

Ravinder Pal Singh v. Indu Mittal
CNR No.: DLCT01-004241-2025
Crl. Appeal No. 93/2025

02.06.2026

Present : Mr. Hemant Kakkar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr. Rajesh Bhatia, Ld. Counsel for the appellant.
The appellant is present.
Mr. Avinash Kumar Tyagi, Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted by Ld. Proxy Counsel for the appellant that the fine in terms of last order would be deposited by the appellant during the course of day DLSA, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi. Let the same be done, as prayed for.

Arguments on the pending application of the appellant and the LRs of the deceased respondent for the substitution of the respondent have been heard.

List for orders on pending applications at **03:00 p.m.**

In the interest of justice and as prayed for, list for arguments on **09.09.2026**.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
02.06.2026

It is 12:35 p.m.

Present : The appellant is present.

Proof of deposit of cost, in terms of order dated 14.03.2026 has been filed by the appellant. Same is taken on record.

Pertinently, