release of FDR and surety bond

submitted in compliance to order dated 18.04.2022. FDR, if any on record be returned to the applicant/surety after cancelling the endorsement after obtaining their identity documents.

Further, it is stated that FDR of Rs.20 Lakhs deposited by applicant in compliance of order dated 18.04.2022 stands in favour of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi and the same be released in favour of the applicant. Since the applicant has returned back to India in compliance of the above order, in these circumstances, the Manager of concerned Bank Branch is directed to release the amount of the said FDR in favour of the applicant/accused Tapan Kumar Nag.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.10.2022**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**31.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Vikas Walia Ld. counsel for (A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma  
and (A-2) Ms. Anytama Verma.

Shri Abhinav Sekhri Ld. counsel for (A-3) Puran Sharma.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka Ld. counsel for (A-4) Ramesh  
Aggarwal.

Shri Lakshya Parasher, Ld. Counsels for (A-5) Pawan Baid & (A-  
7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for (A-6) Tanmoy Dass.

(A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma & (A-5) Pawan Baid (on bail as per  
charge sheet).

(A-4) Ramesh Agarwal is present (on anticipatory  
bail as per charge sheet).

(A-3) Puran Sharma in person.

(A-5) Pawan Baid has appeared as Authorized  
Representative of (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt.  
Ltd.

Application for exemption from personal appearance  
has been moved on behalf of A-6 Tanmoy Das. Heard. In view of  
the reasons stated in the application, A-6 Tanmoy Das is  
exempted from personal appearance for today.

Reply on behalf of CBI to bail application filed on  
behalf of (A-3) Puran Sharma has been filed.

Contd .....

An application U/s 437/439 Cr. P.C. filed on behalf of (A-2) Anytama Verma for grant of bail. It is submitted by Id. Counsel for accused Anytama Verma that charge sheet against (A-2) Ms. Anytama Verma was filed without arrest. IO is not present. On joint request, to come up for reply and arguments on the application on NDOH. In the meantime, applicant is admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety of the like amount. Bail bond and surety bond furnishing and accepted till next date of hearing. Original FDR furnished be retained on record.

One more application filed on behalf of Puran Sharma for bail is pending. He is on interim bail vide order dated 22.09.2022. As IO is not present, interim bail granted to accused Puran Sharma is extended till next date of hearing.

IO is directed to file reply to the bail applications of accused Anytama Verma and Puran Sharma by NDOH. IO is also directed to supply copies of the charge sheet to the accused persons on NDOH.

Now to come up on **30.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.10.2022**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**29.10.2022**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.

IO Inspector Animesh Kumar is present.

It is stated that CBI is pursuing the matter for obtaining sanction for prosecution against the accused public servants in this case and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **29.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.10.2022**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**29.10.2022**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.

IO Inspector Animesh Kumar is present.

It is stated that CBI is pursuing the matter for obtaining sanction for prosecution against the accused public servants in this case and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **29.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.10.2022**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kumat @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**29.10.2022**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.

IO Inspector Animesh Kumar is present.

It is stated that CBI is pursuing the matter for obtaining sanction for prosecution against the accused public servants in this case and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **29.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.10.2022**

**RC No. 218 2021/A/005 dated 31.08.2021**  
**In CC N. 66/21**  
**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Others.**  
**PS CBI/AC-III/New Delhi.**

**28.10.2022**

**This is an application filed by CBI U/s 82 Cr. P.C for issuance of proclamation against Shri Vikrant Deshmukh.**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.

It is submitted by ld. PP for CBI that application for cancellation of NBW in respect of present accused/applicant is fixed in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for 04.11.2022.

On request of ld. PP for CBI, put up for consideration of the application U/s 82 Cr. P.C. for issuance of proclamation against Vikrant Deshmukh on 11.11.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.10.2022**

**Department Vs. Kamaljeet Kaur**

**28.10.2022**

Present : None.

Present departmental inquiry has been received back with direction to conclude the inquiry exparte as per provision of CCS (CCA) Rules 1965.

Issue court notice to the Presenting Officer and Delinquent for 19.11.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.10.2022**

**CNR No. DLCT1-000352-2020**  
**CR No. 41/2020**  
**Reena Chawla & Anr. Vs. State.**

**27.10.2022**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.  
Shri Apporv Sisodia, Ld. Counsel for revisionist.

Date is requested by Ld. counsel for revisionist. At  
request, to come up for further arguments on 07.11.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.10.2022**

**RC no. 224 2017 A 0001/CBI/AC-VI/SIT**

**(Now in CBI/AC-III/New Delhi)**

**U/s 120 B IPC r/w Sec. 8, 9 & 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act  
1988**

**27.10.2022**

Present: Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI. along  
with IO SP Sh. Santosh Hadimani.

None for accused persons.

IO submits that investigation of this case is going on  
expeditiously and sought some more time to complete  
investigation.

At request of the IO, put up for further proceedings /  
purpose fixed on 05.12.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/20.10.2022**

**CBI Vs. Simmi Khan**  
**RC No. 3(A)/94/ACU-VII (ACU-IV)/CBI/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000375-2020**  
**CC No. 87/2020**

**27.10.2022**

Present : Sh. Tejvinder Singh, Ld. substitute PP for CBI.

Shri Ajay Pratap Singh, Ld. counsel for accused Simmi Khan  
Accused Simmi Khan is present on bail.

Date is requested by Ld. counsel for accused. At  
request, to come up for further arguments on charge  
on 09.11.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.10.2022**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.**  
**ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**  
**U/s 3 & 4 PMLA, 2022**

**19.10.2022**

**An application has been moved on behalf of accused Ashish Aggarwal for surrender of passport and seeking release of FDs**

Present : Sh. Neel Mani , Ld. Officiating PP for CBI.

Accused Ashish Aggarwal (A-16) in person.

In compliance of order dated 06.09.2022, A-16 Ashish Aggarwal has surrendered his passport in the court. Same be taken on record.

Now to come up for release of FDs on date already fixed I.e. 21.11.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.10.2022**

**CC no. 26/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ishma Arora & Ors.**  
**RC no. 9E/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001068-2019**

**18.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Ms. Kamakshi Bagga proxy counsel for A-1 Ishma & A-2  
Nitin Kumar Arora.

Shri S.K. Garg, Ld. counsel for A-3 Rajiv Kumar Dogra.

Shri Anil Bhargava, Ld. counsel for A-4 Sandeep Khera.

Shri Amitabh Narenda, Ld. counsel for A-5 Sudhir Kumar.

Shri Vinay Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-6 Suresh Kumar.

Shri Rohan Akshay, proxy Counsel for A-7 Amit Verma.

Accused1 Ishma Arora, A-2 Nitin Kumar Arora, A-6 Suresh  
Kumar and A-7 Amit Verma produced from JC.

A-3 Rajiv Kumar Dogra & A-5 Sudhir Kumar are present on  
bail.

A-4 Sandeep Khera who is on bail in this case has joined the  
proceedings through VC.

PW-25 Shri Shishpal Ramola and PW-26 Shri  
Deepak Kumar Sinha present, examined and discharged. PW-27  
Shri Kirpal Singh Budhraj present and partly examined in chief.  
At request of Ld. PP for CBI, further examination in chief of  
PW-27 is deferred. PW-27 is bound down for NDOH.

An application seeking directions to jail authorities  
has been filed on behalf of A-6 Amit Verma for taking him to  
AIIMS or any other Govt. Multi-specialty Hospital for better  
medical treatment. Let copy of the application be sent to Jail  
Superintendent concerned to consider the application as per rules

and jail manuals.

Contd .....

An application has been filed on behalf of Mrs. Kajal Aggarwal and Mr. Arun Vij for release of the original documents with respect to property bearing Plot No.12, Block-C-7, Krishna Nagar, Village Ghondli Illaqua Shahdara, Delhi.

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to application moved on behalf of applicants Ms. Kajal Aggarwal and Mr. Arun Vij.

It is stated by Ld. PP for CBI that applicants are auction purchasers and have purchased the abovesaid property from Recovery Officer-I, Debt Recovery Tribunal-II, New Delhi, in public auction held on 28.02.2018. It is further stated that vide order dated 04.05.2018, Recovery Officer confirmed the said auction in favour of applicants and also issued sale certificate.

Date is requested by both the applicants for arguments.

To come up for arguments on said application and for PE for 23.11.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.10.2022**

**CBI Vs. Sanjay Gupta and Ors.**  
**(in the matter of CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna and Ors.)**  
**RC no. AC1 2018 A0011 dt. 28.05.2018**  
**U/s 120 B & 204 IPC and 7, 12 and 13(2) r/w 13(1) (d) of PC**  
**Act, 1988 and 66 IT Act, 2000.**

**18.10.2022**

Present: Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.  
Sh. Sandeep Kherwal, Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused Sanjay  
Gupta.

Vide separate order of even date, the default bail application  
moved on behalf of applicant/accused Sanjay Gupta  
U/s 167(2) Cr. P. C. stands allowed.

In view of the aforesaid, the application/note opposing extension  
of judicial custody remand of accused Sanjay Gupta  
moved on the last date of hearing also stands disposed  
off being infructuous.

Now, to come up on **20.10.2022** i.e. the date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/18.10.2022**

**CBI Vs. Green Valley Plywood Limited & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC.6(E)/2015/BDI/CBI/BS & FC/ New Delhi**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w 420,467,468,471 IPC &**  
**Sec. 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act 1988**

**13.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Devesh Pansari.

Shri Rohan Srivastava, Ld. counsel for (A-6) R.C. Juneja.

Report regarding LOC qua (A-6) R.C. Juneja filed by IO. It is submitted in the application that since (A-6) R.C. Juneja has deposited his passports in the Court, a letter has been sent to Bureau of Immigration to close the LOC against the applicant/accused. Report be taken on record.

Put up on date already fixed for **14.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.10.2022**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & others**  
**RC No. 14(A)/2018/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000020-2021**  
**CC No. 03/2021**

**13.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Deepak Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-1 K. Kannadasan.

Shri Surender Kumar Singh, Ld. counsel for A-2 Tej Pal and  
A-3 Veer Singh Kem.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi, Ld. counsel for accused Pradeep  
Sharma (A-4) (also representing M/s Riduas Auto  
Components Pvt. Ltd. (A-5).

Tej Pal (A-2), Veer Singh Kem (A-3) and Pradeep Sharma  
(A-4) (also representing M/s Riduas Auto  
Components Pvt. Ltd. (A-5) are present on bail.

An application for exemption has been moved on behalf of  
A-1 K. Kannadasan. Heard. In view of the grounds  
mentioned in the application, A-1 is exempted from  
personal appearance for today only.

PW-17 Mr. Smruti Ranjan Dash is present, examined  
and discharged.

PW-18 Shri Anil Kumar Dube present, partly  
examined in chief and discharged at request of Ld. PP for CBI.

PW-18 is bound down for NDOH.

To come up for PE for **16.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/13.10.2022**

**CC no. 23/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**RC no. 04(E)/2014/CBI/BS & FC/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000205-2021**

**13.10.2022**

**This is an application U/s 439 Cr. P.C. for grant of two months interim bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused Nirman Singh Bhangoo.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is not present.

Reply to above mentioned application not filed. At request of Ld. PP for CBI, to come up for reply and arguments on **20.10.2022**. Notice be issued to Superintendent, Jail to file complete medical report in respect of applicant/accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo on or before next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.10.2022**

**CC No. 378/2019**  
**CBI s. Alok Kumar Sharan & Ors**  
**RC No. 06(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-001479-2019**

**11.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Yash Bansal, Proxy Counsel for A-1.

Ms. Olivia A.I. Bang, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Keshari Kumar Tiwari Ld. counsel for A-3.

Shri Rahul Sharma Ld. counsel for A-4 & A-5.

Accused Alok Kumar Saran (A-1), Ms. L. Bindoni Devi (A-2), Prakash Sambharkar (A-3) and Anil Kumar Mangal (A-5) for himself and as Director of M/s Sai Flytech Aviation Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present on bail.

Part arguments advanced by Ld. PP for CBI heard. At request of Ld. PP, to come up for further arguments on **11.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.10.2022**

**CBI Vs. Rajan Chopra & Ors.**  
**RC No. 7(S)/2006/CBI/SCR-III/ND**  
**CC No. 42/2019**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000237-2019**

**11.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma & Ms. Bhumika Yadav Ld. Counsels  
for (A-1) Rajan Chopra.

Shri R.S. Ahuja and Shri Avi Mitra Ld. Counsels for (A-3)  
Bhoodev Prasad, (A-4) R.K. Srivastava and (A-6)  
Sandeep Sahni.

Shri Raghav Sabharwal Ld. counsel for A-7 S.P. Saxena.

A-3 Bhoodev Prasad, A-4 R.K. Srivastava, A-5 Dilip Singh  
Negi and A-6 Sandeep Sahni are present on bail.

A-1 Rajan Chopra & A-7 S.P. Saxena are absent.

Two separate applications for exemption have been  
moved on behalf of A-1 Rajan Chopra and A-7 S.P. Saxena.  
Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-1  
Rajan Chopra and A-7 S.P. Saxena are exempted from personal  
appearance for today only.

PW-45 Shri Satpal Mann, PW-46 Satyender Kumar,  
PW-47 Minhaj Uddin and PW-48 Smt. Saroj present, examined and  
discharged.

Now to come up for PE for **02.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)

Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.10.2022**

**CC no. 38/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Devender Gupta & Ors**  
**RC no. 47(A)/99/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT1-000229-2019**

**11.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Gagan Minocha Ld. counsel for A-1 Devender Gupta.

Shri Harish Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-2 Smt. Raj Rani Gupta  
& A-3 Smt. Sudha Gupta.

Shri Vishal Sharma, Ld. counsel for A-4 Smt. Satyawati  
Gupta, A-5 Sunita Gupta & A-6 Anil Goel.

Shri H.R. Dhamija Ld. Counsel for A-7 Govind Ram.

**Personal appearance of accused Nos. 2 & 4 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 25.05.2011 through their Ld. counsel.**

**Personal appearance of accused No.3 & 5 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 30.04.2022 through their Ld. counsel.**

Accused Devender Gupta (A-1) Anil Goel (A-6) and Govind Ram (A-7) are present on bail.

Two applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf of witnesses Pawan Gupta & Vikas Goel. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, witness Pawan Gupta and Vikas Goel are exempted from personal appearance for today. Two more witnesses listed at Serial No.116 & 129 namely Shri Suraj Bhan Goel and Smt. Kamla Goel respectively are present. However, Ld. PP for CBI requests to drop these two witnesses. Statement of Ld. PP in this regard is recorded separately.

Contd .....

In view of statement of Ld. PP for CBI, PWs Shri Suraj Bhan Goel and Smt. Kamla Goel are dropped from the array of list of witnesses.

Now to come up for PE on 20.10.2022, date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.10.2022**

**CC No. 69/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Surender Kumar Bhandoria**  
**RC No. 31(A)/2021**  
**u/S 7 of PC Act 1988**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000509-2021**

**06.10.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on half day leave.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Surender Kumar Bhandoria on bail with Ld.  
counsel Shri N.K. Bhatnagar.

PWs Shri Rajiv Vashisth, Shri Pawan Singh and Ms.  
Priya are present and discharged.

Shri Arvind Kumar has appeared for PW Shri  
Gyanesh Bharti submitting that Shri Gyanesh Bharti is not in a  
position to appear in court today.

As per directions of Ld. Presiding Officers, put up  
for PE for 07.11.2022. PWs present today are bound down for  
next date of hearing.

III

READER  
In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**CBI Vs. Hem Chandra Borah & Ors.**  
**RC no. ACI 2021 A 0001 CBI ACI, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11000547-2021**

**06.10.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on half day leave.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Hem Chander Borah (A-1) on bail with Ld. counsel Shri  
Lakshya Parashar.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava Ld. counsel for (A-2) Tapan Kumar  
Nag.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka Ld. counsel for (A-3) Ramesh  
Agarwal.

Two applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf of (A-2) Tapan Kumar Nag and (A-3) Ramesh Aggarwal. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the applications, both accused are exempted from personal appearance for today.

Ld. PP for CBI seeks some more time to file reply of pending applications.

As per directions of Ld. Presiding Officers, put up for reply and arguments on all pending applications as well as for scrutiny of documents for **31.10.2022**.

READER

In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-

III

Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**CBI Vs. Sanjay Gupta & Ors.**  
**(In the matter of CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna & Ors.)**  
**CC No. 28/2022**  
**FIR No. RC2162018A0011(RC ACI 2018 A0011)**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000236-2022**

**06.10.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on half day leave.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO/DSP  
Puspal Paul.

Shri Trideep Pais Ld. Senior Advocate with Shri Parminder  
Singh, Shri Rahil Mahajan and Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld.  
Counsel(s) for accused Sanjay Gupta.

Written submissions filed on behalf of CBI to the  
bail application U/s 439 Cr. P.C of accused Sanjay Gupta. Copy  
supplied.

Written submission on behalf of  
applicant/accused Sanjay Gupta filed to the application U/s 167  
Cr. P.C. for grant of default bail. Ld. PP for  
CBI seeks some more time to file reply.

As per directions of Ld. Presiding Officers, to come up for  
clarification and for orders on bail application U/s 439 Cr. P.C. on  
**10.10.2022** and for reply and arguments to application U/s 167  
Cr. P.C for **15.10.2022**.

III

READER  
In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-  
  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**CBI Vs. Sanjay Gupta & Ors.**  
**(In the matter of CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna & Ors.)**  
**CC No. 28/2022**  
**FIR No. RC2162018A0011(RC ACI 2018 A0011)**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000236-2022**

**01.10.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO/DSP  
Puspal Paul.

Shri Trideep Pais Ld. Senior Advocate with Shri Parminder  
Singh, Shri Rahil Mahajan and Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld.  
Counsel(s) for accused Sanjay Gupta.

Arguments concluded on bail application of accused  
Sanjay Gupta by Ld. PP for CBI and Shri Trideep Pais, Ld. Senior  
Advocate for accused.

Now to come up for clarification and for orders on  
06.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.10.2022**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**30.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Abhishek Tiwari.

Shri Faisal Ahmad and Shri Katik Ld. counsel(s) for A-2  
Anand Dilip Daga.

Shri Narender Mann, Shri Manoj Pant & Shri Shailesh Jha  
Ld. Counsels for A-3 Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane.

Accused persons Abhishek Tiwari (A-1), Anand Dilip Daga  
(A-2) and V.G. Tumane (A-3) are present on bail.

Reply filed by CBI to the application U/s 207 r/w  
Sec. 91 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of accused Vaibhav Gajendra  
Tumane (A-3).

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, to come up for  
arguments on **04.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Others**  
**RC No. 04(E)/2014 CBI/BS&FC/ND**  
**CC No. 23/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000205-2021**  
**U/s 120B r/w Sec. 409,411,420,467,468,471,474 IPC**  
**& Sec. 4 & 5 r/w 6 Prize Chits & Money Circulation Schemes**  
**(Banning)Act, 1978.**

**30.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Avadh Kaushik Ld. counsel for accused/applicant  
Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.

Further part arguments advanced on behalf of applicant/accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo heard. Some more time is requested by Ld. counsel for applicant to address the arguments on the bail application.

At request of Ld. counsel for applicant/accused, now to come up for further arguments on **10.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.09.2022**

**CC no.**  
**CBI Vs.**  
**RC no.**  
**CNR no.**

**28.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/28.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 09(A)/2017/AC-III,**  
**PS CBI, AC-III, SPE, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**27.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Shri Shahbaaz Jameel Ld. counsel for A-5.

Accused Col. Ajay Kumar Singh (A-1), Sushil Kumar (A-2), Sachin Gupta (A-3), Khizar Abbas (A-5) and Javed Khan (A-6) are present on bail.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-4) B. Ramachandhran. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, accused B. Ramachandhran is exempted from personal appearance for today.

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to application U/s 207 r/w Section 91 Cr. P.C dated 02.06.2022 moved by(A-1) Ajay Kumar Singh for supply of documents.

Another reply filed on behalf of CBI to application U/s 91 Cr. P.C dated 02.06.2022 moved by (A-4) B. Ramachandhiran seeking directions to MHC, Department of Telecommunications and prosecution to produce record relating to compliance of Rule 419(A) of Telegraph (Amended) Rules 2007.

Now to come up on **01.11.2022** for reply, arguments to the pending applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.09.2022**

**CC no. 03/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Ulhas Prabhakar & Anr.**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11-0012080-2019**

**27.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Ulhas Prabhakar produced from JC.

Shri Mudit Jain, Yugant Sharma and Tushar Gupta, Ld.  
counsels for A-2 Yogender Mittal alongwith A-2.

PW-25 Ms. Suman Huria and PW-26 Shri Lakshya Khosla are present, examined and discharged.

PW-27 Shri Devraj Murmu is present and partly examined in chief. On request of Ld. PP for CBI, his further examination is deferred for next date of hearing. PW-27 is bound down for NDOH.

Now to come up for PE on 09.11.2022. IO/HIO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & Anr.**  
**RC No. 12(A)/2019/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**Case ID CC No. 30/2020**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000182-2020**

**26.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Deepak Sharma Ld. counsel for (A-1) K. Kannadassan.

Shri Mohsin Qureshi Ld. counsel for (A-2) Manoj Kumar  
Singh.

(A-1) K. Kannadassan and (A-2) Manoj Kumar Singh are  
present on bail.

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to application moved  
U/s 91 Cr. P.C. on behalf of accused K. Kannadasan.

At request of Ld. PP, to come up for arguments on  
15.10.2022. Written synopsis on behalf of CBI filed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/26.09.2022**

**24.09.2022**

Present : None.

Two letters dated 15.05.2022 & 29.04.2022 in respect of inmate Mukesh @ Mukki S/o Shri Khemchand lodged in Jail No.15, Mandoli, Delhi received from Shri Rakesh Pandit, Officer Incharge, General Branch, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi with direction to send the report to Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Let notice be issued to Superintendent, Jail No.15, Mandoli Jail, Delhi to appear in person and file report for 28.09.2022. Copies of the letters dated 15.05.2022 and 29.04.2022 be also sent alongwith the notice.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.**  
**(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)**  
**RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CC No. 56/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**24.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Kumar Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Shri H.K. Sharma Ld. counsel for A-3 & A-4.

Avdesh Kumar Kaushal (A-2) and Pawan Baid (A-3) who also represents M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of (A-1) Madhur Kaushal. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, Madhur Kaushal is exempted from personal appearance for today.

Ld. PP seeks time for arguments on pending applications.

On request to come up for reply to all pending applications and arguments on 19.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.09.2022**

**CC No. 282/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Emani Venkata Sarveswara Rao & Ors.**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001116-2019**

**23.09.2022**

**Ld. PO is on half day leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Vishnu Sharma, Ld. Counsel for EVS Rao (A-1).

Shri Akhilesh Wahal Ld. counsel for (A-2) & (A-10).

Shri Harsh Bora, Ld. counsel for (A-3).

Shri Anoop Prakash Awasthi, Ld. counsel for (A-4).

Shri Gautam Khazanchi, Ld. counsel for (A-5).

Shri Mukesh Malasi AR for (A-6).

None for (A-7).

Shri Anand Tiwari AR for (A-8).

Shri Krishna Yadav Proxy Counsel for Shri Kailash Pandey  
Ld. counsel for (A-9).

Five separate applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of (A-1) & Authorized Representatives of (A-2 & A-10) (A-3), (A-4), (A-5), (A-9). Heard. In view of reasons stated and in the interest of justice, said accused persons / AR(s) are allowed to be exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Ld. counsel(s) for the accused persons submit that the next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court is 18.11.2022.

As per directions of Ld. Presiding Officers, put up for further proceedings / awaiting further directions on 22.11.2022.

III

READER

In Ld. court of Shri Rakesh Kumar-

Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/23.09.2022**

**CR 21/2022**

**M/s Alliance Comnet Ltd. & Ors. Vs. Registrar of Companies.**

**22.09.2022**

Present : Sh. Kriti Uppal, Sr. Counsel alongwith Shri Alok Tripathi and Ms. Pooja Aggarwal Ld. counsels for the revisionist.

Ld. Counsel for respondent/ROC.

Part arguments heard. At request of both the counsels, now to come up for remaining arguments on **07.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.09.2022**

**CC no. 38/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Devender Gupta & Ors**  
**RC no. 47(A)/99/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT1-000229-2019**

**22.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Gagan Minocha Ld. counsel for A-1 Devender Gupta.

Shri Bhavook Chauhan, Ld. counsel for A-2 Smt. Raj Rani  
Gupta & A-3 Smt. Sudha Gupta.

Shri Sushil Bajaj & Shri Vishal Sharma, Ld. counsels for A-4  
Smt. Satyawati Gupta, A-5 Sunita Gupta & A-6 Anil  
Goel.

Shri H.R. Dhamija Ld. Counsel for A-7 Govind Ram.

**Personal appearance of accused Nos. 2 & 4 is  
stated to be already exempted vide order dated 25.05.2011  
through their Ld. counsel.**

**Personal appearance of accused No.3 & 5 is  
stated to be already exempted vide order dated 30.04.2022  
through their Ld. counsel.**

Accused Devender Gupta (A-1) Anil Goel (A-6) and  
Govind Ram (A-7) are present on bail.

An application for exemption from personal  
appearance has been moved on behalf of witness Pawan Gupta.  
Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, witness  
Pawan Gupta is exempted from personal appearance for today.

PW-43 Shri Rakesh Bansal and PW-44 Shri Vinod  
are present, examined and discharged. Now to come up for PE on  
**11.10.2022 & 20.10.2022.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.09.2022**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**22.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO/DSP  
P.K. Srivastava

Shri Vikas Walia Ld. counsel for (A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma,  
(A-2) Ms. Anytama Verma.

Shri Abhinav Sekhri Ld. counsel for (A-3) Puran Sharma.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka Ld. counsel for (A-4) Ramesh  
Aggarwal.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma & Shri Lakshya Parasher, Ld. Counsels for  
(A-5) Pawan Baid & (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure  
Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for (A-6) Tanmoy Dass.

(A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma & (A-5)Pawan Baid (on bail as per  
charge sheet).

(A-4) Ramesh Agarwal and (A-6) Tanmoy Dass are  
present (on anticipatory bail as per charge sheet).

(A-3) Puran Sharma in person.

(A-5) Pawan Baid has appeared as Authorized  
Representative of (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt.  
Ltd.

Memorandum of appearance filed on behalf of (A-4)  
Ramesh Aggarwal.

Vakalatnamas filed on behalf of A-1) Laxmi Kant, (A-2) Smt.  
Anytama Verma (A-3) Puran Sharma, (A-5) Pawan  
Baid, (A-7) M/s ABCL Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of A-2 Smt. Anytama Verma. It is submitted by ld. counsel for A-2 that applican/accused is resident of Guwahati, Assam and is wife of A-1 Laxmi Kant. It is further submitted that applicant has two daughters namely Anandita Verma aged 10 years and Lakshita Verma aged 4 years and both the daughters are studying in St. Vivekananda Montessori School, Maligaon, Guwahati. It is further submitted that Half Yearly Examination of both the daughters are scheduled from 19.09.2022 to 29.09.2022 and elder daughter of the applicant is having examination of EVS today itself and hence applicant is unable to appear today.

Keeping in view facts mentioned in the application, accused is exempted from personal appearance for today only with direction to appear before the Court on NDOH.

Another application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of A-4 Ramesh Aggarwal. Heard. It is submitted that A-4 is a resident of Tinsukia, Assam and he received the summons just few days back and therefore he could not make necessary travel arrangement in such a short span of time. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, accused is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

An application U/s 305 Cr. P.C. has been filed on behalf of (A-7) M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. submitting that the (A-7) Company has authorized (A-5) Pawan Baid as authorized representative for representing and defending the interest of the applicant company. Heard and allowed.

As per charge sheet, applicant (A-1) Laxmi Kant Verma & (A-5) Pawan Baid are on bail vide order dated 04.03.2021

Charge sheet against (A-2) Ms. Anytama Verma was filed without arrest.

It is submitted by Ld. counsel for (A-3) Puran Sharma that charge sheet against (A-3) has been filed without arrest. Bail application has been moved on behalf of (A-3) Puran Sharma. IO is present and sought some time to file reply to the bail application. On request, to come up for reply and arguments on NDOH. In the meantime, applicant is admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal bond in sum of Rs.20,000/- with one surety of the like amount. Bail bond and surety bond furnishing and accepted till next date of hearing. Original FDR furnished be retained on record.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka, Ld. counsel for (A-4) Ramesh Aggarwal submitted that A-4 is already on anticipatory bail vide order dated 05.10.2021.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for (A-6) Tanmoy Dass submitted that A-6 is already on anticipatory bail vide order dated 05.04.2022.

IO is directed to appear on 31.10.2022 alongwith copies of the charge sheet for supply of same to the accused

persons.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Others**  
**RC No. 04(E)/2014 CBI/BS&FC/ND**  
**CC No. 23/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000205-2021**  
**U/s 120B r/w Sec. 409,411,420,467,468,471,474 IPC**  
**& Sec. 4 & 5 r/w 6 Prize Chits & Money Circulation Schemes**  
**(Banning)Act, 1978.**

**21.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Avadh Kaushik Ld. counsel for accused/applicant  
Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.

Additional reply filed on behalf of CBI to the bail  
application filed by accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo .

Part arguments advanced on behalf of  
applicant/accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo heard. Some more time  
is requested by Ld. counsel for applicant to address the arguments  
on the bail application.

At request of Ld. counsel for applicant/accused, now  
to come up for further arguments on **30.09.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.09.2022**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**21.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO Inspector Animesh Kumar is present.

It is stated that the CBI is constantly pursuing the matter for obtaining sanction for prosecution against the accused public servants in this case and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **29.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.09.2022**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**21.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO Inspector Animesh Kumar is present.

It is stated that the CBI is constantly pursuing the matter for obtaining sanction for prosecution against the accused public servants in this case and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **29.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.09.2022**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kumat @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**21.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO Inspector Animesh Kumar is present.

It is stated that the CBI is constantly pursuing the matter for obtaining sanction for prosecution against the accused public servants in this case and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on **29.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.09.2022**

**CC No. 47/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjay Kumar Saxena**  
**RC No. 1(E)/2015/CBI/EO-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000247-2019**

**21.09.2022**

**Application for resuming the proceedings in the present case in view of the modified order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Asian Surfacing of Road Agency Vs. CBI.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is absent.

Date is requested by Ld. PP for CBI. At request, to come up on **03.11.2022** for consideration on above-mentioned pending application. Notice be issued to IO for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bangoo & Others**  
**RC No. 04(E)/2014 CBI/BS&FC/ND**  
**CC No. 23/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000205-2021**  
**U/s 120B r/w Sec. 409,411,420,467,468,471,474 IPC**  
**& Sec. 4 & 5 r/w 6 Prize Chits & Money Circulation Schemes**  
**(Banning)Act, 1978.**

**21.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Biswajit Kumar Patra Ld. counsel for applicant/accused  
MannoJ Kumar Jain.

In compliance of order dated 02.09.2022, an application has been filed on behalf of MannoJ Kumar Jain furnishing travel and hotel details. Same be taken on record.

Application stands disposed off accordingly.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Subodh Mishra**  
**CC No. 376/2019**  
**RC No. 04(a)/2014/SPE/CBI/AC-III/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-001473-2019**

**21.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO.

Shri Nitesh Anan Kumar and Nishant Kumar, Ld. counsel(s)  
for A-1 Subodh Mishra.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi, Shri Aditya Tripathi & Shri Deepak  
Sharma, Ld. counsel(s) for A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri &  
A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt. Ltd.

A-1 Subodh Mishra and A-2 Rajesh Agnihotri (who also  
represents A-3 M/s Vandana Air Travels Pvt. Ltd.) are  
present on bail.

Fresh vakalatnama filed on behalf of A-2 & A-3.

PW-4 Shri Dharampal is further partly examined in  
chief. His further examinatin in chief is deferred at request of Ld.  
PP for CBI. He is bound down for next date of hearing.

PW-5 Shri R.C. Sharma is present, examined and  
discharged. Now to come up for PE on **17.10.2022**.  
IO/HIO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/21.09.2022**



**CC No. 69/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Surender Kumar Bhandoria**  
**RC No. 31(A)/2021**  
**u/S 7 of PC Act 1988**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000509-2021**

**19.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused on bail with Ld. counsel Shri N.K. Bhatnagar.

Argument heard at length on the point of charge.

Vide separate detailed order on the point of charge, charge U/s 7 PC Act 1988 (as amended in the year 2018) is made out against the accused. The charge is accordingly framed and served upon the accused to which he pleads not guilty and claims trial.

Now to come up for PE for **06.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/19.09.2022**

**DE No. 01/19**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**17.09.2022**

Present : Shri Anil Kumar Verma, Presenting Officer in person.  
Shri Rajesh Kumar Yadav, Delinquent in person.

Delinquent Official seeks time to engage a defence assistance.

Delinquent Official states that his father who happens to be Witness No.4, has expired. He further submits that he will submit the death certificate of his father on next date of hearing.

All other remaining witnesses be summoned including SW-1 Shri Ujjawal, Junior Judicial Assistant, Administration Branch, Rohini, North, Delhi.

Now to come up for remaining Departmental Evidence for 19.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/17.09.2022**

**RC No. 218 2021/A/005 dated 31.08.2021**  
**In CC N. 66/21**  
**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Others.**  
**PS CBI/AC-III/New Delhi.**

**15.09.2022**

**This is an application filed by CBI U/s 82 Cr. P.C for issuance of proclamation against Shri Vikrant Deshmukh.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO Inspector  
Shakti Kumar.

It is submitted by ld. PP for CBI that application for cancellation of NBW in respect of present accused/applicant is fixed in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for 20.10.2022.

On request of ld. PP for CBI, put up for consideration of the application U/s 82 Cr. P.C. for issuance of proclamation against Vikrant Deshmukh on 28.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Delhi Diamonds Private Limited (Under Liquidation)  
FIR No. RC 0502021 A0006 dated 24.03.2021  
PS CBI SC-II, New Delhi**

**15.09.2022**

**This is an application seeking issuance of directions against CBI for handing over the custody assets of the applicant M/s Delhi Diamonds Private Limited (Under Liquidation).**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Lokesh Pathak, Ld. counsel for applicant.

Part arguments heard. Some more time is requested by Ld. counsel for applicant to address the arguments on the application.

At request of Ld. counsel for applicant, now to come up for arguments on 18.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/15.09.2022**

**CT No. 27/2022**  
**In ECIR No. DLZO-1/28/2022**  
**Ravi Narain Vs. Enforcement Directorate.**

**15.09.2022**

**File taken up on bail application filed on behalf of accused/applicant Ravi Narain seeking bail U/s 437/439 Cr. P.C.**

**Ld. PO is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. N.K. Matta Ld. SPP for ED.

Shri Adit S. Pujari, Ms. Kriti Awasthi, Shri Siddharth Kaushal and Ms. Maitreya Subramaniam Ld. counsels for the applicant/accused.

Copy of bail application supplied to ED.

At request of Ld. counsel for applicant, now to come up for reply and arguments on **23.09.2022**. Matter shall be taken up at 2.00 PM.

(CBI)-02

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Ist Link Special Judge (PC Act)

Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/15.09.2022



**CBI Vs. Green Valley Plywood Limited & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC.6(E)/2015/BDI/CBI/BS & FC/ New Delhi**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w 420,467,468,471 IPC &**  
**Sec. 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act 1988**

**14.09.2022**

**File taken up today on application on behalf of A-6 R.C. Juneja to deposit the passports of the applicant/accused in Court and for release of surety bond furnished in compliance of orders dated 11.05.2022, 27.07.2022 and 08.09.2022.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Yatharth Singh, Ld. counsel on behalf of Mr. Aditya Singla alongwith applicant/accused R.C. Juneja.

In compliance of order(s) dated 11.05.2022, 27.07.2022 and 08.09.2022, applicant/accused R.C. Juneja has deposited three passports in the court today.

As the applicant/accused has deposited the three passports in court today, security furnished in the form of FDR/guarantee for sum of Rs.50,000/- in the name of Court be released to him.

It is also prayed in the application that investigation officer be directed to close Look Out Circular opened against the applicant/accused in compliance of the order dated 11.05.2022. Let report of the Investigation Officer be called in this regard for **13.10.2022.**

It is orally submitted by Ld. Counsel that the passports were released to the applicant on 27.07.2022 in terms of order dated 11.05.2022. It is further stated that in order dated 08.09.2022, it is mentioned that the passports were released to the applicant vide order dated 11.05.2022 for the purpose of renewing the USA Visa of applicant. It is prayed that the extension of four

weeks time to deposit the passports of applicant in court be read from the date when he received the passports from the court i.e. 27.07.2022.

Contd .....

Heard and perused the record. Perusal of record reveals that passport of the applicant was ordered to be released to him vide order dated 11.05.2022 with certain conditions. In compliance of order dated 11.05.2022, applicant furnished security in the form of FDR/guarantee for sum of Rs.50,000/- on 27.07.2022 in the name of Court and thereafter passports were released to the applicant on 27.07.2022.

In view of the facts submitted by Ld. counsel, extension of four weeks time to deposit the passports in court be read from 27.07.2022 instead of 11.05.2022 when passports were released to the applicant. On request, copy of order be given dasti.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/14.09.2022**

**CNR No. DLCT1-000352-2020**  
**CR No. 41/2020**  
**Reena Chawla & Anr. Vs. State.**

**14.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Gaurav Raghav proxy Counsel for Shri Ashish Upadhyay for revisionist.

Proxy counsel for revisionist stated that Ld. Senior Counsel is busy in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and is unable to appear in court today.

On request, to come up for further arguments on 10.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/14.09.2022**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**13.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma Ld. Counsel for A-1, A-2 & A-7.

Shri Naveen Kumar Ld. counsel for A-3.

Shri Manu Sharma alongwith Shri Manojit Biswas, Shri Aditya Bhardwaj, Shri Abhyuday Sharma & Shri Kartikay, Ld. Counsels for A-5 & A-6.

Accused Mahendra Singh Chauhan (A-1), Accused Pawan Baid (A-2) who also represents M/s ABCI Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. (A-7), Bhupender Singh Rawat (A-3), Inder Singh (A-4), Accused Babul Nath (A-5) and Mahesh Kumar (A-6) are present.

Shri Manu Sharma Ld. Counsel has filed certain judgments in support of his applications to become A-5 & A-6 approvers. Same be taken on record.

Replies on behalf of CBI to two applications U/s 91 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-1 M.S. Chauhan and A-2 Pawan Baid & A-7 M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. has been filed.

On request to come up for reply to all pending applications and arguments on 24.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/13.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**13.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Kumar Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Shri H.K. Sharma Ld. counsel for A-3 & A-4.

Accused Madhur Kaushal (A-1), Avdesh Kumar Kaushal (A-2) and Pawan Baid A-3) who also represents M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present.

Reply on behalf of CBI to application U/s 91 Cr. P.C moved on behalf of A-1 Madhur Kaushal and A-2 Avdesh Kaushal has been filed.

On request to come up for reply to all pending applications and arguments on 24.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/13.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna & Anr.**  
**RC ACI 2018 A0011 dated 28.05.2018**  
**U/s 120B & 204 IPC & 7,12 & 13 (2) r/w Sec. 13(1)(d) of PC**  
**Act**  
**1988 & 66 IT Act.**

**12.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO/DSP  
Puspal Paul.

Shri Akhil Ranganathan, Shri Arshdeep Singh and Shri  
Anubhav Nandan Ld. counsels for applicant/accused  
Chitra Ramakrishna.

Shri Shri Alok Tripathi, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused  
Anand Subramanian.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsel for accused Sanjay Gupta.

Accused Ms. Chitra Ramakrishna, Anand  
Subramanian & Sanjay Gupta produced from JC.

It is submitted by IO that sanction has still not been  
received from the competent/appropriate authority and some  
more time is sought. IO is directed to get the matter expedited  
and file the sanction on or before next date of hearing.

Now to come up for consideration on **07.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bangoo & Others**  
**RC No. 04(E)/2014 CBI/BS&FC/ND**  
**CC No. 23/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000205-2021**  
**U/s 120B r/w Sec. 409,411,420,467,468,471,474 IPC**  
**& Sec. 4 & 5 r/w 6 Prize Chits & Money Circulation Schemes**  
**(Banning)Act, 1978.**

**12.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Prateek Goel proxy counsel for Shri Avadh Kaushik Ld.  
counsel for accused/applicant Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.

It is submitted that Ld. Senior Counsel is busy in  
Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and is not able to appear before the  
court to argue the matter today .

In view of request made, to come up for arguments  
on bail application on 21.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.09.2022**

**RC no. 224 2017 A 0001/CBI/AC-VI/SIT**

**(Now in CBI/AC-III/New Delhi)**

**U/s 120 B IPC r/w Sec. 8, 9 & 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act  
1988**

**09.09.2022**

Present: Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO /SP

Sh. Santosh Hadimani.

None for accused persons.

IO submits that investigation of this case is going on expeditiously and sought some more time to complete the investigation.

At request of the IO, put up for further proceedings / purpose fixed on 27.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.09.2022**

**CBI Vs.**  
**FIR No.**  
**U/s**

**00.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.09.2022**

**CBI Vs.**  
**FIR No.**  
**U/s**

**00.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.09.2022**

**CBI Vs.**  
**FIR No.**  
**U/s**

**00.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.09.2022**

**CBI Vs.**  
**FIR No.**  
**U/s**

**00.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Green Valley Plywood Limited & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC.6(E)/2015/BDI/CBI/BS & FC/ New Delhi**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w 420,467,468,471 IPC &**  
**Sec. 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act 1988**

**08.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Mr. Yatharth Singh Advocate on behalf of Mr. Aditya Singla  
Ld. counsel for applicant/accused R.C. Juneja.

This is an application filed on behalf of accused R.C. Juneja for extension of four weeks time to deposit the passports in court.

Ld. counsel for accused R.C. Juneja submits that accused was released on bail vide order dated 11.03.2020 whereby this court directed the applicant/accused to deposit his passports in court and not to leave the country without permission of the court. It is further submitted that the passports were released vide order dated 11.05.2022 for the purpose of renewing the USA Visa of applicant/accused and applicant has not received back his passport from Visa Application Centre till date and therefore he is unable to deposit the same in this court.

Ld. counsel for applicant/accused prays for extension of four weeks time to deposit the passports of applicant in court.

In view of submissions made by ld. counsel for applicant/accused, applicant is granted four week's time to deposit his passports in court. On request, copy of order be given to Ld. counsel

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.09.2022**

**CC No. 378/2019**  
**CBI s. Alok Kumar Sharan & Ors**  
**RC No. 06(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-001479-2019**

**08.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Neeraj proxy Counsel for A-2.

Shri Keshari Kumar Tiwari Ld. counsel for A-3.

Shri Rahul Sharma Ld. counsel for A-4 & A-5.

Accused Alok Kumar Saran (A-1), Ms. L. Bindoni Devi (A-2), Prakash Sambharkar (A-3) and Anil Kumar Mangal (A-5) for himself and as Director of M/s Sai Flytech Aviation Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) are present on bail.

It is submitted by Ms. L. Bindoni Devi (A-2) that her earlier counsel who was representing her has expired and some time is sought by A-2 to engage a new counsel.

At request, put up for arguments on 11.10.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bangoo & Others**  
**RC No. 04(E)/2014 CBI/BS&FC/ND**  
**CC No. 23/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000205-2021**  
**U/s 120B r/w Sec. 409,411,420,467,468,471,474 IPC**  
**& Sec. 4 & 5 r/w 6 Prize Chits & Money Circulation Schemes**  
**(Banning)Act, 1978.**

**08.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith HIO SI  
Anurag Kumar.

Shri Avadh Kaushk, Ld. Counsel for A-1 M/s PGF Ltd. and  
A-2 Nirmal Singh Bhangoo.

Shri Vasudev Singh for A-3 M/s PACL Ltd.

Shri Nimish Chib, Ld. Counsel for A-4 Sukhdev Singh and  
A-10 Gurjant Singh Gill.

Shri Jai Anant, Ld. Counsel for A-5 Gurmeet Singh.

Shri Janender Kumar Chumbak, Ld. Counsel for A-6 Subrata  
Bhattacharya.

None on behalf of Harchand Singh Gill (A-7).

Shri Bhanu Sanoriya, Ld. Counsel for A-8 Chander Bhushan  
Dhillon & A-9 Prem Seth.

Shri Harsh Vinoy, Ld. counsel for A-11 Balvir Singh.

Shri Farheen Penwale, Ld. Counsel for accused Tarlochan  
Singh (A-12).

Shri Deepanshu Choithani and Mayank Pachuri Ld. counsel  
for A-13 Manmohan Kamal Mahajan.

Shri Anand Madan Ld. counsel for A14 Mohan Lal Sehjpal.

None for A-16 Gurpratap Singh.

None on behalf of A-17 Harsatinder Pal Singh.

None on behalf of A-18 Sukhwinder Kaur.

None for A-19 Barinder Kaur.

Contd .....

Shri Aman Gaur Ld. counsel for A-20 Praveen Kumar Aggarwal.

Shri Manish Pratap Singh Ld. counsel for A-21 Anil Kumar Khemka.

Shri Biswajit Patra Ld. counsel for A-22 MannoJ Kumar Jain, A-24 Subhash Aggarwal & A-25 Prateek Kumar.

Shri Bharat Beriwal Ld. counsel for A-23 Akash Aggarwal.

None for A-26, A-27, A-29, A-30 & A-31.

Shri Chinmaya Seth Ld. counsel for A-32 Gurnam Singh.

Shri Anshuman Ld. counsel for A-33 Joginder Tyger.

Accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo (A-2) produced from JC.

Accused Sukhdev Singh (A-4) has not been produced from Central Jail, Jaipur.

Accused Gurmeet Singh (A-5) & Subrata Bhattacharya (A-6) produced from JC.

Accused Harchand Singh Gill (A-7) is absent.

Accused Gurjant Singh Gill (A-10) is present on bail.

Accused Balvir Singh (A-11) present on bail.

Accused Tarlochan Singh (A-12) not produced from JC from Ludhiana, Punjab.

Accused Manmohan Kamal Mahajan (A-13) on bail.

Accused Mohan Lal Sehgal (A-14) on bail.

Accused Kanwaljit Singh Toor (A-15) has already expired and proceedings already abated vide order dated 10.03.2022.

Accused Gurpratap Singh (A-16) is absent.

Accused Harsatinder Pal Singh (A-17) and Smt. Barinder

Kaur (A-19) produced from JC from Muktsar Jail,  
Punjab.

Accused Sukhwinder Kaur (A-18) is absent.

Contd .....

Accused Harsatinder Pal Singh Hayer (A-17) & Smt. Barinder

Kaur (A-19) produced from Muktsar Jail Punjab.

Accused Praveen Kumar Agarwal (A-20) on bail.

Accused MannoJ Kumar Jain (A-22) is present on bail.

Accused Akash Agarwal (A-23) is present on bail.

Accused Subash Agarwal (A-24) is present on bail.

Accused Rajesh Agarwal (A-25) present on bail.

Accused Prateek Kumar (A-26) absent.

Accused Brajendra Singh Bhadouriya (A-27) produced from  
JC.

Accused Janeshwar Dass (A-28) produced from JC.

Accused Gurnam Singh (A-32) produced from JC.

Accused Joginder Tyger (A-33) is absent.

Two separate applications for exemption have been moved on behalf of A-9 Prem Seth and A-21 Anil Kumar Khemka. Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, both accused are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Production warrants issued in respect of A-4 Sukhdev Singh have been brought back by HC Prahlad Kumar, Jaipur, Rajasthan. It has been reported that due to non availability of police guards, accused could not be produced today in court.

In these circumstances, let fresh production warrants be issued in respect of A-4 Sukhdev Singh in terms of previous order.

Open NBWs issued against A-7 Harchand Singh Gill, A-16, Gurpratap Singh, A-18, Sukhwinder Kaur and A-26 Prateek Kumar in terms of order dated 29.04.2022 have not been received either executed or unexecuted.

Contd .....

Ld. counsel appearing on behalf of accused Tarlochan Singh has informed that he has been lodged in Jail at Central Jail, Ludhiana in case FIR No. 218/2021, registered at SF, Mohali. Let production warrants be issued in respect of accused Tarlochan Singh for next date of hearing.

Ld. counsel appearing for accused Joginder Tyger has informed that accused is lodged in Kawrdha Jail, District Kabirdhamin case FIR No. 363/2015 & FIR No. 185/2017, PS Kawardha, (Chattisgarh). Let production warrants be also issued in respect of accused Joginder Tyger for next date of hearing.

Verification of surety of accused A-13 Manmohan Kamal Mahajan received. Fresh bail bond of A-13 moved and accepted in view of verification report.

An application has been moved on behalf of A-5 Gurmeet Singh Chahal seeking inspection of documents which have been seized by CBI in the present case but has neither been relied upon nor placed on record. Copy supplied. Ld. PP for CBI seeks time to file reply.

An application has been filed on behalf of A-23 for release of mobile phone on superdari. It is submitted by ld. PP that he has not received copy of the application. Copy supplied.

Let, this application be put up for filing reply and arguments on 16.09.2022.

An application U/s 207 Cr. P.C filed on behalf of accused Akash Agarwal for supply of illegible documents filed by CBI alongwith the charge sheet. Copy supplied to Ld. PP for CBI who seeks time to file reply.

An application moved on behalf of accused Harjeet Kaur Toor for release of Jamatalashi articles. Copy supplied to Ld. PP for CBI who seeks time to file reply.

Compliance report filed on behalf of applicant Harjeet Singh Arora regarding his return from travel abroad. Same be taken on record.

Contd.....

Pursuant to order dated 02.09.2022 Ld. counsel for accused MannoJ Kumar Jain has furnished surety bond in sum of Rs.5 Lacs & security in the form of FDR in sum of Rs.20 Lacs in the name of Ld. Principal District & sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge. CBI, PC Act.

The details of the stay of accused MannoJ Kumar Jain has already been filed on record. Surety bond furnished today is accepted in terms of order dated 02.09.2022. Since the conditions imposed have been complied by A-22, let passport of (A-22) MannoJ Kumar Jain be released to him in terms of order dated 02.09.2022, to be deposited back in court after return from abroad.

Now to come up for filing of reply and arguments on pending applications on **12.10.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Mohd. Khurshid Alam & Ors.**  
**RC No. 28(A)/2022/CBI/ACB/Delhi**

**07.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith SI Sanoop Kumar on behalf of IO Inspector Dharambir.

An application filed on behalf of applicant Khurshid Alam for release of articles mentioned in Sl. No.1 and Sl. No. 6 to 8. Copy supplied to SI Sanoop Kumar who submits that IO is not present today and seeks some time for filing reply.

An application filed alongwith modified list of documents and list of witnesses on behalf of CBI. Same be taken on record.

One more application has been filed on behalf of CBI for filing on record document i.e. handwriting examination report and addition of name of Ms. Alka Gupta, Senior Scientific Assistant (Documents), Govt. Scientific Expert, CFSL, CBI, New Delhi as a witness in the list of witnesses.

Heard Ld. PP for CBI. In view of facts and circumstances, application is allowed and CBI is permitted to add the name of Ms. Alka Gupta as prosecution witness.

To come up for filing of reply to the applications for 20.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/07.09.2022**



**CC no. 66/2020**  
**CBI Vs. Sanjeev Kumar**  
**RC no. DAI-2020-A-0016 dated 18.03.2020**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11-000296-2020**

**06.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused on bail with Ld. counsel Shri Sanjay Gupta.

PW-7 Shri Sanjay Gupta is present and partly examined. His further examination in chief deferred at request of Ld. PP for CBI as the case property has not been produced today. He is bound down for next date of hearing.

To come up for PE on 10.10.2022 Notice be also issued to Incharge, Malkhana, CBI, ACB for production of case property.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.09.2022**

**CR 21/2022**

**M/s Alliance Comnet Ltd. Vs. Registrar of Companies.**

**05.09.2022**

Present : Ms. Pooja Aggarwal, Ld. Proxy Counsel for revisionist.

None has appeared on behalf of respondent.

Case called several time since morning but none appeared on behalf of respondent.

An application has been filed by proxy Counsel for the revisionist seeking adjournment stating therein that Ld. arguing Senior counsel Shri Kriti Uppal is down with fever and he is not in a position to argue the matter and he shall be available for arguments on 22.09.2022.

At request, matter is adjourned for arguments on 22.09.2022. Let, court notice be also issued to the respondent/ROC for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/05.09.2022**



**RC No. 218 2021/A/005 dated 31.08.2021**  
**In CC N. 66/21**  
**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Others.**  
**PS CBI/AC-III/New Delhi.**

**02.09.2022**

**This is an application filed by CBI U/s 82 Cr. P.C for issuance of proclamation against Shri Vikrant Deshmukh.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO.

It is submitted by ld. PP for CBI that application for cancellation of NBW in respect of the present accused/applicant is fixed in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi for 13.09.2022.

On request of ld. PP for CBI, put up for consideration of the application U/s 82 Cr. P.C. for issuance of proclamation against Vikrant Deshmukh on 15.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Rakesh Kumar**  
**CC No. 37/2019**

**03.09.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Pradeep Mahajan, Ld. counsel for convict Satbir Singh  
alongwith convict Satbir Singh and Surety Shri  
Amarjeet Singh Sahni.

Ld. Counsel for the convict states that interim order  
has been extended by Hon'ble High Court and next date of  
hearing before Hon'ble High Court is 21.02.2023. An application  
intimating the next date of hearing before Hon'ble High Court  
alongwith the copy of order dated 30.08.2022 has also been filed  
on behalf of the convict.

In these circumstances, the bail bond and surety  
bond of the convict is accepted till the next date of hearing in  
terms of order dated 30.08.2022 of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Put up for further proceedings / awaiting further  
directions / further orders on **01.03.2023**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/03.09.2022**

**CBI Vs. Sanjay Gupta & Ors.**  
**(In the matter of CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna & Ors.)**  
**RC No. ACI 2018 A0011 dt. 28.05.2018**  
**U/s 120B & 204 IPC & 7,12 & 13 (2) r/w Sec. 13(1)(d) of PC**  
**Act**  
**1988 & 66 IT Act.**

**31.08.2022**

**File taken up on application for bail moved on behalf of accused/applicant Sanjay Gupta.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsel for accused Sanjay Gupta.

Accused Sanjay Gupta produced from JC.

Copy of bail application supplied to Ld. PP for CBI.

Ld. PP seeks short date for filing reply. Ld. counsel for accused Sanjay Gupta also seeks short adjournment for advancing arguments on the bail application. On request from both the sides, to come up for reply and arguments on 09.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/31.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Rajan Chopra & Ors.**  
**RC No. 7(S)/2006/CBI/SCR-III/ND**  
**CC No. 42/2019**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000237-2019**

**30.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma & Shri Neeraj Kumar Ld. Counsels  
for A-1 Rajan Chopra.

Shri Harish Khanna Ld. Counsel for A-3 Bhoodev Prasad.

Ms. Sunita Gupta & Shri Amrinder Singh Ld. counsels for  
A-4 R.K. Srivastava, A-6 Sandeep Sahni.

Shri R.P. Shukla Ld. counsel for A-5 Dilip Singh Negi.

Shri Raghav Sabharwal Ld. counsel for A-7 S.P. Saxena.

A-3 Bhoodev Prasad, A-4 R.K. Srivastava, A-5 Dilip Singh  
Negi and A-6 Sandeep Sahni are present on bail.

A-1 Rajan Chopra & A-7 S.P. Saxena are absent.

Two separate applications for exemption have been  
moved on behalf of A-1 Rajan Chopra and A-7 S.P. Saxena.  
Heard. In view of the grounds mentioned in the applications, A-1  
Rajan Chopra and A-7 S.P. Saxena are exempted from personal  
appearance for today only.

Reply filed on behalf of A-1 Rajan Chopra to the  
application filed by CBI to file additional documents on record.

Ld. counsels for other accused persons stated that  
they do not want to file any reply to the said application.

Arguments heard.

Contd ....

It is submitted by Ld. PP for CBI that the case was registered on the orders dated 13.02.2006 passed by ld. Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in Crl. Writ Petition No. 10066/2004 vide which the CBI was directed to conduct the investigation in the matters related to Co-operative Group Housing Societies. It is further submitted that the case was listed on 01.06.2022 for PE and it was pointed out by Ld. PP for CBI that certain documents, needs to be proved, were not placed on record. It is further stated that the said documents were available in the Malkhana but inadvertently same were not filed alongwith the charge sheet and prayer is made for taking on record the documents mentioned in the list of documents filed alongwith the application.

It is argued by Ld. counsels that there is no provision either in the Cr. P.C. or in any other Code to move such an application for placing on record the documents at the time of evidence and by placing the documents at this stage, the prosecution is trying to fill up the lacuna and prayer is made for dismissal of the application.

The Investigation Officer is required to produce all the relevant documents at the time of submitting the charge sheet but there is no bar that additional documents cannot be produced at the later stage. If some mistake is committed by IO for not producing the documents at the relevant stage, it is open to IO to produce the same at a later stage with the permission of the Court.

In the present case, all the documents which the prosecution is trying to place on record were lying in Malkhana but inadvertently not produced at the relevant time and the prosecution is neither trying to fill up the lacuna nor the nature of the case is going to be changed by taking on record the additional documents. Reference can

Contd .....

also be made to case of **Ajay Singh Chautala Vs. CBI (decided on 24.08.2018 by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi) in CRL. M.C. 1114/2016 & CRL. M.A. 4797-99/2016** wherein the similar question was decided by Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and allowed the prosecution to place on record the documents.

Keeping in view the aforesaid and the settled principles of law as laid down by various judicial pronouncements, the application is allowed and documents filed by CBI are taken on record.

No PW is present today. To come up for PE on 15.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.08.2022**

**CR 21/2022**

**M/s Alliance Comnet Ltd. Vs. Registrar of Companies.**

**30.08.2022**

Present : Shri Alok Tripathi Ld. counsel for  
applicant/revisionist.

Shri Anil Gupta, MTS on behalf of ROC.

On request of ld. counsel for revisionist as well as MTS on  
behalf of ROC, to come up for arguments on  
05.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.08.2022**

**RC No. 2021/A/005 dated 31.08.2021**  
**In CC N. 66/21**  
**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Others.**  
**PS CBI/AC-III/New Delhi.**

**29.08.2022**

**This is an application filed by CBI U/s 82 Cr. P.C  
for issuance of proclamation against Shri Vikrant Deshmukh.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO.

IO sought short date on the ground that he has to appear  
before a matter before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

To come up for consideration of the application on  
**02.09.2022.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.08.2022**

**Smt. Barinder Kaur Vs. CBI.**  
**(In CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bangoo & Ors.)**  
**RC No. RCBDI/2014/E/0004**  
**PS CBI/BS & FC, New Delhi**  
**U/s 420/120B IPC**

**27.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO DSP  
Anshuman Saha.

Ms. Prabhneer Swani proxy counsel for Shri Keshavam  
Chaudhri for applicant/accused Barinder Kaur.

Vide separate order announced in open court, bail  
application of applicant/accused Barinder Kaur is  
dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Devender Rastogi**  
**RC No. 219-2016-E-0013**  
**CC No. 181/2019**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11**

**25.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Sh. Chandan Kumar alongwith Ms. Vismita Diwan, Ld. Counsels  
for A-1.

Sh. Manish Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-3.

Sh. N.C. Gupta, Ld. Counsel for A-4.

Accused Devender Rastogi (A-1), Surender Kumar  
Gogia (A-2), Jagmohan Sharma (A-3), Anil Malhotra  
(A-4) are present on bail.

PW-58 Sh. Ayush Wadhwa is present and his cross  
examination has been concluded.

PW-60 Sh. Gurjeet Singh is present, examined and  
discharged. No other witnesses summoned.

Now, to come up for PE on **13.09.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.08.2022**

**Smt. Barinder Kaur Vs. CBI.**  
**(In CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bangoo & Ors.)**  
**RC No. RCBDI/2014/E/0004**  
**PS CBI/BS & FC, New Delhi**  
**U/s 420/120B IPC**

**25.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO DSP  
Anshuman Saha.

Shri Keshavam Chaudhari and Shri Rishi Sehgal, Ld.  
counsels for applicant/accused.

Arguments heard.

To come up for orders on bail application for 27.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.08.2022**

**CNR No. DLCT11-000352-2020**  
**CR No. 41/2020**  
**Reena Chawla & Anr. Vs. State.**

**24.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Ashish Upadhaya, Shri Apoorv Sisodia & Shri Utkarsh  
Bhardwaj Ld. counsel(s) for revisionist.

Part arguments heard.

To come up for further arguments on 14.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.08.2022**

**CR 21/2022**

**M/s Alliance Comnet Ltd. Vs. Registrar of Companies.**

**24.08.2022**

Present : Ms. Pooja Aggarwal Proxy counsel for Shri Alok  
Tripathi Ld. counsel for revisionist.

None for respondent/ROC.

Trial court record has already been received.

It is submitted by proxy counsel for revisionist that  
main Counsel is busy in Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and is not in  
a position to appear in court today.

Order from Hon'ble High Court had been received  
on 05.08.2022 and both the parties were directed to appear before  
this court on 05.08.2022 at 2.00 PM. Ld counsel for the  
revisionist is appearing, but no one is appearing on behalf of  
respondent/ROC since 05.08.2022. When the order dated  
22.07.2022 was passed by Hon'ble High Court, Ms. Nidhi  
Raman with Mr. Zubin Singh appeared for ROC.

Let court notice be issued to respondent/ROC/Ld.  
Counsels for respondent for 30.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/24.08.2022**

**CC no. 07/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Shambhu Prasad Singh and Ors.**  
**RC no. 08(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001437-2019**

**23.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Sh. Vikhyat Oberoi, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Shambhu Prasad Singh and A-4 M/s Dynamic Sheels India Pvt. Ltd.

Sh. N. P. Singh, AR for A-3 M/s Sai Baba Builders and Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Sh. Pranay Kishore Mishra, Ld. Counsel for A-5 M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd.

Sh. Ravinder Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla.

Sh. Sunil Saha, Ld. Counsel for A-7 Vinod Gupta.

Sh. Alok Sharma, Ld. Counsel for A-8 Manish Rai Mathur.

A-1 Shambhu Prasad Singh, A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla, A-7 Vinod Gupta and A-8 Manish Rai Mathur on bail are present.

Cross examination of PW-12 Sh. Abhijit Shah concluded and he is discharged.

PW-18 Sh. K.K. Ahir is present, examined and discharged. No other PW has been summoned for today. Now to come up for PE on **12.09.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.08.2022**

**CC No. 69/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Surender Kumar Bhandoria**  
**RC No. 31(A)/2021**  
**u/S 7 of PC Act 1988**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000509-2021**

**23.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO/ HIO SI  
Sanoop Kumar N.

Sh. N.K. Bhatnagar, Ld. Counsel for the accused along with  
accused Surender Kumar Bhandoria in person.

Reply to the application under Section 91 and 457 read  
with section 451 of the code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 filed by  
the CBI.

Ld. Counsel for accused submitted that he wants to  
withdraw the application under Section 91 Criminal Procedure  
Code. Signature of the Counsel is taken on the margin of the  
application to this effect. Keeping in view the statement made by  
Ld. Counsel for accused, application under Section 91 Cr. PC is  
dismissed as withdrawn.

It is submitted by Ld. PP that he has no objection if the  
application moved by the accused under Section 457 read with  
section 451 dated 07.07.2021 is allowed and articles mentioned in  
the application are returned to the applicant.

Keeping in view the facts mentioned in the application  
and no objection given by the Ld. PP, application under Section 457  
is allowed and documents mentioned in the application be returned  
to the applicant after proper endorsement.

Put up for argument on charge on **19.09.2022**. IO/HIO  
is directed to appear on the NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/23.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 09(A)/2017/AC-III,**  
**PS CBI, AC-III, SPE, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**22.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith HIO/SI  
Amit Kumar Bhatnagar.

Shri Akshat Sharma Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Shri Shahbaaz Jameel Ld. counsel for A-5.

Accused Col. Ajay Kumar Singh (A-1), Sushil Kumar (A-2),  
Sachin Gupta (A-3), B. Ramachandhiran (A-4),  
Khizar Abbas (A-5) and Javed Khan (A-6) are present  
on bail.

Deficient documents as mentioned in the application  
U/s 208 Cr. P.C dated 02.06.2022 supplied to A-4 (B.  
Ramachandhran).

IO to file reply to all other pending applications by  
next date of hearing.

Now to come up on 27.09.2022 for reply, arguments  
to the pending applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/22.08.2022

**RC No. 218 2021/A/005 dated 31.08.2021**  
**In CC N. 66/21**  
**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Others.**  
**PS CBI/AC-III/New Delhi.**

**22.08.2022**

**This is an application filed by CBI U/s 82 Cr. P.C  
for issuance of proclamation against Shri Vikrant Deshmukh.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is not present.

Issue notice to IO for appearance and for  
consideration of the said application on **29.08.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.08.2022**

**Called again at 1.20 PM**

At this stage IO Inspector Shakti Kumar has appeared.  
He has been apprised about the next date of hearing. Ahlmad  
directed not to issue notice for IO.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.08.2022**



**CBI Vs. Sanjay Gupta & Ors.**  
**(In the matter of CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna & Ors.)**  
**RC No. ACI 2018 A0011 dt. 28.05.2018**  
**U/s 120B & 204 IPC & 7,12 & 13 (2) r/w Sec. 13(1)(d) of PC**  
**Act**  
**1988 & 66 IT Act.**

**12.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO/DSP Puspal  
Paul.

Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld. counsel for accused Sanjay Gupta.

Accused Sanjay Gupta not produced from JC.

As per information received from Lock-up, under-trials are  
not being produced in court today on account of  
Independence Day preparations.

Application on behalf of CBI moved for extension of judicial  
custody remand of accused Sanjay Gupta.

Let production warrants be issued in favour of  
Superintendent, Jail with direction to produce accused  
before this court for **17.08.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Khurshid Alam & Ors.**  
**RC No. 28(A)/2022/CBI/ACB/Delhi**

**12.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO  
Inspector Dharam Bir.

An application filed on behalf of accused Pankaj Dhanias for  
furnishing fresh address of accused/applicant. Be  
taken on record. Copy supplied to IO.

The documents relied upon by CBI have not yet been filed.

Put up for filing of documents on 07.09.2022. IO be  
summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.08.2022**

**Crl. Revision No.  
M/s Alliance Comnet Limited & Ors. Vs. Registrar of  
Companies**

**12.08.2022**

**File of Criminal revision bearing No. 126/2018 received from record room, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi. It be checked and registered.**

Present : Ms. Pooja Agarwal, Ld. counsel for the applicant/revisionist.

Shri Kapil Nagar, Ahlmad in ld. court of Shri Anurag Thakur, ACMM (Special Act), Central District Tis Hazari Courts is present and produced trial court record.

On request of ld. counsel, to come up for consideration/arguments on 24.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.08.2022**

**CBI Vs.  
RC No.  
CC No.  
CNR No. DLCT 11**

**12.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.08.2022**

**DE 01/2019**  
**Department Vs. Rajesh Kumar Yadav**

**08.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : None for Presenting Officer.

None joined the proceedings on behalf of Delinquent.

Let notice be issued to the Presenting Officer and Delinquent  
for **17.09.2022.**

**Reader**  
**08.08.2022**

**DE 03/2021**  
**Department Vs. Kamaljeet Kaur**

**08.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : None for Presenting Officer.

Father of Delinquent.

Father of Delinquent submits that Delinquent is not well today and will appear on next date of hearing.

Let notice be issued to the Presenting Officer for **17.09.2022**.

**Reader**  
**08.08.2022**

**CBI Vs.  
RC No.  
CC No.  
CNR No. DLCT 11**

**12.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.08.2022**

**CBI Vs.  
RC No.  
CC No.  
CNR No. DLCT 11**

**12.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC No. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III/CBI/ND**

**08.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO DSP  
Pushpal Pal.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsel for M/s Nirmal Commodities.

As per directions, to come up for further arguments on  
application on **17.08.2022**.

**( Reader)**

**08.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC No. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III/CBI/ND**

**08.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO DSP  
Pushpal Pal.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsel for M/s OPG Securities Pvt.  
Ltd.

As per directions, to come up for further arguments on  
application on **17.08.2022**.

**( Reader)**

**08.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC No. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT 11-000381-2021**

**05.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harsh Kr. Sharma Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Rishabh Sharma Ld. counsel for A-2 & A-7.

Shri Naveen Kumar Ld. counsel for A-3.

Shri Syed Mohd. Shoeb Ld. counsel for A-4.

Shri Manojit Biswas, Shri Aditya Bhardwaj Ld. counsels for  
A-5 & A-6.

Accused Mahendra Singh Chauhan (A-1), Bhupender Singh  
Rawat (A-3), Inder Singh (A-4) are present.

Accused Babul Nath (A-5) and Mahesh Kumar (A-6) are  
also present (on anticipatory bail).

Accused Pawan Baid (A-2), who also represents M/s ABCI  
Infrastructures Pvt. Ltd. (A-7) is present.

As per directions, to come up for filing of replies / arguments on  
all the pending applications for **13.09.2022**.

**( AHLMAD )**

**05.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal & Ors.  
(In CBI Vs. Mahender Singh Chauhan & Ors.)  
RC No. AC1/2021/A/0001/CBI/AC-I/ND  
CC No. 56/2021  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000382-2021**

**05.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Kumar Ld. counsel for A-1 & A-2.

Shri Rishabh Sharma Ld. counsel for A-3 & A-4.

Accused persons Madhur Kaushal (A-1) and Avdhesh Kumar  
Kaushal (A-2) are present.

Accused Pawan Baid (A-3) who also represents M/s ABCI  
Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. (A-4) is present.

As per directions, put up for filing of replies / arguments on  
all the pending applications on **13.09.2022**.

**( AHLMAD )**

**05.08.2022**

**In Crl. Revision No. 126/2018  
M/s Alliance Comnet Limited & Ors. Vs. Registrar of  
Companies.**

**05.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Shri Alok Tripathi Ld. counsel for  
applicant/revisionist.

Copy of order dated 22.07.2022 passed in Crl. MC 969/2019  
& Crl. M.A. 3856/2019 & 4572/2021 received today  
at 3.00 PM.

Put up on 06.08.2022.

**( AHLMAD )**

**05.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Abhishek Tiwari & Ors.**  
**FIR No. RC 218/2021/A/0005**  
**CC No. 66/2021**  
**CNR No. DLCT -000488-2021**

**04.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Shri Naveen Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Abhishek Tiwari.

Shri Shikhar Sharma Ld. counsel for A-2 Anand Dilip Daga.

Shri Narender Mann, Shri Manoj Pant & Shri Shailesh Jha

Ld. Counsels for A-3 Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane.

Accused persons Abhishek Tiwari (A-1), Anand Dilip Daga  
(A-2) and V.G. Tumane (A-3) are present on bail.

Reply not filed on behalf of CBI to application U/s 207 Cr.  
P.C r/w Section 91 Cr. P.C. filed on behalf of A-3  
Vaibhav Gajendra Tumane.

As per directions, to come up on **30.09.2022** for reply and  
arguments on applications as well as for scrutiny of  
documents and arguments on point of charge.

**( AHLMAD )**

**04.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC No. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III/CBI/ND**

**04.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO DSP  
Pushpal Pal.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsel for M/s Nirmal Commodities.

As per directions, to come up for further arguments on  
application on **08.08.2022**.

**( AHLMAD )**

**04.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC No. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III/CBI/ND**

**04.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with IO DSP  
Pushpal Pal.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsel for M/s OPG Securities Pvt.  
Ltd.

Application has been filed on behalf of applicant to place on  
record further details of bank accounts of applicant  
seized by the CBI in respect of other banks .

Intimation filed by CBI in respect of Bank Accounts freezed  
U/s 102 Cr. P.C.

As per directions, to come up for further arguments on  
application on **08.08.2022**.

**( AHLMAD )**

**04.08.2022**

**Smt. Barinder Kaur Vs. CBI.**  
**(In CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bangoo & Ors.)**  
**RC No. RCBDI/2014/E/0004**  
**PS CBI/BS & FC, New Delhi**  
**U/s 420/120B IPC**

**04.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with HIO SI Anurag  
Kumar.

**Ms. Arveen Sekhon**, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused.

Reply filed by the CBI to bail application of accused  
Barinder Kaur.

As per directions, to come up for arguments on bail  
application on **17.08.2022**.

**( AHLMAD )**

**04.08.2022**

**CBI Vs.  
RC No.  
CC No.  
CNR No. DLCT 11**

**04.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

**( AHLMAD )**

**04.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & Anr.  
RC No. 12(A)/2019/CBI/ACB/ND  
Case ID CC No. 30/2020  
CNR No. DLCT 11-000182-2020**

**03.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Deepak Sharma Ld. proxy counsel for A-1 K. Kanadassan.

Shri Dhruv Dwivedi Ld. counsel for A-2 Manoj Kumar.

A-1 K. Kanadassan & A-2 Manoj Kumar present on bail.

Now to come up for purpose already fixed for 01.09.2022.

**( READER )**

**03.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna & Anr.  
FIR No. RC2162018A0011 (RC ACI 2018 A0011)**

**02.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO/DSP  
Puspal Paul.

Shri Shivam Batra, Shri Akhil Ranganathan & Shri Arshdeep  
Singh Ld. counsels for applicant/accused Chitra  
Ramakrishna.

Shri Varun Dev Mishra, Ld. counsel for applicant/accused  
Anand Subramanian.

Accused Ms. Chitra Ramakrishna and Anand  
Subramanian produced from JC.

It is orally submitted by Ld. counsel for accused  
Chitra Ramakrishna that she is in custody of ED in case  
ECIR/DLZO-I/28/2022 U/s 3/4 of PMLA Act and next date of  
hearing is 05.08.2022.

It is submitted by IO that matter is still pending  
before the competent/appropriate authority regarding sanction.  
IO is directed to get the matter expedited and file the sanction on  
or before next date of hearing.

An application has been moved on behalf of  
applicant/accused Chitra Ramakrishna seeking necessary  
directions to the Superintendent Central Jail No. 6, Tihar. It is  
stated in the application that applicant/accused wants to prefer an  
appeal to the Securities Appellate Tribunal against order dated  
30.06.2022 and requires signatures of accused on the  
petition/appeal, especially the verification clause.

At request of Id. Counsel for applicant, let a copy of this application be sent to Jail Superintendent concerned to consider the request/prayer made in the application as per rules/law/ Jail Manual. Jail Superintendent concerned is directed to dispose of this application within one week from today, with intimation to this court. Application stands disposed off.

At request, copy of this order be given dasti to Id. counsel for the applicant/accused Chitra Ramkrishna.

Now to come up for filing of Sanction on 16.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)

Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Saurav Sharma**  
**RC No. DAI-2020-A/0024**  
**U/s 120B IPC r/w Sec. 7, 7-A & 8 of PC Act.**  
**CNR No DLCT 11-000003-2022**

**02.08.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Naveen Kumar Ld. counsel for A-1.

Shri Shantanu Shukla ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Robin Kumar Ambouala Ld. counsel for A-3, 4 & 5.

Accused persons Saurav Sharma (A-1), Ram Krishan Mishra (A-2), Onkar Singh (A-3), Kishore Kumar Shrichandani (A-4) and Neeraj Kumar (A-5) present on bail.

Four applications U/s 207 r/w Sec. 91 Cr. P.C for supply of unrelieved/illegible and deficient documents and statements filed on behalf of A-1, A-3, A-4 & A-5. Copy supplied to Ld. PP for CBI.

Put up for filing of reply and arguments on all the applications for **02.09.2022**. IO/HIO be summoned on next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Sanjay Gupta & Ors.**  
**(In the matter of CBI Vs. Chitra Ramkrishna & Ors.)**  
**RC No. ACI 2018 A0011 dt. 28.05.2018**  
**U/s 120B & 204 IPC & 7,12 & 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act,**  
**1988 & 66 IT Act, 2000**

**02.08.2022**

**This is an application moved on behalf of CBI for extension of judicial custody remand of accused Sanjay Gupta.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI IO/DSP Puspal Paul.

Accused Sanjay Gupta produced from JC.

Shri Harshit Sethi, Ld. counsel for accused Sanjay Gupta.

Since investigation is still going on qua present accused, there are reasonable grounds to remand the accused to further judicial custody. As such, accused Sanjay Gupta is further remanded to judicial custody till 12.08.2022. He be produced in the Court on 12.08.2022. Application stands disposed off. Copy of order be given dasti to the IO.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.08.2022**

**ED Vs. PACL Limited & Others.**  
**ECIR/03/DLZO/2016**  
**In Ct. Case No. 09/2019**

**01.08.2022**

Present : Shri N.K. Matta Ld. SPP with Shri Mohd. Faizan Khan Ld. counsel for ED.

Pursuant to the production warrants issued in respect of accused Pravin Madhukar Raut, he has not been produced. No report has been received from jail authorities.

On request of Ld. Ld. SPP for ED, let fresh production warrants be issued against Pravin Madhukar Raut for **01.09.2022.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.08.2022**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.**  
**ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**

**01.08.2022**

Present : Sh. N.K. Matta, Ld. SPP with Mr. Mohd. Faoizan Khan, Ld. counsel for ED.

Shri Rajat Katyal, Shri Tushar Gupta, Shri Harsh Sinha & Shri Mayank Punia Ld. counsels for A-1, A-3, A-4, A-5, A-6, A-7, A-8, A-9, A-11, A-19 & A-20.

Shri Yugant Sharma Ld. counsel for A-2.

Ms. Somya Gupta Ld. counsel for A-10.

Shri Kartikeye Dang Ld. counsel for A-12, A-13, A-15 & A-16.

Ms. Ekjot Bhasin Ld. counsel for A-14.

Shri Kaushik Dey Ld. counsel for A-17.

Shr Mayank Tripathi Ld. counsel for A-18.

None for A-21 M/s Jhabua Power Ltd.

A-1 is present. (He is on interim bail from Hon'ble High Court of Delhi).

A-2 Rana Kapoor joined/produced through VC from Talaja Central Jail, Mumbai.

A-3 B. Hariharan, A-4 Abhishek S. Pandey, A-5 Rajendra Kumar Mangal, A-6 Raghubir Kumar Sharma, A-7 Anil Bhargava, A-8 Tapsi Mahajan, A-9 Surender Kumar Khandelwal, A-11 Ms. Sonu Chadha, A-12 Harsh Gupta, A-14 Pawan Kumar Aggarwal, A-15 Amit Mamtani are present on bail.

A-13 Ramesh Sharma, A-16 Ashish Aggarwal and A-18 Vinod Bahety absent. Applications for exemption from personal appearance have been moved on behalf

of accused No.13, 16 & 18.

Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the applications, accused No.13, 16 & 18 are exempted from personal appearance for today only.

It is submitted by ld. counsel for A-10 Janmejaya Mahapatra that quashing petition was filed on 15.11.2021 and the Hon'ble High Court permitted the applicant to appear in this court through his counsel and that interim order is still continuing and prayer is made for exemption of the applicant. Record perused. The latest order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 12.07.2022 has been placed on record. In view of same, A-10 Janmejaya Mahapatra is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Fresh vakalatnamas filed on behalf of A-1, A-3 to A-9, A-11, A-19 & A-20.

Consolidated reply already filed by the ED to applications U/s 207 Cr. P.C moved by A-17, A-18 & A-19.

Ld. Special PP for ED seeks some time to file reply to applications U/s 207 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of A-2, A-12, A-13, A-14, A-15 & A-16. Let the replies be filed by next date of hearing with an advance copy to the ld. counsels for the accused persons.

An application has been moved on behalf of accused Ashish Aggarwal for surrender of passport and seeking release of FD. Perusal of record reveals that the accused has already deposited his passport in court on 18.07.2022. FDR, if any on record be returned to the applicant/surety after canceling the

endorsement after obtaining their identity documents.

Further, it is stated that FDR of Rs.20 Lakhs deposited by applicant in compliance of order dated 01.06.2022 stands in favour of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi and the same be released in favour of the applicant. Since the applicant has returned back to India in compliance of the above order, in these circumstances, the Manager of concerned Bank Branch is directed to release the amount of the said FDR in favour of the applicant/accused Aashish Aggarwal.

The above application stands disposed off. Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused Ashish Aggarwal.

Now to come up on **06.09.2022** for reply / arguments on pending applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/01.08.2022**

**CBI Vs. Sant Ram Badgujar**  
**Case No. 532191/2016(CC No. 29/12)**  
**RC No. 1(A)/1994/ACUIV/New Delhi**

**30.07.2022**

**This is an application U/s 452 Cr. P.C. moved on behalf of CBI seeking permission to return all the documents seized in the present case.**

Present : Sh. Naveen Giri, Ld. Sr. PP for CBI.

Shri Dinesh Kumar, Shri Inderjeet (Sons), Sunita (daughter), Jitender, Joginder (Grand sons), LRs of the deceased/accused Sant Ram Badgujar are present.

It is submitted that two LRs of deceased/accused namely Smt. Nirmala & Smt. Vimla are not present today.

All the LRs are directed to appear in court on next date of hearing.

Now to come up for further proceedings on 17.09.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. Hem Chandra Borah & Ors.**  
**RC no. ACI 2021 A 0001 CBI ACI, New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT 11000547-2021**

**29.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith IO P.K. Srivastava.

Shri Harsh K. Sharma, Ms. Vaishnavi Sharma, Ms. Bhumika Yadav and Shri Rishabh Sharma, Ld. counsels for A-1 Hem Chandra Borah alongwith A-1 on bail.

Shri Shikhar Srivastava, Ld. counsel for A-2.

Shri Avneet Singh Sikka, Ld. counsel for A-3 alongwith Ramesh Aggarwal (A-3) on bail.

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of accused No.2 Tapan Kumar Nag. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, accused Tapan Kumar Nag is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Reply to application U/s 91 Cr. P.C. filed on behalf of CBI. Ld. PP for CBI has sought time for filing reply to application U/s 207 Cr. P.C & U/s 5(2) of PC Act.

Application U/s 317 Cr. P.C filed on behalf of Ramesh Aggarwal (A-3) for permanent exemption from appearance. Ld. PP seeks time to file reply to the said application.

Now to come up on **02.09.2022** for reply/arguments on these applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC No. ACI/2018/A/011/EO-III**

**29.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with HIO Sai Kiran  
P.L.

Shri R.K. Handu and Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsels for M/s  
Nirmal Commodities.

Part arguments heard. Some more time is requested by Ld. PP for  
submitting additional submissions.

To come up for further arguments on this application on  
**04.08.2022.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC ACI/2018/A/011/EO-III**

**29.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI with HIO Sai Kiran  
P.L.

Shri R.K. Handu and Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. counsels for M/s  
Nirmal Commodities.

Application on behalf of the applicant filed to place on record  
further details of bank accounts seized by the CBI in  
respect of other banks.

Part arguments heard. Some more time is requested by Ld. PP for  
submitting additional submissions.

To come up for further arguments on this application on  
**04.08.2022.**

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.07.2022**

**CC no.**  
**CBI Vs.**  
**RC no.**  
**CNR no.**

**29.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/29.07.2022**

**Chandra Prakash Khandelwal**  
**Vs.**  
**Directorate of Enforcement**

**ECIR/03/DZ/2016 in CT. No. 09/2019**  
**Bail Application no. 168/2022**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000421-2022**

**27.07.2022**

Present : Sh. N. K. Matta and Sh. Atul Sharma, Ld. Special PP  
for ED.

Sh. Saransh Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

Vide separate order of even date, the application for bail  
moved on behalf of applicant/accused C. P.  
Khandelwal stands dismissed.

A copy of the bail order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for the  
applicant/accused, as prayed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s. Green Valley Plywood Ltd.**  
**CC No. 278/2019**  
**RC No. 6(E)/2015/BD1/CBI/BS&FC/ND**

**27.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Sh. Raj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-1 to A-3.

Sh. Yatharth Singh, Ld. Counsel for A-6.

Sh. A. K. Bhatia, Ld. Counsel for A-12.

Accused Jagmohan Kejriwal (A-2) for self and for M/s. Green Valley Plywood Ltd. (A-1), Anju Kejriwal (A-3), Mukesh Sharma (A-4) for self and for M/s. Pine Decor Pvt, Ltd. (A-7) and M/s. Mam Chand Mahabir Prasad Pvt. Ltd. (A-8), Pramod Kumar Varshney (A-5) for self and for M/s. Vintage Decor Ltd. (A-9) and M/s. Green Valley Decor Pvt. Ltd. (A-10), Ramesh Chander Juneja (A-6), Sukhdev Raj Khinchi (A-11) and Ashok Kumar Raheja (A-12) are present on bail.

Ld. PP for CBI has filed an order dated 22.07.2022 of the Hon'ble High Court, whereby the matter has now been listed before the Hon'ble Delhi High Court on 11.11.2022 and the interim orders are continuing.

In these circumstances, to come up for further proceedings / awaiting further order / directions on **14.11.2022**.

At this stage, surety bond in terms of the order dated 11.05.2022 has been filed on behalf of accused no. 6, Ramesh Chand Juneja alongwith an FDR of Rs.50,000/-. Since the order has been complied, Ahlmad is directed to release the passport of the accused no. 6 to him in terms of the order dated 11.05.2022. Accused no. 6 is directed to re-deposit the passport in the court

within a period of six weeks from the date of release in terms of the order dated 11.05.2022.

contd....2/-

--2-

Now, to come up for further proceedings on **14.11.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/27.07.2022**

**CBI vs. M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC no. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III**

**26.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Sh. Harshit Sethi, Ld. Counsel for M/s Nirmal Commodities.

This is an application seeking de-freezing of bank accounts moved on behalf of applicant M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd. in terms of Section 457/451 Cr. P. C.

Reply to the application has been filed on behalf of CBI.  
Copy supplied.

Date is requested by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused to go through the reply.

Now, to come up on 29.07.2022 for reply and arguments on this application.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/26.07.2022**

**CBI vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC no. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III**

**26.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Sh. Harshit Sethi, Ld. Counsel for M/s OPG Securities.

This is an application seeking de-freezing of bank accounts moved on behalf of applicant M/s OPG Securities in terms of Section 457/451 Cr. P. C.

Reply to the application has been filed on behalf of CBI.  
Copy supplied.

Date is requested by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused to go through the reply.

Now, to come up on 29.07.2022 for reply and arguments on this application.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/26.07.2022**

**RC no. 224 2017 A 0001/CBI/AC-VI/SIT**

**(Now in CBI/AC-III/New Delhi)**

**U/s 120 B IPC r/w Sec. 8, 9 & 13(2) r/w 13(1)(d) of PC Act  
1988**

**26.07.2022**

Present: Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO /SP

Sh. Santosh Hadimani.

Ms. Saushiriya Havelia, Ld. Proxy Counsel for  
Satish Babu Sana.

The IO submits that the investigations of this case are in the last leg of completion and are going on expeditiously, however, some more time is required to complete the same.

At request of the IO, put up for further proceedings / purpose fixed on **09.09.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/26.07.2022**

**CC no. 38/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Devender Gupta & Ors**  
**RC no. 47(A)/99/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT1-000229-2019**

**25.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Tushar Yadav Ld. counsel for A-1 to A-6.

Shri H.R. Dhamija Ld. Counsel for A-7.

**Personal appearance of accused Nos. 2 & 4 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 25.05.2011 through their Ld. counsel.**

**Personal appearance of accused No.3 & 5 is stated to be already exempted vide order dated 30.04.2022 through their Ld. counsel.**

An application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of accused No.7 Govind Ram. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, accused Govind Ram is exempted from personal appearance for today only.

Accused Anil Goel (A-6) is present on bail.

It is stated by ld. Counsel for A-1 to A-6 that the petition U/s 482 Cr. P.C filed by A-1 for quashing the criminal proceedings against him in the Hon'ble High Court has been dismissed vide order dated 10.06.2022 by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Chandra Dhari Singh. Copy of said order is already on record.

In these circumstances, put up for prosecution evidence on **31.08.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/25.07.2022**

**ED Vs. Chandra Prakash Khandelwal  
ECIR/03/DLZO/216 dated 27.06.2016  
U/s 3 r/w Section 4 of the Prevention of Money Laundering  
Act, 2002.**

**25.07.2022**

**Application for bail moved on behalf of applicant/accused  
Chandra Prakash Khandelwal.**

Present : Sh. Zoheb Hossain, Spl. Counsel for ED, Sh. N.K. Matta Ld. Special PP alongwith Shri Atul Sharma, Shri Siddharth Kaushik, Ld. counsel(s) for ED, alongwith Shri Anand Kirti, LC & IO Assistant Director Mishri Lal Meena.

Mr. Mohit Mathur, Ld. Senior Counsel alongwith Mr. Manu Sharma, Mr. Nitesh Jain, Mr. Sumit Mishra, Mr. Harsh Gautam, Mr. Hridhay Khurana, Mr. Abhuyuday Sharma, Mr. Sanit Singh ld. counsels for accused Chandra Prakash Khandelwal.

Arguments on bail application have been concluded from both the sides.

Now to come up for orders on 27.07.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/25.07.2022**

**CC no. 328/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Sonam & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001712-2019**

**22.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is absent.

It is submitted by Ld. PP that one public servant is also involved and supplementary charge sheet against him has already been filed on 09.05.2022. It is further stated that sanction from the concerned Department has not been taken so far and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on 26.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.07.2022**

**CC no. 31/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Sachin Chauhan & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000266-2022**

**22.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is absent.

It is submitted by Ld. PP that one public servant is also involved and supplementary charge sheet against him has already been filed on 09.05.2022. It is further stated that sanction from the concerned Department has not been taken so far and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on 26.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.07.2022**

**CC no. 32/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Akshay Kummat @ Akshay Kumar Malik & Ors.**  
**RC no. 09(A)/2018/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000267-2022**

**22.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO is absent.

It is submitted by Ld. PP that one public servant is also involved and supplementary charge sheet against him has already been filed on 09.05.2022. It is further stated that sanction from the concerned Department has not been taken so far and one month time is requested. IO is directed to expedite the matter and file Sanction Order on or before next date. IO is also directed to appear in person.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of Sanction Order on 26.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s Nirmal Commodities Broker Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC no. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III**

**22.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. Counsel for M/s Nirmal Commodities.

Reply not filed by CBI. As per ld. PP, IO has sought time to  
file reply.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of  
reply and arguments on application on 26.07.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd.**  
**RC no. AC1/2018/A/011/EO-III**

**22.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Harshit Sethi Ld. Counsel for M/s OPG Securities.

Reply not filed by CBI. As per ld. PP, IO has sought time to  
file reply.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up for filing of  
reply and arguments on application on 26.07.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/22.07.2022**

**CC no. 03/2021**  
**CBI Vs. K. Kannadassan & Others.**  
**RC no. 14(A)/2018/CBI/ACB/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000020-2021**

**22.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Deepak Sharma Ld. counsel for A-1 K. Kannadasan.

Shri Surender Kumar Singh Ld. counsel for A-2 Tej Pal and  
A-3 Veer Singh Kem.

Shri S.P.M Tripathi, Ld. counsel for A-4 Pradeep Sharma and  
A-5 M/s Riduas Auto Components Pvt. Ltd.

Shri Pradeep Sharma is absent.

Accused K. Kannadasan A-1) Tej Pal (A-2), Veer Singh Kem  
(A-3) are present on bail.

An application for exemption and personal appearance has been moved on behalf of accused Pradeep Sharma. Heard. In view of the reasons stated in the application, accused Pradeep Sharma is exempted from personal appearance for today only subject to the condition that he will not dispute his identity nor he will object to the examination of witnesses in his absence.

Cross examination of PW-8 Vipen Kumar Mahendru is concluded.

PW-14 Shri Pyare Lal Meena is present, examined and discharged.

Now to come up for PE on 29.08.2022. IO/HIO be summoned for next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court

**New Delhi/22.07.2022**

**CC no. 257/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Paritosh Kumar Praveen and Ors.**  
**RC no. 03(A)/2017**  
**CNR no. DLCT-11-001571-2019**

**14.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Sh. Deepak Sharma, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 1 Paritosh Kumar Praveen, accused no. 4 Prashant Kumar and accused no. 5 Ashutosh Kumar.

Sh. Samir Sangar, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 2 Tapas Pal.

Sh. Rajesh Verma, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 3 Piyush Kumar Patel.

Sh. Shiv Chopra, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 6 Harcharan Pal.

All the accused persons are present on bail.

Today, an application seeking supply of documents U/s 207 Cr. P. C. in terms of order dated 28.04.2022 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi has been filed on behalf of accused no. 6.

Copy supplied.

Date is requested by Ld. PP for CBI to file reply.

At request of Ld. PP for CBI, now to come up on 29.08.2022 for reply and arguments on this application.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/14.07.2022**



**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Others**  
**RC BD1/2014/E/0004-BSFB/New Delhi**  
**U/s 120 B IPC r/w 409, 411, 420, 467, 468, 471, 474 of IPC and**  
**Section 4 & 4 R/w 6 of Prize Chit & Money Circulation**  
**Schemes (Banning) Act, 1978.**

**11.07.2022**

**File taken up today on an affidavit filed by accused Aakash Aggarwal, in terms of order dated 13.06.2022 passed by Hon'ble Delhi High Court in Bail Application no. 1278/2022.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Bharat Beriwal Ld. counsel for accused Akash Aggarwal.

In the affidavit, it is submitted by accused Aakash Aggarwal that his original passport is deposited in the Court of Ld. Special Judge CBI, Ranchi in connection with RC no. 03/(a)/2017-D arising out of FIR no. RC AC-1 2017A0003 dated 10.07.2017 in case titled as "CBI vs. Tapas Kumar Dutta".

In view of the aforesaid, the affidavit is taken on record. In terms of the order dated 13<sup>th</sup> July, 2022 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, IO is directed to verify this fact and file his report on 05.08.2022 date already fixed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/11.07.2022**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors  
ECIR No. 11/HIU/2021**

**07.07.2022**

**File taken up on application on behalf of Vinod Bahety (A-18)  
seeking permission to go abroad and for release of passport to  
travel to Tel Aviv, Israel for a period of 7 days.**

Present : Sh. N.K. Matta Ld. Special PP and Shri Atul Sharma  
ld. counsel for ED.

Let notice of this application be issued to the  
respondent/ED. Now to come up on 08.07.2022 for reply and  
arguments on this application.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/07.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo & Ors.**  
**CBI No. 43/19**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**07.07.2022**

**These are two separate applications seeking release of mobile phones and SIM Cards of accused Rajesh Aggarwal and Subhash Aggarwal.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Ms. Khushboo Gupta, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Sh. Biswajit  
Kumar Patra, Advocate.

Copies of the applications supplied to Ld. PP for CBI who seeks  
sometime to file reply.

Now, to come up on 13.07.2022 for reply and arguments on the  
application(s).

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/07.07.2022**

**CBI Vs. Sant Ram Badgujar**  
**Case No. 532191/2016 (CC No. 29/12)**  
**RC No. 1(A)/1994/ACUIV/New Delhi**

**04.07.2022**

**This is an application U/s 452 Cr. P.C moved on behalf of CBI seeking permission to return all the documents seized in the present case.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri Dinesh Kumar and Shri Jitender Kumar, LRs of the deceased/accused Sant Ram Badgujar are present.

LRs present today are directed to produce remaining LRs of deceased/accused or NOC from them on next date of hearing.

At request of LRs, put up for consideration on **30.07.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.07.2022**

**CC no.**  
**CBI Vs.**  
**RC no.**  
**CNR no.**

**04.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.07.2022**

**CC no.**  
**CBI Vs.**  
**RC no.**  
**CNR no.**

**04.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/04.07.2022**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.**  
**ECIR No. ECIR/11/HIU 2021 dated 15.06.2021**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000365-2021**

**02.07.2022**

Present : Ms. Ekjot Bhasin Ld. Counsel for A-14 alongwith  
applicant/accused Pawan Kumar Aggarwal (A-14).

File taken up today on application filed on behalf of (A-  
14) for depositing his passport in compliance of order  
dated 08.03.2020.

In compliance of the order, A-14 has deposited the passport  
in court. The application moved by A-14 stands  
disposed of accordingly.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. 01.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.07.2022**

**Bail Application No. 375/2022**  
**U/s 120B/419/420/468/471 of IPC & Section 7A of PC Act**  
**RC No. DAI-2022-A-0028**  
**CBI Vs. Mohd. Khurshid Alam**

**02.07.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Shri S.P.M. Tripathi and Shri Deepak Sharma, Ld. counsels  
for applicant/accused.

Reply to bail application has not been filed by the CBI and  
Ld. PP has sought time to file reply.

Put up for reply and arguments on present bail application on  
07.07.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/02.07.2022**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Gautam Thapar & Ors.**  
**ECIR No. ECIR/11/HIU 2021 dated 15.06.2021**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000365-2021**

**09.06.2022**

Present : Mr. Siddharth Shiva Kumar, Ld. counsel for A-14  
alongwith applicant/accused Pawan Kumar Aggarwal  
(A-14).

File taken up today filed on behalf of (A-14) in  
compliance of order dated 22.04.2022 furnishing  
applicant's schedule of travel to London and copy of  
applicant's ticket from Delhi to London and return  
ticket from London to Delhi.

The application moved by (A-14) stands disposed of.  
Copy of this order be given dasti to the accused (A-  
14), as prayed.

Put upon the date already fixed i.e. 01.08.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/09.06.2022**

**CC no. 366/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Tejash Kumar Ramesh Chandra & Ors.**  
**RC no. 02(A)/2014/CBI/AC-III/New Delhi**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001453-2019**

**08.06.2022**

**File taken up on application/A-10 Sarabjeet Chopra @ Sonia seeking cancellation of Bailable Warrants.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Sarabjeet Chopra @ Sonia in person.

In view of facts mentioned in the application, bailable warrants issued against accused Sarabjeet Chopra @ Sonia stands cancelled. Accused is warned to be careful in future.

Applicant/Accused Sarabjeet Chopra has also moved an application for placing her fresh address on record. Same be taken on record.

Now to come up on date already fixed for **05.07.2022**.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.06.2022**

**CBI Vs. Chitra Ramakrishna & Anr.**  
**FIR No. RC2162018A0011(RC ACI 2018 A0011)**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000236-2022**

**08.06.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Accused Chitra Ramakrishna produced from Jail No.6 through  
VC on rehnumai.

Accused Anand Subramanian produced from Jail No.7  
through VC rehnumai.

Put up on date already fixed on **05.07.2022** and rehnumai on  
22.06.2022.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.06.2022**

**CC no.**  
**CBI Vs.**  
**RC no.**  
**CNR no.**

**06.06.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.06.2022**

**CBI Vs. Col. Ajay Kumar Singh & Ors.  
FIR No. RC 09(A)/2017/AC-III,  
PS CBI, AC-III, SPE, New Delhi  
CNR no. DLCT11-000451-2021**

**02.06.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI alongwith HIO/SI  
Amit Kumar Bhatnagar.

Shri Anurag Andley alongwith Shri Akshat Sharma  
Ld. counsel(s) for A-1.

Shri Naveen Malhotra, Ld. counsel for A-4.

Shri Shahbaaz Jameel Ld. counsel for A-5.

Accused Col. Ajay Kumar Singh (A-1), Sushil Kumar (A-2),  
Sachin Gupta (A-3), Khizar Abbas (A-5) and Javed  
Khan (A-6) are present on bail.

Accused B. Ramachandhiran (A-4) is absent. An application for  
exemption has been moved on behalf of accused.  
Heard. In view of grounds stated, A-4 is exempted  
from personal appearance for today only.

Reply to the application dated 30.03.2022 of B. Ramachandhiran  
(A-4) for permanent exemption till further orders has  
been filed by CBI. Copy supplied.

Two separate applications viz. (i) U/s 91 Cr. P.C. and (ii) U/s  
208 Cr. P.C. have been moved on behalf of accused  
B. Ramachandhiran (A-4). Copy supplied.

Contd .....

Three separate applications U/s 207 Cr. P.C. with different

prayers have been filed on behalf of A-1 Col. Ajay Kumar Singh. Copy supplied.

Let replies to all the pending applications be filed by CBI by the next date of hearing with advance copy to the Ld. counsels for accused persons.

It is stated by A-2, A-3 & A-6 that the documents supplied to them are complete and there is no deficiency. Their signatures in acknowledgement of this fact, have been obtained on the margin of order sheet dated 30.03.2022.

Now to come up on 22.08.2022 for reply, arguments and disposal of the pending applications.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/02.06.2022

**ED vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo and Ors.**  
**CT no. 09/19**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000265-2019**

**30.05.2022**

Present: Sh. N. K. Matta, Ld. Special PP for ED along with  
IO Sh. Mishri Lal Meena.

Sh. Shailesh Jha, Ld. Counsel for A-1 Nirmal Singh  
Bhangoo.

Sh. Anand Nandan, Ld. Counsel for A-4 Mohan Lal  
Sehajpal.

Sh. Jatin Rana, Ld. Counsel for (A-5) M/s PACL  
Ltd.

Sh. Jaskaran Singh Chawla, Ld. Counsel for A-6  
Gurmeet Singh.

Accused Nirmal Singh Bhangoo, Mohan Lal Sehajal  
and Gurmeet Singh produced from JC (**However,**  
**they are on bail in this case**).

Accused Sarvesh Kumar is present on bail.

A request for conducting the proceedings through  
VC has been received from Sh. Varun Chandiok, Ld. Counsel for  
accused Sarvesh Kumar, on the official email ID of the Reader of  
this Court. However, the said request had been received only on  
29.05.2022 (i.e. a Sunday/non working day) at 5.45 PM (much  
after the normal working day timings). Further, this request has  
not been served upon the other counsels appearing in the matter.  
The request is, therefore, not in accordance with the circular no.  
255/DHC/Gaz./G-2/2022 of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.  
This request is therefore, declined. This is more particularly in  
view of

contd...2/-

the fact that the instant case is of such a nature where it is difficult to conduct the proceedings in a hybrid manner as not only the record is voluminous but three accused persons are appearing from judicial custody.

It is stated by Ld. Special Senior PP for ED that further investigation in this case is going on in full swing and they have also conducted some searches at Indore and certain other places and they are going to conclude the investigations very soon, for which they seek 12 week's time. In the interest of justice, ten weeks time is granted to the investigating agency/ED to conclude the investigation.

In these circumstances, put up for further proceedings on **28.07.2022**.

**(Rakesh Kumar-III)**  
Special Judge (PC Act) (CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/30.05.2022

**CC no. 07/2019**  
**CBI Vs. Shambhu Prasad Singh and Ors.**  
**RC no. 08(A)/2014/CBI/AC-1/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-001437-2019**

**30.05.2022**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

Sh. S. A. Masood and Sh. Vikhyat Oberoi, Ld. Counsel for  
A-1 Shambhu Prasad Singh and A-4 M/s Dynamic  
Sheels India Pvt. Ltd.

Sh. N. P. Singh, AR for A-3 M/s Sai Baba Builders and  
Consultants Pvt. Ltd.

Sh. Pranay Kishore Mishra, Ld. Counsel for A-5 M/s  
Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd. alongwith Sh. Bhavesh Jha,  
AR for A-5.

Sh. Ravinder Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla.

Sh. Sunil Saha, Ld. Counsel for A-7 Vinod Gupta.

Sh. Sachin Mittal, Ld. Counsel for A-8 Manish Rai Mathur.

A-1 Shambhu Prasad Singh (who also represents A-4  
M/s Dhynamic Shells India Pvt. Ltd.) is absent.

A-6 Rudra Narayan Shukla, A-7 Vinod Gupta and A-8  
Manish Rai Mathur on bail are present.

An application for exemption has been moved on  
behalf of the A-1 Shambhu Prasad Singh. Heard. In view of  
reasons stated and in the interest of justice, the accused no. 1 is  
allowed to be exempted from personal appearance for today only

contd...2/-

--2--

subject to the condition that he will not dispute his identity nor he  
will object to the examination of witnesses in his absence.

Another application U/s 305 Cr. P. C. for taking on

record the new authorized representative on behalf of A-5 M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd. has been filed. Certified extracts of the Resolution passed in the meeting of Board of Directors on 07.03.2022 has been annexed with the application.

Heard. Record perused. Perusal of the record reveals that earlier A-5 i.e. Company M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd. was being represented through accused no. 2 Shyam Sunder Bhattar (since deceased). By way of the Resolution passed in the meeting of the Board of Directors, Sh. Bhavesh Jha has been appointed as the new Authorised Representative to represent accused no. 5 M/s Rockside Impex Pvt. Ltd. during the trial.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the application is allowed. Sh. Bhawesh Jha is impleaded on record as the new AR for A-5.

Fresh Vakalatnama signed by Sh. Bhavesh Jha on behalf of A-5 has also been annexed with the application. The same is also taken on record.

**PW- 16 Sh. Ajay Kumar** is present, examined and discharged.

**PW-12 Sh. Abhijit Saha** is also present. He is further partly examined in chief in continuation of his testimony recorded on 27.05.2022, for almost four hours. His further examination in chief is deferred at request of Ld. PP for CBI. This witness be summoned agains for the next date of hearing.

Contd..3/-

--3--

No other witness is present or summoned for today.

Put up for PE on 27.07.2022. IO/HIO be summoned for the next date of hearing.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/30.05.2022**

**CC No. 56/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Madhur Kaushal etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000382-2021**

**06.06.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on half day leave (FN).**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO/DSP  
P.K. Srivastava

Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Ld. counsel for accused Pawan Baid.

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to application filed by  
accused Pawan Baid seeking permission to travel abroad. Copy  
supplied to Ld. Counsel for A-3.

As per directions, put up for consideration of the  
application on 07.06.2022.

(Narender Kumar Gautam)  
Reader in the Court of  
Sh. Rakesh Kumar-  
III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.06.2022**

**CC No. 35/2022**  
**CBI Vs. Laxmi Kant Verma etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000295-2022**

**06.06.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on half day leave (FN).**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO/DSP  
P.K. Srivastava

Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Ld. counsel for accused Pawan Baid.

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to application filed by  
accused Pawan Baid seeking permission to travel  
abroad. Copy supplied to Ld. Counsel for A-5.

As per directions, put up for consideration of the  
application on 07.06.2022.

(Narender Kumar Gautam)  
Reader in the Court of  
Sh. Rakesh Kumar-  
III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.06.2022**

**CC No. 55/2021**  
**CBI Vs. Mahendra Singh @ M.S. Chauhan etc.**  
**RC no. 01(A)/2021/CBI/AC-I/ND**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000381-2021**

**06.06.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on half day leave (FN).**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO/DSP  
P.K. Srivastava

Ms. Bhumika Yadav, Ld. counsel for accused Pawan Baid.

Reply filed on behalf of CBI to application filed by  
accused Pawan Baid seeking permission to travel  
abroad. Copy supplied to Ld. Counsel for A-2.

As per directions, put up for consideration of the  
application on 07.06.2022.

(Narender Kumar Gautam)  
Reader in the Court of  
Sh. Rakesh Kumar-  
III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/06.06.2022**

**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.**  
**CT No. 11/2020**  
**CNR DLCT11-000286-2020**

**07.06.2022**

Present : Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Special PP for ED/prosecution.  
Shri Atul Aggarwal, Ld. counsel for accused B.L.  
Bengani.

- 1 No reply has been filed by the ED to the application moved on behalf of A-1 for permission to travel abroad from 03.07.2022 to 08.07.2022. It is orally stated by ld. Special PP for ED that they do not want to file any formal reply to the said application, however, they strongly oppose the same and that the application may be disposed of, as per law.
- 2 Arguments heard on the above application.
- 3 It is stated in the application that the applicant is a citizen of India and holds an Indian Passport. It is further stated that the applicant has several family members who are living abroad and applicant used to regularly travel abroad with his family to meet them. It is further stated that the applicant has not been able to travel and meet them since more than two years on account of Covid-19 pandemic.

It is further stated that applicant's relative Mr. Arun Jain is holding a celebration event for his daughter's wedding from 04.07.2022 to 07.07.2022 in Istanbul, Turkey and applicant wishes to attend the ceremony as it will enable him to meet his family members and friends who are living abroad. It is further stated that no LR

is pending for the abovementioned country. It is further submitted that the applicant has been duly complying with the bail conditions and other conditions imposed by the court.

It is further stated that one of the co-accused namely Rishabh Jhunjhunwala had been allowed to travel abroad vide orders dated 22.12.2021 & 23.12.2021 for a duration of three months. It is further stated that the documents relied upon by the ED are already on judicial record and there is no possibility of the applicant to tamper with the evidence or influence the witnesses, therefore, permission be granted to the applicant to travel abroad to Istanbul City in Turkey from 03.07.2022 to 08.07.2022.

Though, no formal reply has been filed by the ED to the above application of (A-1), however, Ld. Special PP for ED has orally opposed the same.

I have heard the rival contentions of Ld. PP for CBI and ld. counsel for the applicant/accused and also gone through the records.

It is not disputed that investigation in this case is already complete, the substantial evidence is of documentary nature and accused is also stated to have cooperated during the investigations, as mentioned in his application, therefore, there are no chances of tampering with the evidence or influencing the witnesses. It is also not disputed that A-16 was allowed to travel abroad twice.

In view of the abovesaid facts and circumstances, applicant/accused B.L. Bengani is permitted to travel

abroad to Istanbul, Turkey for attending celebration event of daughter of his close family relative Mr.Arun Jain for a period w.e.f. 03.07.2022 to 08.07.2022 subject to the following conditions:

- **Accused shall furnish security in the form of FDR/bank guarantee for a sum of Rs. 35 Lakhs (Thirty Five Lakhs only) in the name of Court i.e. Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI, (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi along with one surety bond of Rs. 20 Lakhs (Twenty Lakhs only) to the satisfaction of Court, after compliance of the above condition, his passport be released for the above period so as to enable him to travel abroad to Singapore.**
- **He shall surrender his Passport in court within 24 hours of his arrival in India.**
- **In any eventuality, accused will not request for extension for staying abroad.**
- **He shall neither tamper with the evidence nor try to influence any witness in any manner and will not use the permission granted to him contrary to the rules.**
- **This permission shall be subject to other applicable rules and will not be deemed as directions to any other authority except the permission from the side of the court.**
- **He will submit the address of his stay in Singapore along with telephone/contact numbers and e-mail address/s. He will also file his schedule of travel to Singapore at the time of submitting FDR / bank guarantee / surety bond, as above.**

- **His surety / Ld. Counsel will undertake to accept notice(s), if any, on behalf of the accused in his absence.**
- **He will not create any third party interest in any of his immovable properties situated in India or abroad without permission of the court during this period.**
- **Neither he nor his counsel will object to the carrying of the trial / inquiry during the absence of accused A-16.**
- **In case of any of the above conditions are violated, the bank guarantee/FDR / surety bond amount shall be forfeited to the State.**

The above application is disposed off accordingly.

9. In view of the above, since the next date of hearing in this case is 21.04.2022, the accused No. 16 in these peculiar circumstances stand exempted from his personal appearance through his counsel for the said date.

10. At this stage, it is stated that the FDR / Bank guarantee for Rs. 35 Lakhs and surety bond for Rs. 20 Lakhs, which were earlier furnished by (A-16) vide order dated 23.12.2021 are already on the record. The previous surety Sh. Pankaj Jain is also present and he wishes to further remain as surety for (A-16).

In these circumstances, the travel itinerary furnished by the accused is taken on the record and the FDR / Bank guarantee for Rs. 35 Lakhs and the surety bond for Rs. 20 Lakhs, which are already on the record, are further accepted in terms of the above order.

Put up on the date already fixed i.e. **21.04.2022.**

Copy of this order be given dasti to the

applicant/accused, as prayed.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/07.06.2022**

File taken up today on application filed on behalf of (A-14) for depositing his passport in compliance of order dated 08.03.2020.

In compliance of the order, A-14 has deposited the passport in court. The application moved by A-14 stands disposed of accordingly.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. 01.08.2022.

**CC no. 11/2020**  
**ED Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.**  
**CNR no. DLCT11-000286-2020**

**12.07.2022**

**File taken up on application on behalf of Rishabh Jhunjhunwala (A-16) seeking permission to go abroad to Singapore for a further period of six months w.e.f. 22.07.2022.**

Present : Shri Vignaraj Pasayat Ld. Counsel for A-16.

Let notice of this application be issued to the respondent/ED. Now to come up on 15.07.2022 for reply and arguments on this application.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/12.07.2022**

**Cognizance dated 24.3.2022 State Vs. Madhur**

**Order dated 9.6.2022 regarding travel abroad surety order  
CBI Vs. Madhur**

**1.7.2022 State Vs. Madhur deposit of passport as well as  
intimate on arrival.**



**CBI Vs. Nirmal Singh Bhangoo &ors**  
**CBI No. 43/19**  
**CNR No. DLCT11-000127-2019**

**08.08.2022**

**Ld. Presiding Officer is on leave today.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI

Shri Amit Khanna, Ld. counsel along with applicant Sh.  
Harjeet Singh Arora.

An application has been filed on behalf of applicant Harjeet  
Singh Arora in respect of information having returned  
back to India after travelling abroad.

As per directions, to come up for proper orders on  
**17.08.2022.**

**( Reader)**

**08.08.2022**

**State Vs. Subroto Bhattacharya & Ors.**  
**FIR No. 22/2018**  
**PS Rattanpur, District Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.**  
**u/S. 420/34 IPC & 4, 5, 6 of the Prize Chits & Money**  
**Circulation Schemes (Banning) Act 1978 & Ors.**

**26.04.2022 (At 3:40 PM)**

Present :- Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

SI Shant Kumar Sahu, PS Rattanpur, District Bilaspur,  
Chhattisgarh.

SI Shant Kumar Sahu has moved an application dated 26.04.2022 for interrogation of the accused persons Subroto Bhattacharya, Gurmeet Singh and Sukhdev Singh in the above FIR, who are stated to be presently lodged in Central Jail No. 8-9, Tihar, New Delhi.

Heard. In view of submissions made and in the interest of justice, the Jail Superintendent concerned is directed to allow the IO SI Shant Kumar Sahu to interrogate the above accused persons tomorrow i.e. 27.04.2022 between 10:00 AM to 5:00 PM in the office of the Superintendent Jail concerned, Tihar, as per rules.

Copy of this order be given to the IO, as prayed and be also sent to the Superintendent Jail concerned for compliance.

The above application stands disposed off accordingly.

(Sanjeev Aggarwal)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-

02

Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/26.04.2022

**CAGR 3 Y**

SBI Equity Hybrid Fund	15.67
SBI Blue Chip Fund	17.92
SBI Focused Equity Fund	20.20
SBI Flexicap Fund	17.40
SBI Small Cap Fund	33.18
SBI Magnum Midcap Fund	31.72
HDFC Balanced Advantage Fund	18.27
HDFC Mid Cap Opportunities	26.03
HDFC Flexi Cap Fund	20.84
HDFC Hybrid Equity Fund	17.08
HDFC Small Cap Fund	26.82
ICICI Pru Value Discovery Fund	24.32
ICICI Pru Equity & Debt Fund	21.85
ICICI Pru Bluechip Fund	18.14
ICICI Pru Technology Fund	30.07
ICICI Pru India Opp Fund	27.26
ICICI Pru Smallcap Fund	32.77
Axis Midcap Fund	25.08.
Axis Small Cap Fund	31.14
Axis Growth Opp Fund	24.69
UTI Flexi Cap Fund	20.93
UTI Mid Cap Fund	28.10

Compliance report filed on behalf of applicant Harjeet Singh Arora regarding his return from travel abroad. Same be taken on record.

Pursuant to order dated 02.09.2022 Ld. counsel for accused MannoJ Kumar Jain has furnished surety bond in sum of Rs.5 Lacs & security in the form of FDR in sum of Rs.20 Lacs in the name of Ld. Principal District & sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge. CBI, PC Act.

The details of the stay of accused MannoJ Kumar Jain has already been filed on record. Surety bond furnished today is accepted in terms of order dated 02.09.2022. Since the conditions imposed have been complied by A-22, let passport of (A-22) MannoJ Kumar Jain be released to him in terms of order dated 02.09.2022, to be deposited back in court after return from abroad.



By this order, I shall dispose off the two applications moved by accused no. 5 Babul Nath and accused no. 6 Mahesh Kumar for becoming approval.

It is submitted that the FIR bearing no. RC/AC-1/2021/A0001 was registered against both the above named accused persons alongwith some of the railway officials alleging that M. S. Chauhan entered into criminal conspiracy with certain contractors including accused Pawan Baid with sole intention of misappropriation of public fund by awarding contract agreements, preparation of measurement books, processing of bills, early release of payment against bills, early release of security deposit and ban guarantees in the ongoing project work of construction of N. F. Railways. It is submitted that Babul Nath is a sole proprietorship firm under the name and style of M/s Babul Nath and Mahesh Kumar is a partner of M/s M. K. Engging and both firms are engaged in the business of providing contractual work of various kinds to railway. It is submitted that after receiving notice from CIB, both cooperated with the interrogation and their statements U/s 164 Cr. P. C. were also recorded. It is stated that during the course of investigation, the names of applicants got entangled with the instant case and now they both want to help the investigating agency by telling the true facts about the above mentioned case. By way of the above-stated application, they both are seeking pardon & want to become approvers.

Ld. PP for CBI filed by the reply to both the applications and

stated that he has no objection if both the applications are allowed.

Ld. Counsel for accused M. S. Chauhan filed separate reply stating therein that both Babul Nath and Mahesh Kumar have committed the offence U/s 8 of PC Act. It is further stated that both the above mentioned firms being commercial organisations of A-5 and A-6 have committed the offence U/s 9 of PC Act and by virtue of Sec. 10 of PA Act, all persons in-charge of the commercial organisation, such as Director, Manager, Secretary or their official are liable to be prosecuted against.

It is further submitted that from 26.07.2018, with the promulgation of Prevention of Corruption Act, 2018, a Special Provision had been created with respect to those persons who are bribe givers and claims that they had extended bribe but were under duress or they are now repenting their such acts and the respective provision in Section 8 of PC Act clearly provides that if a person who had given an undue advantage to another person with intentions as detailed in the respective section does so under compelling circumstances, then he shall report the matter to the law enforcing authority or the investigating agency within a period of seven days from the date of giving such undue advantage.

Furthermore, when the offence U/s 8 of PC Act has been committed by commercial organisation, such organisation shall also be punished. It is further submitted that an holistic approach, which emerges from the above reproduced situations and facts and the law applicable thereto, it is evident that if the bribe giver

approaches the law enforcement agency within seven days of making the payment of bribe or undue advantage to any public servant, he has a window of immunity, provided by the Statute itself that within seven days from the date of extending such facilities, he can report the matter and thereafter only, the protection of statute will be available to him.

It is further submitted that Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 is a special Act and accordingly, its provisions would supersede the general law, if any, general law operates in the field for which the special law also make provisions. It is stated that before 26.07.2018, Section 24 was in existence in the PC Act, which made the bribe giver not to subject him to prosecution but after 26.07.2018, when the amendment became operative Section 24 stood repealed from the Statute Book. Consequently, at present, any bribe giver, be it an individual or any person associated with commercial organisation U/s 10 of PC Act, can claim statutory immunity of getting pardon or getting his name erased from the errata of the accused persons only if he reports the crime within seven days of the date of offence.

It is further stated that incidentally, the CBI/prosecuting agency despite of recording statement U/s 164 Cr. P. C. of the applicants/accused had opted not to make them approvers and this bare fact is sufficient to establish that their statement recorded U/s 164 Cr. P. C. has no evidentiary value. It is stated that the applicants/accused by way of present applications, are trying to introduce their false statement recorded U/s 164 Cr. P. C. as an evidence in order to purchase immunity from the present case. It is submitted that the statements of witnesses i.e. D-181 are

extremely vague, without any specific details and exculpatory in nature which is evident from the fact that the contents of the said statements, the applicants/accused are intending to project them as innocent persons.

Almost similar reply has been filed by A-2 and A-7.

The facts necessary and relevant for the disposal of the above mentioned applications are as follows :-

The present RC/AC-1/2021/A0001 was registered on 17.01.2021 on the basis of source information which revealed that Mahender Singh Chauhan, Hem Chand Borah and Laxmi Kant Verma entered into criminal conspiracy with certain contractors with the sole intention of mis-appropriation of public funds by awarding contract agreements, preparation of measurement books, processing of bills, early release of payment against bills, early release of security deposit and bank guarantees in the ongoing project works of construction in N. F. Railways. A total of four cases have been made out from the instant FIR and separate charge-sheets have been filed before the competent courts with respect to the offences committed by them. It is mentioned in the charge-sheet that source information also revealed that bribe of Rs.1 crore was to be delivered to the representative of M. S. Chauhan by the representative of accused Pawan Baid, a Railway Contractor and Director of M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. on 17.01.2021. Accordingly, a trap was laid and the accused persons, namely, Bhupender Singh Rawat and Inder Singh were apprehended with bribe amount of Rs.1 Crore. Accused Pawan Baid had arranged for transfer of Rs.1 Crore from Guwahati to

Delhi through Hawala transaction and the same was collected by Bhupender Rawat from Delhi.

It is further the case of the prosecution that Pawan Baid was one of the Directors of M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., having its office at Delhi, Guwahati and Calcutta and he operates from Guwahati office and is engaged in execution of the contracts of N. F. Railways. Bhupender Singh Rawat is employee of M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. and works in Delhi office and he is closed aid of Pawan Baid and he used to deliver gratification to the relatives of Mahender Singh Chauhan.

Accused Inder Singh is resident of Vikas Nagar, Dehradun and is brother in law of accused Mahender Singh Chauhan and he used to receive illegal gratification on behalf of Mahender Singh Chauhan.

During further investigation, role of accused Babul Nath and Mahesh Kumar, both Railway Contractors surfaced and they stated to have paid illegal gratification to Mahender Singh Chauhan for acceptance of their contract agreements.

It is further the case of prosecution that Mahender Singh Chauhan demanded and accepted Bribe of Rs.15 lakhs from accused Babul Nath of M/s Babul Nath (now renamed as M/s B. N. Infra Projects LLP) for approving two tenders I.e. Tender No. CE/CON/NA/EMV/2019/05/RTI and Tender no. CE/CON/NA/EMV/2019/08/RTI, CE/CON/NA/EMV/2019/09/RTI. Accused Babul Nath confirmed that demand of bribe was made to Sh. M. S. Chauhan. Babul Nath paid Rs.20

lakhs to M. S. Chauhan at his residence. Accused Babul Nath further paid Rs.15 lakhs each on two occasions to M. S. Chauhan on two occasions I.e. 26.01.20221 and 09.01.2021 respectively through his employee Sh. Gopal Dass Gupta.

It is further the case of prosecution that the investigation has revealed that there is a call number 11-214097-0-19-20210102-135105 containing recorded conversation between accused M. S. Chauhan and Pawan Baid and further call number 5-20-168639-0-31-20210109-125045 and 6-11-214097-0-21-20210109-124733 between Pawan Baid and Ravi Kumar, which proves that M. S. Chauhan directed Pawan Baid to send someone to collect the bribe given by Babul Nath from his official residence and Pawan Baid told his employee Ravi Kumar to visit the residence of accused Mahender Singh Chauhan and to collect the money. Accordingly, Ravi Kumar visited the residence of M. S. Chauhan and collected Rs.45,00,000/- and confirmed the same to Pawan Baid.

The investigation has revealed that accused company M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. was awarded two tenders of N. F. Railway. Since there was variation in the final value of these two contracts for this variation amount of Rs.53,05,60,971/- a lum sum amount of Rs.55,00,000/- approximately was paid to M. S. Chauhan as illegal gratification by accused Pawan Baid. The amount of Rs.55,00,000/- including amount of Rs.45,00,000/- was given by Mahender Singh Chauhan to Ravi Kumar and this amount was sent through Hawala Transaction. This amount was recovered and seized by CBI.

Investigation has revealed that Sh. Bhupender Singh Rawat alongwith one more person collected an amount of Rs.1 crore as bribe amount from M/s Santosh Electronics and Prem Prakash. A trap was laid by CBI and accused Bhupender Singh Rawat and accused Inder Singh were apprehended. An amount of Rs.99,95,500/- was recovered during that trap. Investigation has further revealed that WhatsApp messages were exchanged between the accused persons and during search on 17.01.2021 at the residential premises of M. S. Chauhan, Rs.54,55,070/- were recovered. It has revealed that two tenders were awarded to M/s M. K. Engineers, which were accepted on 18.12.2020 and Sh. Mahesh Kumar of M/s M. K. Engineers confessed to have paid Rs.25,00,000/- to accused M. S. Chauhan as illegal gratification for securing tenders.

It is further the case of the prosecution that there are 55 relevant recorded conversations between the accused persons and the recorded conversations prove criminality on the part of accused Mahesh Kumar, Babul Nath, Mahender Singh Chauhan, Pawan Baid, Bhupender Rawat and Inder Singh. The recorded conversations also prove arrangement of transfer of money (bribe amount) through middle man on commission basis. The CAFs and CDRs of the above mentioned accused persons as well as other persons have been collected to prove the conversations. It is further in evidence that voice specimen of some of the above named accused persons and other suspects were sent to CFSL for comparison and opinion and CFSL vide its report dated 29.06.2021 has given positive opinion on the voices of accused persons. Voice identification memos for identification of the accused persons were also prepared in the presence of

independent witnesses in which the voices of the accused persons have been identified by acquainted witnesses.

It is further the case of prosecution that relevant witnesses were examined and respective tender files were collected and scrutinized and had shown complicity on the part of accused persons namely, Babul Nath, Mahesh Kumar, M. S. Chauhan, Pawan Baid, Bhupender Singh Rawat, Inder Singh and accused company M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. Accused Pawan Baid has destroyed some incriminating material which was at Hotel Solo Victoria, G. K.-I, Delhi. Sh. Vishal Borar, another employee of M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd. removed some items on the instructions of accused Pawan Baid and during investigation, Sh. Vishal Borar surrendered Rs.50,00,000/- before CBI stated to have been removed from the Delhi office. He denied having removed any document from the premises of above-mentioned company. It is further the case of the prosecution that with regard to obtaining bribe by accused M. S. Chauhan from Babul Nath and Mahesh Kumar, their statements U/s 164 Cr. P. C. have been recorded in this effect. Further investigation qua recovery of Rs.60,00,000/- on the disclosure of accused Inder Singh and Rs.50,00,000/- from residence of M. S. Chauhan is kept open.

Evidence collected during investigation as per prosecution clearly establishes that accused Mahender Singh Chauhan, Pawan Baid, Bhupender Singh Rawat, Inder Singh, Babul Nath, Mahesh Kumar and M/s ABCI Infrastructure Pvt. Ltd., have committed offences Punishable U/s 120 B IPC r/w Section 7, 8, 9 and 10 of PC Act and Section 201 IPC and substantive offences thereof, against each accused mentioned above.

On 21.05.2022, A-5 Babul Nath and A-6 Mahesh Kumar moved two separate applications to become approvers/state witnesses/prosecution witnesses U/s 306 Cr. P. C. showing their intention to become approver by making full and true disclosure of the facts and circumstances relating to the offences. CBI filed reply to both the applications, stating therein that CBI has no objection if this court permits both the applicants to become an approver.

Both the applications have been opposed by Ld. Counsels for accused A-1, A-2, A-3 and A-7.

I have heard Ld. Counsels for the parties and have carefully perused the record.

It is argued by Ld. PP for CBI that A-5 and A-6 be granted pardon U/s 306 Cr. P. C. and be permitted to become approvers/prosecution witnesses. It is argued that both the applicants would be able to give direct and strong evidence with respect to the facts of the case since they have been the complicit in the conspiracy and had handed over the bribe money to the accused persons.

On the other hand, Ld. Counsel for accused A-1, A-2 and A-7, Sh. Harsh Sharma, Advocate, has argued that applications of A-5 and A-6 are devoid of any merits or substance. Applicant Babul Nath and Mahesh Kumar both have committed an offence punishable U/s 8 of the PC Act. It is further argued that both the applicants are the main accused in the present matter and they both by

moving this application are trying to escape and are trying to introduce false statements. It is further argued that the disclosure statements made by both the accused U/s 164 Cr. P. C. are exculpatory in nature and not narrating the entire incident and the statements are surrounded by serious doubts. It is further argued that courts should use the discretion of grant of pardon in a judicious manner and it is to be seen that prosecution is not favoring one accused at the cost of other.

Ld. Counsel for accused no. 3 argued that CBI has filed four charge-sheets with respect to separate transactions and both the applicants paid huge amount of bribe and therefore, both the applicants and their companies have committed separate offences U/s 8, 9 and 10 of PC Act.

In support of his contentions, Ld. Counsel for the applicants has relied upon the judgments of Hon'ble Apex Court, K. Shanthamma vs. State of Telangana, (2022) 4 SCC 574 and P. Satyanarayana Murthy vs. District Inspector of Police, State of Andhra Pradesh and another.

Ld. Counsel for the non-applicants Sh. Harsh Sharma, Advocate has relied upon the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in Ratan Babulal Lath vs. The State of Karnataka.

**I**

Vide this order, I shall dispose off ----

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicant that the applicant assisted and co-operated the investigating agency for more than four years but he was arrested on 21.06.2022. It is submitted that CBI on 19.08.2022 filed the incomplete charge-sheet against the present applicant and 5 other accused including Ms. Chitra Ramkrishna.

It is stated that after filing of incomplete charge-sheet against Ms. Chitra Ramkrishna and Anand Subrahmanian, bail applications were moved and Hon'ble High Court vide order dated 28.9.2022 granted default bail to both the accused on non-completion of investigation. It is stated that similar to that of accused Chitra Ramkrishna and Anand Subrahmanian, incomplete charge-sheet has been filed qua applicant and the applicant/accused Sanjay Gupta is entitled to bail U/s 167(2) of Cr. P. C.

On the other hand, Ld. PP for CBI fled the reply stating therein that the investigation qua accused Sanjay Gupta has been completed and the charge-sheet against the applicant is complete in all respects. It is stated that the charge-sheet of accused Sanjay Gupta clearly mentions that the investigation has been kept open U/s 173 (8) of Cr. P. C. for the role of -

It is submitted by Ld. PP for CBI that in the instant case, direct investigation of the acts of Sanjay Gupta is not alive and active and the role of applicant and his co-conspirators have been investigated regarding the abuse of server architecture and illegal gain therefrom. It is stated that the further investigation is being

carried out to examine the role of SEBI, NSE officials, Ajay Shah and other brokers who have benefited in the defects of NSE server architecture and applicant is not entitled to default bail.

I have heard the rival submissions and have gone through the record.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicant that default bail U/s 167(2) of Cr. P. C. was moved by co-accused Chitra Ramkrishna and Anand Subrahmanian and this court vide order dated --- dismissed the default bail application. It is stated that the Hon'ble Mr. Justice ---- of Delhi High Court did not agree with the findings given by this court and granted default bail to accused Chitra Ramkrishna and Anand Subrahmanian and applicant of applicant/accused Sanjay Gupta U/s 167(2) Cr. P. C. is also on the same footing as incomplete charge-sheet against him has been filed and he is also entitled to default bail. Hon'ble Delhi High Court in its order has discussed the following judgments :-

- (1) Fakhrey Alam V State of UP, 2021 sCC On Line 532;
- (2) Tunde Gbaja V CBI, 2007 SCC On Line Del 450;
- (3) M Ravindran V DRI, (2021) 2 SCC 485
- (4) Rakesh Kumar Paul V State of Assam; (2017) 15 SCC 67.
- (5) Dinesh Dalmia V CBI, 2008 Cri.LJ.337 and Abdul Azeez PV V NIA, 2014 AIR SCW 6537
- (6) Maharashtra V Sharadchandra Vinayak Dogre & others, AIR CBI, 1995 SC 231
- (7) Narendra Kumar Amin V CBI, MANU/SC/0038/2015
- (8) Y.S. Jagan Mohan Reddy V CBI, 2013 Cri. L.J. 2734
- (9) State of Maharashtra V Sharadchandra Vinayak Dongre and others, AIR 1995 SC 231,
- (10) SFIO V Rahul Modi, AIR 2022 SC 902,

- (11) Suraj V State of Delhi NCT, Bail Application no. 120/2022
- (12) Deepender Kumar Srivastava V State, MANU/DE/4193/2006
- (13) Uday Mohanlal Acharya V State of Maharashtra, (2001) 5 SCC 453
- (14) M Ravindran V The Intelligence Officer, (2021)2SCC485
- (15) Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India, (1978) 1 SCC 248
- (16) S.M. Purtado V Dy. S.P., C.B.L., Kochin, 1996 Cr.L.J. 3042
- (17) C. Parthasarthy V Director of Enforcement, Criminal Petitions No 3786, 4127 & 4137 of 2022 decided on 17" May, 2022
- (18) Sharadchandra Vinayak Dongre vs. State of Maharashtra, 1991 MahLJ656
- (19) Akula Ravi Teja V State of A.P., (2021)1ALT(Cri)291
- (20) Serious Fraud Investigation Office V Rahul Modi, AIR2022 SC902
- (21) Abdul Azeez P V and others V National Investigation Agency, 2014 AIR SCW 6537
- (22) Suresh Kumar Bhikamchand Jain V State of Maharashtra & another, 2013 Cr.L.J.1625
- (23) Rakesh Kumar Paul vs. State of Assam, (2)17) 15 SCC 67.

I have gone through the order dated 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2022 passed by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sudhir Kumar Jain of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and the relevant portions of the order is as under :-

24. The learned Senior Counsel for the applicant/accused with regard to entitlement of the applicant/accused for default bail argued that the statutory right to bail U/s 167(2) of the Code is a fundamental right under Article 21 of the Constitution. The applicant/accused in custody since 06.03.2022 and the respondent/CBI has failed to complete investigation in respect of all the offences as mentioned in FIR and to file a Final Report under section 173 of the Code within sixty days from the date of the arrest of the applicant/accused who is in custody for more than 60 days. The respondent/CBI has filed an incomplete/piece-meal charge 'sheet before the concerned court on 21.04.2022 i.e. 46th day from the date of arrest but investigation qua other offences is pending. He further argued that filing of incomplete/piece meal charge sheet is not permissible as it was filed to deny the right to default bail to the applicant/accused. The incomplete charge sheet has been filed only with respect to sections 13 (1)d) and 13(2) of the PC Act and section 120 B IPC and not with respect to other offences as alleged in the FIR.

24.1 The investigation with respect to other allegations under section 66 of IT Act 2000, section 2004 IPC and sections 7 and 12 of the PC Act is pending and yet to be completed. The respondent/CBI yet to receive relevant information from Microsoft (USA) with respect to the offences for which

investigation is stated to be completed. The submission of the respondent/CBI about completion of investigation for any of the head as mentioned in charge sheet is without any substance. The respondent/CBI has not investigated the offences for which custody of the applicant/accused was sought or given by the concerned court at the time of remand or subsequently while sending the petitioner to judicial custody.

24.2 The Special Judge has continued to extend the judicial custody of the applicant under section 167 of the Code and not under section 309 of the Code due to non-taking of cognizance for want of sanction which is not permissible in law after lapse of period of 60 days from the date of arrest/first remand. The learned Senior Counsel cited Fakhrey Alam V State of UP, 2021 sCC On Line 532; Tunde Gbaja V CBI, 2007 SCC On Line Del 450; M Ravindran V DRI, (2021) 2 SCC 485 and Rakesh Kumar Paul V State of Assam; (2017) 15 SCC 67.

-----

Section 167. Procedure when investigation cannot be completed in twenty four hours.-

(2) The Magistrate to whom an accused person is forwarded under this section may, whether he has or has no jurisdiction to try the case, from time to time, authorise the detention of the accused in such custody as such Magistrate thinks fit, for a term not exceeding fifteen days in the whole; and if he has no jurisdiction to try the case or commit it for trial, and considers further detention unnecessary, he may order the accused to be forwarded to a Magistrate having such jurisdiction:

Provided that

(a) the Magistrate may authorise the detention of the accused person, otherwise than in the custody of the police, beyond the period of fifteen days, if he is satisfied that adequate grounds exist for doing so, but no Magistrate shall authorise the detention of the accused person in custody under this paragraph for a total period exceeding,

(i) ninety days, where the investigation relates to an offence punishable with death, imprisonment for life or imprisonment for a term of not less than ten years;

(ii) sixty days, where the investigation relates to any other offence, and, on the expiry of the said period of ninety days, or sixty days, as the case may be, the accused person shall be released on bail if he is prepared to and does furnish bail, and every person released on bail under this subsection shall be deemed to be so released under the provisions of Chapter XXXIII for the purposes of that Chapter

(b) no Magistrate shall authorise detention of the accused in custody of the police under this section unless the accused is produced before him in person for the first time and subsequently every time till the accused remains in the custody of the police, but the Magistrate may extend further detention in judicial custody on production of the accused either in person or through the medium of electronic video linkage;

(c) no Magistrate of the second class, not specially empowered in this behalf by the High Court, shall authorise detention in the custody of the police.

Explanation I. For the avoidance of doubts, it is hereby declared that, notwithstanding the expiry of the period specified in paragraph (a), the accused shall be detained in custody so long as he does not furnish bail.

Explanation II. If any question arises whether an accused person was produced before the Magistrate as required under clause (b), the production of the accused person may be proved by his signature on the order authorising detention or by the order certified by the Magistrate as to production of the accused person through the medium of electronic video linkage, as the case may be.

Provided further that in case of a woman under eighteen years of age, the detention shall be authorised to be in the custody of a remand home or recognised social institution." In common legal parlance, the right to bail under the proviso to Section 167(2) is commonly referred to as "default bail" or "compulsory bail" as it is granted on account of the default of the investigating agency in not completing the investigation within the prescribed time, irrespective of the merits of the case.

29. Before entering into critical and analytical analysis of facts, it is necessary to discuss scope and magnitude of section 167(2) of the Code. The Supreme Court and High Courts in various cases have considered object and scope of section 167 (2) of the Code. The Supreme Court in *Satender Kumar Antil V Central Bureau of Investigation* and another, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 825 reiterated by observing that it is duty of the courts to see to it that an accused gets the benefit of Section 167(2) of the Code and any detention beyond this period would certainly be illegal being an affront to the liberty of the person concerned. It was observed as under:-

Section 167(2) was introduced in the year 1978, giving emphasis to the maximum period of time to complete the investigation. This provision has got a laudable object behind it, which is to ensure an expeditious investigation and a fair trial, and to set down a rationalised procedure that protects the interests of the indigent sections of society. This is also another limb of Article 21. Presumption of innocence is also inbuilt in this provision. An investigating agency has to expedite the process of investigation as a suspect is languishing under incarceration. Thus, a duty is enjoined upon the agency to complete the investigation within the time prescribed and a failure would enable the release of the accused. The right enshrined is an absolute and indefeasible one, inuring to the benefit of suspect. Such a right cannot be taken away even during any unforeseen circumstances...

29.1 The Supreme Court in *Uday Mohanlal Acharya V State of Maharashtra*, (2001) 5 SCC 453 discussed and highlighted indefeasible right accrues in favour of the accused for being released on bail on account of default by the investigating agency in the completion of the investigation within the period prescribed. It was observed as under:-

13.3. On the expiry of the said period of 90 days or 60 days, as the case may be, an indefeasible right accrues in favour of the accused for being released on bail on account of default by the investigating agency in the completion

of the investigation within the period prescribed and the accused is entitled to be released on bail, if he is prepared to and furnishes the bail as directed by the Magistrate.

13.4. When an application for bail is filed by an accused for enforcement of his indefeasible right alleged to have been accrued in his favour on account of default on the part of the investigating agency in completion of the investigation within the specified period, the Magistrate/court must dispose of it forthwith, on being satisfied that in fact the accused has been in custody for the period of 90 days or 60 days, as specified and no chargesheet has been filed by the investigating agency. Such prompt action on the part of the Magistrate/court will not enable the prosecution to frustrate the object of the Act and the legislative mandate of an accused being released on bail on account of the default on the part of the investigating agency in completing the investigation within the period stipulated.

13.5. If the accused is unable to furnish bail, as directed by the Magistrate, then the conjoint reading of Explanation I and proviso to subsection 2 of Section 167, the continued custody of the accused even beyond the specified period in paragraph (a) will not be unauthorised, and therefore, if during that period the investigation is complete and chargesheet is filed then the so called indefeasible right of the accused would stand extinguished.

30. The Supreme Court in *M Ravindran V The Intelligence Officer*, (2021)2SCC485 while tracing history of enactment of section 167(2) of the Code and practice of filing preliminary charge sheets being followed by the investigating officers observed that Article 21 of the Constitution of India provides that no person shall be deprived of his life or personal liberty except according to procedure established by law and as per *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, (1978) 1 SCC 248 that such a procedure cannot be arbitrary, unfair or unreasonable. It was further observed that the history of Section 167(2) of the Code and the safeguard of 'default bail' contained in the proviso thereto is intrinsically linked to Article 21 and is nothing but a legislative exposition of the constitutional safeguard that no person shall be detained except in accordance with rule of law. The Supreme Court while tracing jurisprudential history of section 167 of The Code laid emphasis on safeguard attached with section 167 of the Code observed as under:-

17. Before we proceed to expand upon the parameters of the right to default bail under Section 167(2) as interpreted by various decisions of this Court, we find it pertinent to note the observations made by this Court in *Uday Mohanlal Acharya [Uday Mohanlal Acharya v. State of Maharashtra]*, (2001) 5 SCC 453 2001 SCC (Cri) 760] on the fundamental right to personal liberty of the person and the effect of deprivation of the same as follows: (SCC p. 472, para 13)

"13... Personal liberty is one of the cherished objects of the Indian Constitution and deprivation of the same can only be in accordance with law and in conformity with the provisions thereof, as stipulated under Article 21 of the Constitution. When the law provides that the Magistrate could authorise the detention of the accused in custody up to a maximum period as indicated in the proviso to sub-section (2) of Section 167, any further

detention beyond the period without filing of a challan by the investigating agency would be a subterfuge and would not be in accordance with law and in conformity with the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code, and as such, could be violative of Article 21 of the Constitution."

17.1. Article 21 of the Constitution of India provides that "no person shall be deprived of his life or personal liberty except according to procedure established by law". It has been settled by a Constitution Bench of this Court in *Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India* [*Maneka Gandhi v. Union of India*, (1978) 1 SCC 248] , that such a procedure cannot be arbitrary, unfair or unreasonable, The history of the enactment of Section 167(2) CrPC and the safeguard of "default bail" contained in the proviso thereto is bail" intrinsically linked to Article 21 and is nothing but a legislative exposition of the constitutional safeguard that no person shall be detained except in accordance with rule of law.

17.2 Under Section 167 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (1898 Code') which was in force prior to the enactment of the CrPC, the maximum period for which an accused could be remanded to custody, either police or judicial, was 15 days. However, unworkable to conclude complicated investigations within 15 days, a practice arose wherein investigative officers would file preliminary chargesheets after the expiry of the remand period. The State would then request the magistrate to postpone commencement of the trial and authorize further remand of the accused under Section 344 of the 1898 since it was often Code till the time the investigation was completed and the final chargesheet was filed. The Law Commission of India in Report No. 14 on Reforms of the Judicial Administration (Vol. I1, 1948, pages 758760) pointed out that in many cases the accused were languishing for several months in custody without any final report being filed before the Courts. It was also pointed out that there was conflict in judicial opinion as to whether the magistrate was bound to release the accused if the police report was not filed within 15 days.

17.3 Hence the Law Commission in Report No. 14 for an appropriate provision recommended the need specifically providing for continued remand after the expiry of 15 days, in a manner that "while meeting the needs of a full and proper investigation in cases of serious crime, will still safeguard the liberty of the person of the individual." Further, that the legislature should prescribe a maximum time period beyond which no accused could be detained without filing of the police report before the magistrate. It was pointed out that in England, even a person accused of grave offences such as treason could not be indefinitely detained in prison till commencement of the trial.

17.4 The suggestion made in Report No. 14 was reiterated by the Law Commission in Report No. 41 on The Code of Criminal Procedure, 1898 (Vol. I, 1969, pages 7677). The Law Commission reemphasized the need to guard against the misuse of Section 344 of the 1898 Code by filing preliminary reports for remanding the accused beyond the statutory period prescribed under Section 167. It was pointed out that this could lead to serious abuse wherein the arrested person can in this manner be kept in custody indefinitely while the investigation can go on in a leisurely manner."

Hence the Commission recommended fixing of a maximum remand. The time limit of 60 days for Commission considered the reservation expressed earlier in Report No. 37 that such an extension may result in the 60 day period becoming a matter of routine. However, faith was expressed that proper supervision by the superior Courts would help circumvent the same.

17.5 The suggestions made in Report No. 41 were taken note of and incorporated by the Central Government while drafting the Code of Criminal Procedure Bill in 1970. Ultimately, the 1898 Code was replaced by the present CrPC. The Statement of Objects and Reasons of the CrPC provides that the Government took the following important considerations into account while evaluating the recommendations of the Law Commission:

3. The recommendations of the Commission were examined carefully by the Government, keeping in view among others, the following basic considerations:

i) an accused person should get a fair trial in accordance with the accepted principles of natural justice;

(ii) every effort should be made to avoid delay in investigation and trial which is harmful not only to the individuals involved but also to society; and

(ii) the procedure should not be complicated and should, to the utmost extent possible, ensure fair deal to the poorer sections of the community."

17.6 It was in this backdrop that Section 167(2) was enacted within the present day CrPC, providing for time limits on the period of remand of the accused, proportionate to the seriousness of the offence committed, failing which the accused acquires the indefeasible right to bail. As is evident from the recommendations of the Law Commission mentioned supra, the intent of the legislature was to balance the need for sufficient time limits to complete the investigation with the need to protect the civil liberties of the accused. Section 167(2) provides for a clear mandate that the investigative agency must collect the required evidence within the prescribed time period, failing which the accused can no longer be detained. This ensures that the investigating officers are compelled to act swiftly and efficiently without misusing the prospect of further remand. This also ensures that the Court takes cognizance of the case without any undue delay from the date of giving information of the offence, so that society at large does not lose faith and develop cynicism towards the criminal justice system.

31. Various High Courts also deliberated scope and ambit of section 167(2) of the Code. The Kerala High Court in *S.M. Purohit V Dy. S.P., C.B.L., Kochin*, 1996 CrL.J. 3042 observed that the investigation under section 167 of the Code can involve one or more offences against the accused persons. The investigation of a case cannot be split up in such a way to file piecemeal reports before Court. Section 173 of the Code does not stipulate a piecemeal investigation and filing of incomplete charge sheet before Court.

It contemplates filing of a charge/refer report after completion of the entire investigation of the case in respect of all offences and where several offences are involved in a case, a charge report could be laid before the court only after the investigation is over and formation of an opinion regarding all the offences alleged against the accused. It was further held as under:-

11. In the decision reported in *Vijayaraghavan v. CBI* 1984 Ker LT 522: 1984 Cri LJ 1277, this Court held that the investigation of a cases relates to the entire transaction of which information is given and not merely one of the offences committed in the course of the transaction. In paragraph 10, this Court held thus:

10. The expression 'case' used in the provisions under examination has to be understood in the general sense and not in a narrow or technical way. The words 'offence' and 'case' are not synonymous, though an offence always leads to a case and a case would always involve an offence or offences. An occurrence or transaction may involve commission of only one offence; or it may involve several offences. When a police officer receives information about the commission of a cognizable offence, and records the same, he is said to register a case, sometimes called a crime case. 'Case' understood in this general sense means that the case before the police officer arising from the information placed before him regarding an occurrence in which an offence or offences are committed. 'Case' relates to the transactions of which information is given and not merely one of the offences committed during the course of the transaction.

This Court further held that when Section 173 speaks of completion of investigation, it must ordinarily be taken to refer to completion of investigation of all the facts and circumstances relating to the case, whether the transaction involves one offence or plurality of offences and a final report or charge sheet under Section 173 could be filed only after completion of the investigation in the case relating to all the offences arising in the case. We are in respectful agreement with the said finding arrived at by U. L. Bhat, J., as he then was. Viewed in that light, it must be held that the 'charge report' stated to have been filed by the CBI on 10-4-1996 cannot be said to be a final report as contemplated under Section 173 of the Code and we must say that the prosecution was not justified in making piece-meal charge report in respect of various offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners. In the absence of completion of the investigation of the case against the petitioners, we hold that the Magistrate cannot take cognizance of the case as contemplated under Section 309 of the Code.

12. A Magistrate can take cognizance of an offence only on filing of a report under Section 173(2) of the Code. Unless power is conferred by law, there is no inherent power to remand an accused to custody. Holding so, in the decision reported in *Natabar Parida v. State of Orissa*, the Supreme Court proceeded to say that under Section 167(2) proviso and under Section 309(2) of the new Code, the power of remand of jail custody conferred on the Magistrate during the pendency of the investigation is only under the former Section and not under the latter Section. The Supreme Court also held that

Section 309(2) is attracted only after cognizance of an offence is taken or commencement of the trial has proceeded.

13. A remand under Section 309(2) of the Code can be made only after taking cognizance of the offence. That being the position, in the absence of a final report regarding all the offences alleged to have been committed by the accused persons, it has to be held that the Magistrate has not taken cognizance of the offences as required by Section 309(2) of the Code. The Magistrate is not empowered to order remand of the accused to judicial custody unless final report as contemplated under Section 173(2) of the Code is laid before Court.

14. Thus, in the light of the report filed by the CBI, a further investigation in respect of the offences under the Official Secrets Act, IPC, Act and the Order is necessary. If final report is laid before Court and the Magistrate takes cognizance of the offence, a further report under Section 173(8) of the Code regarding commission of offences or involvement of the accused in connection with the other offences can be made. But in the absence of a final report in respect of all the offences, it cannot be said that a final report under Section 173(2) in respect of the offences alleged to have been committed by the petitioners under the Official Secrets Act could be legally filed by invoking the provisions of Section 173(8) of the Code. The finding of the Court below to the contra is, in our view, illegal.

15. Admittedly, no final report has been filed by the CBI against the petitioners in respect of the various offences. In its absence, the prosecution is not justified in resorting to Section 173(8) of the Code to submit a further report in respect of the alleged involvement of the petitioners under the Official Secrets Act, IPC, Act and the Order. Since the investigation of the case is not complete, we find no reason to deny the statutory bail to the petitioners under Section 167(2) of the Code.

16. The Supreme Court has held that in the scheme of the 1973 Code, remand to custody during investigation can be made only under Section 167 of the Code and remand to custody under Section 309 can be made only after taking cognizance of the offence by the Court. The result is that if the investigation is not over and the period mentioned in Section 167(2) to detain the accused is custody is over, by invoking Section 309 of the Code, the custody of the accused cannot be extended and are to be released on bail.

31.1 The learned Single Judge of this Court after referring, considering and discussing Uday Mohanlal Acharya and S.M. Purtado in Tunde Gbaja V Central Bureau of Investigation, 2007 (95) DRJ 429 observed as under:-

20. It is well known that the court takes cognizance of the crime, not the offender. The argument that the respondent filed a charge-sheet, within time, when it did, is not correct. The charge-sheet pertains only to the offence under the Foreigners Act, for which, as per proviso to Section 167(2) had to be filed within 60 days. But for the other offences, in respect of which the period prescribed, for filing the charge sheet is 90 days, no charges were indicated. Though the right of the investigating agency to file a supplementary charge sheet, or a further report (under Section 173(8)

pursuant to order of the court) cannot be disputed, yet the fact remains that there cannot be part charge sheet, as has been contended in the present case. Therefore, following the decision in S.M.Purtado's case, as well as the Supreme Court ruling in Uday Mohanlal Acharya, it has to be concluded that the petitioner is entitled to be enlarged on bail. This is without prejudice to such other rights the respondents may have in law, to place further materials in support of the case, before the trial Court.

31.2 The Telangana High Court in C. Parthasarthy V Director of Enforcement, Criminal Petitions No 3786, 4127 & 4137 of 2022 decided on 17<sup>th</sup> May, 2022 after referring M Ravindran held as under:-

16. From the above decision, it is clear that a time limit for completing investigation was incorporated in order to ensure that the accused does not languish in jail for the investigative authority's failure to complete investigation. It was held that the right to statutory bail accrues on a person if the charge sheet is not filed within the prescribed period of sixty days. The said right to bail is indefeasible and is interlinked with personal liberty as envisaged under Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

21. The Supreme Court in Satya Narain Musadi v. State of Bihar, Bihar, (1980)3SCCI52 discussing Section 173(2) of the Cr.P.C. has held that a charge sheet can be filed only after the completion of investigation. The relevant paragraph is extracted below:

9. Section 173(2)(1) provides that on completion of the investigation the police officer investigating into a cognizable offence shall submit a report in the form prescribed by the State Government and stating therein (a) the names of the parties; (b) the nature of the information; (c) the names of the persons who appear to be acquainted with the circumstances of the case; (d) whether any offence appears to have been committed and, if so, by whom (e) whether the accused has been arrested; (f) whether he has been released on his bond and, if so, whether with or without sureties; and (g) whether he has been forwarded in custody under Section 170. Sub-section 5) of Section 173 makes it obligatory upon the police officer to forward along with the report all documents or relevant extracts thereof on which the prosecution proposes to rely and under Section 161 of all the persons whom the prosecution proposes to examine as witnesses at the trial.

Similarly, the Supreme Court in Manu Sharma v. State (NCT of Delhi), (2010)6SCC1 has held that the object behind Section 173 of the Cr.P.C. is to complete the investigation and file the charge sheet. The idea is to ensure that cognizance is taken without any delay. The relevant paragraph is extracted below:

206. Section 173 commands the investigating agency to complete unnecessary delay and when such an investigation is completed, the officer in charge of the police station shall forward to a Magistrate empowered to take cognizance of offence on a police report the details in the form as may be prescribed by the State Government and provide the information required under this section.

22. From the above decisions, it is clear that a charge sheet can be filed only after the completion of investigation. Investigation is said to be completed if sufficient material is collected by the Investigating Officer based on which cognizance can be taken under Section 167 of the Cr.P.C.

31.4 The Andhra Pradesh High Court in Akula Ravi Teja V State of A.P., (2021)1ALT(Cri)291 dealt with a situation where a 'preliminary charge sheet' was filed without completing the investigation. The Court therein held that an incomplete charge sheet filed without completing the investigation cannot be used to defeat the right of statutory bail under Section 167(2) of the Cr.P.C. The relevant paragraphs are extracted below:

19. Now the crucial question that arises for determination is whether filing a preliminary charge-sheet without completing the investigation would defeat the right of the accused to claim default bail under proviso (a) to Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. In this context, it is relevant to note that the Parliament in its wisdom, considering the right of the accused to speedy investigation, stipulated period of time in proviso (a) to Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. stating that in all offences which are punishable with death or life 10

years investigation is to be completed within 90 days and in other offences, the investigation is to be completed within 60 days. The Code clearly envisaged that if the prosecuting agency fails to complete the investigation within the said stipulated period of time as contemplated under the Code, the accused is entitled to claim default bail. The said right conferred by the statute on the accused is an indefeasible right and he is with imprisonment, the imprisonment or entitled to bail as a matter of right on account of the default committed by the prosecuting agency in completing the investigation within the time stipulated by the statute.

20. It is significant to note that a plain reading of proviso (a) to Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. makes it manifest that what is required to claim for default bail under proviso (a) to Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. is failure on the part of the Investigating Agency to complete the investigation within the stipulated period of time. In other words, it is the default committed by the Investigating Agency to complete the entire investigation within the stipulated time that confers right on the accused to claim for default bail. So, filing of charge-sheet is not the criteria or the actual test to be applied to decide whether the accused is entitled to default bail or not. It is relevant to note that the charge-sheet after completion of investigation will be filed to enable the Court to take cognizance of the offence. So, the Court cannot take cognizance of the offence on the basis of a preliminary charge-sheet filed without completing the entire investigation. Therefore, the crucial aspect that needs to be ascertained to consider the claim of the accused for default bail is whether the investigation is completed within the stipulated time of 90 days or not. So, when the Investigating Agency files only preliminary charge- sheet within the said stipulated time keeping the investigation pending or without completing circumstances defeat the right conferred on the accused to claim for default bail. By mere filing a preliminary charge-sheet without completing the entire investigation and filing a final and full-fledged charge-sheet, the prosecuting agency cannot vanquish the

indefeasible statutory right of the accused to claim for default bail.

21. The 3-Judge Bench of the Supreme Court in the case of Rakesh Kumar Paul v. State of Assam<sup>2</sup> held that right to personal liberty under Article 21 of the Constitution of India includes right to speedy investigation and entitlement to "default bail" where statutory period of filing charge-sheet has expired and accused has applied and is willing to furnish bail.

22. A plain reading of Section 167 Cr.P.C. makes abundantly clear that it is not the intention of the legislation that charge-sheet is to be filed within the stipulated period. The intention of the legislation is that the investigation is to be completed within the stipulated time. Nowhere in Section 167 Cr.P.C. it is stated that the charge-sheet is to be filed within the prescribed period of time. All that it is stated in Section 167 Cr.P.C. is that the investigation is to be completed within the said stipulated period of 90 days or 60 days, as the case may be. Therefore, the Court has to see whether the investigation is completed or considering the plea of the accused for grant of default bail. Generally, charge-sheet will be filed only after completion of the investigation. So, when the charge-sheet is filed, it indicates that investigation is completed. Therefore, it is in practice that when the Investigating Agency files the charge-sheet that the Courts usually presume that the investigation is completed. However, it is to be noticed that at times, even when the investigation is not fully completed that the police are in the habit of filing preliminary charge-sheet or an incomplete investigation pending as a clever contrivance and subterfuge to prevent the accused from claiming default bail by exercising his right conferred under the Code. So, Courts must be on guard and should not fall in the trap of such trickery and tactics of the investigating agency when they only file preliminary charge-sheet without fully completing the investigation. Therefore, the real test to be applied to ascertain whether the accused is entitled to default bail or not in a given case is not to see whether the charge-sheet is filed or not. It has to be ascertained whether the entire investigation is completed or not within the stipulated period of time and whether the said charge-sheet is filed after completion of the entire investigation or not. A preliminary charge-sheet filed without completing the entire investigation cannot be allowed to serve as an impediment to come in the way of exercising the statutory right of the accused for default bail.

23. The very contents of the charge-sheet, which are extracted above, clinchingly establishes that the investigation is not completed and many crucial witnesses are yet to be examined to prove the overt acts of the accused in this crime and some other evidence as stated by the investigating officer is still to be secured. Therefore, on account of default committed by the prosecuting agency in completing the investigation within the stipulated period of time, the petitioner acquired an indefeasible right to claim default bail under proviso (a) to Section 167(2) Cr.P.C.

24. In a petition filed under proviso (a) to Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. for grant of default bail, no discretion is vested with the Magistrate/Court to deny bail to him. In the judgment of the aforesaid 3-Judge Bench of the Apex Court, it is clearly held that no discretion is vested with the Court while granting default bail where accused satisfies the prerequisite for grant thereof. The Apex

Court in another case *Rajnikant Jivanlal Patel v. Intelligence Officer, Narcotic Control Bureau, New Delhi*<sup>3</sup> held as follows: "The right to bail under Section 167(2) proviso (a) thereto is absolute. It is a legislative command and not Court's discretion. If the investigating agency fails to file charge-sheet before the expiry of 90/60 days, as the case may be, the accused in custody should be released on bail. But at that stage, merits of the case are not to be examined. Not at all. In fact, the Magistrate has no power to remand a person beyond the stipulated period of 90/60 days."

Therefore, the legal position is very clear that irrespective of the gravity of the offence and the nature and seriousness of the offence, the moment the accused claims bail under proviso (a) to Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. on account of the default committed by the Investigating Agency to complete the investigation within the stipulated time, and he is prepared to furnish bail, the Court has no other option except to grant bail to him. The very nature of right which is "indefeasible right" indicates that the said right cannot be defeated or frustrated once accrued to the accused. Even filing of preliminary charge-sheet cannot defeat the said right.

25. Therefore, in the considered opinion of the Court, after analysing the law on the point, it would be more in consonance with the legislative mandate to hold that mere filing preliminary investigation will not defeat the indefeasible statutory right of the accused to claim for default bail. In a way the right to claim bail by a remanded accused touches the personal liberty of an individual guaranteed under the Constitution of India. Personal liberty is a valuable right of an individual and it is one of the cherished objects of the Indian Constitution. It can be deprived only in accordance with law and in conformity with the provisions thereof, as stipulated under Article 21 of the Constitution. Therefore, when the law mandates that the Magistrate could authorise detention of the accused in custody up to a maximum period as indicated in the proviso to sub-section (2) of Section 167 Cr.P.C. any further detention beyond the period when, the investigation is not completed and the final charge-sheet is not filed on completion of the entire investigation by the Investigating Agency would be a subterfuge and would not be in accordance with law and in conformity with the provisions of the Criminal Procedure Code. Therefore, it could be violative of Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

32. The filing of charge-sheet is sufficient compliance with the provisions of proviso (a) to Section 167(2) of the Code and taking of cognizance is not material to section 167 of the Code. The scheme of the Code is such that once the investigation stage is completed, the court proceeds to the next stage, which is the taking of cognizance and trial. In *Serious Fraud Investigation Office V Rahul Modi*, AIR2022 SC902 which is also relied upon by the Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent/CBI, the High Court considered the regular bail applications filed by the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 on 31.05.2019 and directed their release on bail on the ground that they were entitled to statutory bail on sole reason given for grant of bail by the High Court is that the trial court has not taken cognizance of the complaint before the expiry of the 60-day period which entitled the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to statutory bail, as a matter of indefeasible right. The issue which was under consideration before the Supreme Court was

whether an accused is entitled for statutory bail under Section 167(2) of the Code on the ground that cognizance has not been taken before the expiry of 60 days or 90 days, as the case may be, from the date of remand. It was observed as under:-

9.. The scheme of the provisions relating to remand of an accused first during the stage of investigation and thereafter, after cognizance is taken, indicates that the legislature intended investigation of certain crimes to be completed within the period prescribed therein, according to this Court in Bhikamchand Jain (supra). This Court held that in the event of investigation not being completed by investigating authorities within the prescribed period, the accused acquires an indefeasible right to be granted bail, if he offers to furnish bail. This Court was of the firm opinion that if on either the 61st day or the 91st day, an accused makes an application for being released on bail in default of charge-sheet having been filed, the court has no option but to release the accused on bail. However, once the charge- sheet was filed within the stipulated period, the right of the accused to statutory bail came to an end and the accused would be entitled to pray for regular bail on merits. It was held by this Court that the filing of charge-sheet is sufficient compliance with the provisions of proviso (a) to Section 167(2), CrPC and that taking of cognizance is not material to Section 167. The scheme of CrPC is such that once the investigation stage is completed, the court proceeds to the next stage, which is the taking of cognizance and trial. During the period of investigation, the accused is under the custody of the Magistrate before whom he or she is first produced, with such Magistrate being vested with power to remand the accused to police custody and/or judicial custody, up to a maximum period as prescribed under Section 167(2).

10. It is clear from the judgment of this Court in Bhikamchand Jain (supra) that filing of a charge-sheet is sufficient compliance with the provisions of Section 167, CrPC and that an accused cannot demand release on default bail under Section 167(2) on the ground that cognizance has not been taken before the expiry of 60 days. The accused continues to be in the custody of the Magistrate till such time cognizance is taken by the court trying the offence, which assumes custody of the accused for the purpose of remand after cognizance is taken. The conclusion of the High Court that the accused cannot be remanded beyond the period of 60 days under Section 167 and that further remand could only be at the post-cognizance stage, is not correct in view of the judgment of this Court in Bhikamchand Jain (supra).

34. The petitioners in Abdul Azeez P V and others V National Investigation Agency, 2014 AIR SCW 6537 relied on by the respondent/CBI filed a petition before the Special Court, NIA Cases by submitting that they were entitled to get statutory bail

under Section 167(2) of the Code in as much as the investigating agency had failed to file the final report within 180 days. It was further submitted that the bank account details and mobile phone call details of the petitioners as stated in the charge-sheet itself were yet to be verified and that the charge-sheet filed in court was not a final report as contemplated under Section

173(2) of the Code. The submissions were negated by the Special Court by holding that the petitioners were not entitled to statutory bail under Section 167(2) of the Code which was challenged in the High Court of Kerala at Ernakulam. The High Court went through the charge-sheet and found that the materials so disclosed and adverted to in the charge-sheet did show that it was a final report. The High Court dismissed the appeal which was challenged before the Supreme Court. It was observed as under:-

4. Having gone through the charge-sheet, we are not persuaded to take a different view. The materials adverted to show that it was a final report on the facets investigated into by the investigating agency. Furthermore, the requisite sanctions as required under Sections 18 and 18A of the UAPA and so also under Section 7 of the Explosive Substances Act were also accorded by the concerned authorities. The charge-sheet so filed before the learned Special Court was complete in all respects so as to enable the learned Special Court to take cognizance in the matter. Merely because certain facets of the matter called for further investigation it does not deem such report anything other than a final report. In our opinion Section 167(2) of Cr.P.C. stood fully complied with and as such the petitioners are not entitled to statutory bail under Section 167(2) of Cr.P.C.

5. The special leave petition is, therefore, dismissed.

35. The Supreme Court in *Dinesh Dalmia V C.B.I*, 2008Cr.L.J.337 observed that a charge sheet is a final report within the meaning of Sub-section (2) of Section 173 of the Code and is filed so as to enable the court concerned to apply its mind as to whether cognizance of the offence thereupon should be taken or not. The power of the investigating officer to make a prayer for making further investigation in terms of Sub-section (8) of Section 173 is not taken away only because a charge sheet under Sub-section (2) thereof has been filed. A further investigation is permissible even if order of cognizance of offence has been taken by the Magistrate. It was observed as under:-

28. It is a well-settled principle of interpretation of statute that it is to be read in its entirety. Construction of a statute should be made in a manner so as to give effect to all the provisions thereof. Remand of an accused is contemplated by the Parliament at two stages; pre-cognizance and post cognizance. Even in the same case depending upon the nature of charge sheet filed by the investigating officer in terms of Section 173 of the Code, a cognizance may be taken as against the person against whom an offence is said to have been made out and against whom no such offence has been made out even when investigation is pending. So long a charge sheet is not filed within the meaning of Sub-section (2) of Section 173 of the Code, investigation remains pending. It, however, does not preclude an investigating officer, as investigation despite filing of a police report, in terms of Sub-section (8) of Section 173 of the Code.

36. The Supreme Court in *Suresh Kumar Bhikamchand Jain V State of Maharashtra & another*, 2013 Cr.L.J.1625 held that once a charge-sheet is filed within the stipulated time, the question of grant of default bail or statutory bail does not arise and filing of charge-sheet is sufficient compliance with the provisions of Section 167(2)(a)(ii) of the Code. It is not material whether cognizance is taken or not as far as Section 167 of the Code is concerned. It was also observed that grant of sanction is not contemplated under section 167 of the Code which is related to completion of investigation in respect of different types of cases within a stipulated period and the right of an accused to be released on bail on the failure of the investigating authorities to do SO. It was observed as under:-

18. None of the said cases detract from the position that once a charge-sheet is filed within the stipulated time, the question of grant of default bail or statutory bail does not arise. As indicated hereinabove, in our view, the filing of charge-sheet is sufficient compliance with the provisions of Section 167(2)(a)(ii) in this case. Whether cognizance is taken or not is not material as far as Section 167 Cr.P.C. is concerned. The right which may have accrued to the Petitioner, had charge-sheet not been filed, is not attracted to the facts of this case. Merely because sanction had not been obtained to prosecute the accused and to proceed to the stage of Section 309 Cr.P.C., it cannot be said that the accused is entitled to grant of statutory bail, as envisaged in Section 167 Cr.P.C. The scheme of the Cr.P.C. is such that once the investigation stage is completed, the Court proceeds to the next stage, which is the taking of cognizance and trial. An accused has to remain in custody of some court. During the period of investigation, the accused is under the custody of the Magistrate before whom he or she is first produced. During that stage, under Section 167(2) Cr.P.C., the Magistrate is vested with authority to remand the accused to custody, both police custody and/ or judicial custody, for 15 days at a time, up to a maximum period of 60 days in cases of offences punishable for less than 10 years and 90 days where the offences are punishable for over 10 years or even death sentence. In the event, an investigating authority fails to file the charge-sheet within the stipulated period, the accused is entitled to be released on statutory bail. In such a situation, the accused continues to remain in the custody of the Magistrate till such time as cognizance is taken by the Court trying the offence, when the said Court assumes custody of the accused for purposes of remand during the trial in terms of Section 309 Cr.P.C. The two stages are different, but one follows the other so as to maintain a continuity of the custody of the accused with a court.

19. Having regard to the above, we have no hesitation in holding that notwithstanding the fact that the prosecution had not been able to obtain sanction to prosecute the accused, the accused was not entitled to grant of statutory bail since the charge-sheet had been filed well within the period. Sanction is an enabling provision to prosecute, which is totally separate from the concept of investigation which is concluded by the filing of the charge-sheet. The two are on separate footings.

38. The supreme court in *Rakesh Kumar Paul V State of Assam*, (2017) 15 SCC 67 also held that the indefeasible right for default bail accrued to the petitioner when the period of 60 days for completing the investigation and

filing a charge-sheet came to an end and the indefeasibility right accruing to the accused in enforceable only prior to the filing of the charge-sheet and does not survive thereafter, if already not availed of. If the charge-sheet is not filed then right for default bail has ripened into status of indefeasibility which cannot be frustrated by the prosecution and the courts on any pretext.

39. The legal position pertaining to scope of section 167(2) of the Code emanating from above referred decisions can be summarised as under:-

i) The object of the section 167(2) of the Code is to ensure an expeditious investigation and a fair trial and is another limb of Article 21.

ii) The accused has indefeasible right in his favour for being released on bail on account of default by the investigating agency to complete investigation within the prescribed period.

ii) It is duty of the courts to ensure that benefit of Section 167(2) of the Code be given to the accused and detention beyond statutory period would be illegal being opposed to the liberty of the accused.

iv) Section 173 of the Code does not stipulate a piece-meal investigation and filing of incomplete charge sheet before Court and contemplates filing of a final report after completion of the entire investigation of the case in respect of all offences and where several offences are involved in a case. The practice of filing preliminary charge sheets to seek extension of remand beyond the statutory period should be deprecated.

v) The charge report can be filed before the court only after the investigation is over and formation of an opinion regarding all the offences alleged against the accused.

vi) There is a distinction between completion of investigation and further investigation. The further investigation can be resorted to only after the completion of investigation and filing of charge sheet.

vii) The investigating agency cannot circumvent section 167(2) of the Code by filing incomplete charge sheets. The police report or charge sheet cannot be sent within the meaning of section 173 (2) till the investigation is completed and any report sent before the investigation is completed will not be a police report within the meaning of section 173 (2) of the Code.

vii) The incomplete charge sheet filed without completing the investigation cannot be used to defeat the right of statutory bail under Section 167(2) of the Code.

ix) The right of the accused to statutory bail came to an end once the charge sheet is filed within the stipulated period. The filing of charge sheet is sufficient compliance with the provisions of section 167(2) of the Code and taking of cognizance is not material to Section 167. There can only be one charge sheet but there is no restriction on filing of number of supplementary charge sheets.

xi) The charge-sheet can be said to be complete when it enable the court to take or not to take cognizance of the offence after application of mind and if certain facets called for further investigation does not render such report anything other than a final report.

xii) The power of Magistrate to take cognizance is not lost even if the police report is termed as incomplete by the investigating officer.

xii) If the charge-sheet is not filed then right for default bail has ripened into status of indefeasibility which cannot be frustrated by the prosecution and the courts on any pretext.

xii) Economic offences having deep rooted conspiracies and involving huge loss of public funds, constitute a class apart and need to be viewed seriously.

40. It is reflecting from record that the respondent/CBI registered FIR bearing no RC/AC1/2018/A0011 dated 28.05.2018 registered at PS CBI/AC-I for offences punishable under sections 120B/204 IPC and sections 7/12/13(2) read with 13(1)(d) of the PC Act and section 66 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 wherein the applicant/accused was implicated. The respondent/ CBI received a request from the Ministry of Finance, Govt. of India vide letter dated 05.03.2022 to investigate the issues arising out of SEBI order dated 11.02.2022 which was passed in the matters pertaining to illegal appointment of Anand Subramanian as 'Chief Strategic Advisor' (CSA), his re-designation as 'Group Operating Officer' and Advisor to MD' and other issues. The respondent/CBI took up investigation and issue highlighted in the order dated 11.02.2022 was found to be linked with ongoing investigation by the respondent/CBI in pursuance of present FIR. The applicant/accused was arrested on 06.03.2022. The respondent/CBI did not complete investigation in respect of all the offences as mentioned in FIR. The respondent/CBI has filed charge sheet before the concerned court on 21.04.2022 i.e. 46th day from the date of arrest only for offences punishable under sections 13 (1)(d) and 13(2) of the PC Act and section 120 B IPC. The investigation pertaining to other offences under section 66 of IT Act 2000, section 204 IPC and sections 7 and 12 of the PC Act is still pending and not completed. The Special Judge, CBI has not taken the cognizance on charge sheet filed on 21.04.2022 for want of sanction to prosecute the applicant/accused and Anand Subramanian.

41. Issue which needs judicial consideration and assessment is that whether filing of charge sheet by the respondent/CBI before concerned court on 21.04.2022 pertaining to offences punishable under sections 13 (1)(d) and 13(2) of the PC Act and section 120 B IPC is sufficient compliance of section 167 (2) of the Code to deny statutory bail or default bail to the applicant/accused as argued by the respondent/CBI or said charge sheet is incomplete or piecemeal charge sheet and does not fall within ambit of section 167(2) of the Code as argued by the learned Senior Counsel for the applicant/accused.

42. In view of the legal position pertaining to section 167(2) of the Code as discussed hereinabove, section 173 of the Code only permits filing of a final report after completion of the entire investigation in respect of all offences

and does not permit a piece-meal investigation and filing of incomplete charge sheet before Court. The charge sheet filed by the respondent/CBI is a piece meal charge sheet and is not filed in respect all offences subject matter of present FIR. The respondent/CBI is not legally permitted to pick one portion of investigation and to complete it and thereafter file piece meal charge sheet in respect of few offences subject matter of FIR and to left open investigation in respect of other offences and subsequent filing of charge sheet in respect of left over offences. This would be complete negation of section 167(2) of the Code. The investigating agency cannot be permitted to fragment or break FIR for the purpose of different charge sheets and this will tantamount to negation of section 167(2) and would against mandate of Article of 21 of the Constitution. The practice of filing such types of charge sheets to seek extension of remand beyond the statutory period was deprecated by the Superior Courts in past. The investigating agency is required to form opinion regarding all offences subject matter of FIR after completion of entire investigation.

42.1 There is no force in the arguments advanced by the Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent/CBI that the right of the applicant/accused under section 167(2) of the Code has come to an end immediately after filing of charge sheet on 21.04.2022 and said right under section 167(2) cannot be revived due to reason that further investigation is pending within the meaning of sub-section 8 of Section 173 of the Code. As mentioned and discussed hereinabove that there is a distinction between completion of investigation and further investigation.

The respondent/CBI has conducted and concluded part investigation pertaining to alleged illegalities committed by the applicant/accused in initial appointment of Anand

Subramanian and subsequent re-designation and other related issues but investigation pertaining to allegations made in FIR is still pending which cannot be termed as further investigation within ambit of section 173 (8) of the Code. The further investigation can be resorted to only after the completion of investigation and filing of complete charge sheet.

42.1.1 The decisions rendered in Dinesh Dalmia CBI, 2008 Cri.LJ.337 and Abdul Azeez PV V NIA, 2014 AIR SCW 6537 and cited by the Special Public Prosecutor do not provide much assistance to arguments of the Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent/CBI. In Abdul Azeez P V and others V National Investigation Agency, investigation only pertaining to minor details such as the bank account details and mobile phone call details was pending for verification. The Special Court negated submissions for grant of statutory bail. The High Court after going through the charge-sheet found that the materials so disclosed and adverted to in the charge-sheet did show that it was a final report and dismissed the appeal. The Supreme Court observed that the charge-sheet so filed before the Special Court was complete in all respects so as to enable the learned Special Court to take cognizance and merely because certain facets of the matter called for further investigation it does not deem such report anything other than a final report and dismissed the special leave petition. In present case substantial investigation arising out of present FIR is still pending and even allegations as made in charge sheet filed on 21.04.2022 regarding illegal appointment of

Anand Subramanian are also directly related to pending investigation pertaining to abuse of server architecture.

42.1.2 In *Dinesh Dalmia V C.B.I.*, the Supreme Court observed that a charge sheet is a final report within the meaning of Sub-section (2) of Section 173 of the Code and is filed if it enables the court to apply its mind as to whether cognizance of the offence thereupon should be taken or not and the power of the investigating officer for making further investigation in terms of section 178 (8) of the Code is not taken away only because a charge sheet under Sub-section (2) thereof has been filed. A further investigation is permissible even if order of cognizance of offence has been taken by the Magistrate. The investigation arising out of present FIR is incomplete investigation as only one part of investigation regarding alleged appointment of Anand Subramanian is completed and pending investigation qua other offences for which charge sheet is not filed is still pending. It is not a case of further investigation as argued by the Special Public Prosecutor.

42.2 There cannot be any dispute to the legal proposition that the purpose of police report under section 173(2) of the Code is to enable the Magistrate to satisfy himself on issue of taking cognizance or not. The concerned Special Court can take cognizance only in respect of some of offences for which charge sheet was filed on 21.04.22 but cannot take cognizance in respect of offence for which investigation is still pending and charge sheet is not filed. It is not permissible within mandate of legal provisions as contained in sections 173(2) and 167(2) to take cognizance in piecemeal or in parts. It would amount to negation of indefeasible right given to the accused under section 167(2) of the Code. The constitutional right under section 167(2) of the Code and granted to accused in case of non-completion of investigation within stipulated period cannot be interpreted to convenience of investigating agency. In the present case, the respondent/CBI itself preferred to club investigation of issues arising out of SEBI order dated 11.02.2022 with investigation of offences subject matter of present FIR. The investigating agency cannot circumvent section 167(2) of the Code by filing incomplete charge sheet and cannot be filed within the meaning of section 173 (2) till the investigation is completed and any report sent before the investigation is completed will not be a police report within the meaning of section 173 (2) of the Code. The respondent/CBI cannot take shelter of filing charge sheet in respect of offences pertaining to alleged illegal appointment of Anand Subramanian by giving nomenclature of complete charge sheet or final report as per section 173(2) of the Code to defeat the right of statutory bail under Section 167(2) of the Code.

42.3 The Special Judge while dismissing application for grant of statutory bail vide order dated 28.05.2022 did not appreciate legal provisions pertaining to section 167(2) in right perspective by holding that the charge sheet was complete containing all the details and was filed by mentioning therein the relevant sections. The Special Judge did not appreciate difference between incomplete investigation and further investigation and accepted both phrases as carrying same meaning. The Special Judge did not correctly observe and held that the charge-sheet filed on 21.04.2022 clearly describes

details of all the material collected by the IO/Investigating agency during the investigation regarding role played by the applicant/accused and Anand Subramaniam. The charge sheet filed on 21.04.2022 was confined to the investigation related to alleged illegal appointment of Anand Subramanian and subsequent re-designation and is not related to their role in abuse of server architecture. There can only be one charge sheet out of investigation although there is no restriction on filing of number of supplementary charge sheets. The decision in Y.S. Jagan Mohan Reddy V CBI cited by the Special Public Prosecutor for the respondent/CBI where in one RC several transactions were investigated regarding which separate charge-sheets were filed and the cases were tried as separate cases after taking cognizance and the Supreme Court refused to consider pendency of investigation in other transactions as a ground for bail holding that economic offences are a class apart. It is true that present FIR involves huge financial and economic offences but in present investigation, the offences for which charge sheet was filed on 21.04.2022 and offences for which investigation arising out of present FIR is still pending are interconnected and interlinked and cannot be separated and even this reflecting from Status Report filed by the respondent/CBI and written arguments submitted on behalf of the respondent/CBI. Moreover, the applicant/accused was arrested for offences subject matter of present FIR and her arrest is not confined to offences for which charge sheet was filed on 21.04.22.

After addressing the arguments on the application, short notes/written synopsis have been filed on behalf of applicant/accused Sanjay Gupta. The relevant paragraphs of the said short notes are as under :-

4. On 21.04.2022, as incomplete Charge-sheet against co-accused persons stood filed. Against the Applicant Sanjay Gupta an incomplete Supplementary Charge-sheet stood filed on 19.08.2022. The said Charge-sheet is incomplete insofar as it fails to record any completion of investigation inter alia in regard to the following substantive allegations in the subject FIR :

“1. Source information received that during 2010 to 2014, Shri Sanjay Gupta owner and promoter of M/s OPG Securities Pvt. Ltd. abused the server architecture of National Stock Exchange (NSE) in criminal conspiracy

PGIM India Midcap Opportunities Fund	37.27	5
Star		
PGIM India Flexi Cap Fund	22.97	5
Star		
Quant Small Cap Fund	50.69	5
Star		
Quant Tax Plan	37.95	5
Star		
Quant Mid Cap Fund	35.12	
Quant Large & Midcap Fund	24.44	5
Star		
Quant Absolute Fund	29.71	5
Star		
Bank of India Mid & Small Cap Equity & Debt	24.40	5
Star		
Fund (Hybrid: Aggressive (Equity Oriented))		
Bank of India Small Cap Fund	39.10	5
Star		
Canara Robeco Small Cap Fund	38.46	5
Star		
SBI Small Cap Fund	30.17	4
Star		
SBI Magnum Midcap Fund	29.62	
SBI Contra Fund	30.03	5
Star		
AXIS Small Cap Fund	27.22	5
Star		

**CT No. 11/2020**

**Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Jagmohan Kejriwal & Ors.**

***The present complaint case had been received on 29.10.2020 by way of assignment. It be checked and registered, as per rules.***

The present matter has been taken up via CISCO Webex platform / video conferencing hosted by Sh. Narender Kumar, Reader of this Court in terms of orders of Hon'ble High Court bearing No. R-235/RG/DHC/2020 dtd. 16.05.2020, 16/DHC/2020 dtd. 13.06.2020, 22/DHC/2020 dtd. 29.06.2020, 24/DHC/2020 dtd. 13.07.2020, 26/DHC/2020 dtd. 30.07.2020, 322/RG/DHC/ 2020 dated 15.08.2020, 417/RG/DHC/2020 dated 27.08.2020, 10559-10644/Power Gaz/RADC/2020 dated 28.08.2020 and Power Gaz/RADC/2020/E-15009-15097 dated 26.09.2020 in the presence (on screen) of Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Special PP for ED / prosecution.

**06.11.2020**

Present : Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Special PP for ED / prosecution.

Heard. The documents filed along with the present complaint case have to be perused.

Accordingly, put up for consideration on **28.11.2020** through physical mode, as requested by Ld. SPP for ED.

Submissions have been heard. At the outset, it is pertinent to note that Ld. Counsel for A-27 has not quoted any provision of Cr. P. C. or PC Act or any other Act under which the present application has been filed, apparently, because there is no such provision in the Cr. P. C. to provide the transcribed copy of the charge-sheet to any accused. The application is, therefore, without any merits and accordingly stands dismissed. The accused if so desire can get the charge-sheet translated in Hindi on any other language of his choice.

cut portionxxxxxxxx

**“8. Even in the case of a company, only those natural persons are to be treated in law, as being the company for the purpose of acts done in the course of business, who are**

**the directing mind and will of the company. However, some of the people in the company are mere servants and agents, who are nothing more than hands to do work and cannot be said to represent the mind and will of the company. Therefore, the company can only be vicariously held liable criminally for the acts of former, who are directing mind and will of the company, not the latter, who are just hands. Reliance can be placed in this regard on *Bolton (Engineering) Co. Ltd. Vs. Graham & Sons Ltd. [1957] QB 159.***

**9. In the present case, merely because the present petitioner was holding 16.96% shareholding in the accused company *ipso facto* shall not make her an accused, as many investors invest money in different companies, and have high shareholding / stake in those companies, yet they cannot be said to be directing mind and will of the company. If such an inference has to be drawn in every case, then it will be fraught with dangerous consequences.**

**10. Further, merely she was the guarantor of the loan in question, also does not make her criminally liable in the absence of any criminality or connivance with the main accused Ashwani Kumar Chawla, more so when nothing could be dug out against her after thorough investigations by the investigating agency, as per report filed by the IO, and the fact she had been shown in column no. 12 of the charge sheet after full fledged investigations, as also IO in his reply dated 08.07.2020 has completely absolved her.**

**11. Lastly, she being wife, does not make her vicariously liable for the alleged criminality of her husband Mr. Ashwani Kumar Chawla due to the same reasons afore discussed. The yardstick for summoning any accused in a criminal matter**

**to answer the allegations against him is that there should be sufficient grounds to proceed against him, sufficient means adequate, ample, comfortable, decent etc. Can the grounds mentioned in the impugned order dated 15.02.2020 be said to be sufficient or adequate grounds to summon the present petitioner as an accused. The answer is in negative.**

12. The net result of the above discussion is that, the impugned order dated 15.02.2020 summoning the petitioner Ms. Neetu Chawla cannot be legally sustained. Same is hereby set aside. The present revision petition stand allowed.”

**CBI Vs. Rakesh Singh and others**  
**RC No. 0032021A0014**  
**u/S. 120B r/w 420, 467/468/471 IPC &**  
**u/S. 13(2) r/w. 13(1)(d) of PC Act, 1988**  
**and substantive offences**

**09.04.2021**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI.

This is an application for compliance report on execution of search warrants and retention of the documents / articles seized during searches, moved on behalf of CBI.

Heard. The compliance report on execution of search warrants pertaining to the present RC has been filed. The said compliance report be taken on the record along with the relevant documents and the IO / applicant is allowed to retain the documents / articles seized during searches for the purpose of investigation.

The above application stands disposed off.

(Sanjeev Aggarwal)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
New Delhi/09.04.2021

**CBI Vs.  
RC No.  
CC No.  
CNR No.**

**08.05.2023**

**Fresh supplementary charge sheet has been assigned to this court by order of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge cum Special Judge, CBI, PC Act, RADC, New Delhi. It be checked and registered.**

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. Senior PP for CBI.

It is submitted by Ld. Sr. PP for CBI that the main case is listed on \_\_\_\_\_. Accordingly, the present supplementary charge sheet be put up alongwith the main charge sheet for consideration on \_\_\_\_\_.  
IO/HIO be summoned for NDOH.

(Rakesh Kumar-III)  
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02  
Rouse Avenue District Court  
**New Delhi/08.05.2023**

At this stage, application on behalf of (A-2) Tapan Kumar Nag has been moved for release of FDR and surety bond submitted in compliance to order dated 18.04.2022. FDR, if any on record be returned to the applicant/surety after cancelling the endorsement after obtaining their identity documents.

Further, it is stated that FDR of Rs.20 Lakhs deposited by applicant in compliance of order dated 18.04.2022 stands in favour of Ld. Principal District & Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge, CBI (PC Act), Rouse Avenue District Courts, New Delhi and the same be released in favour of the applicant. Since the applicant has returned back to India in compliance of the above order, in these circumstances, the Manager of concerned Bank Branch is directed to release the amount of the said FDR in favour of the applicant/accused Tapan Kumar Nag.

File taken up today in compliance of order dated 24.05.2023.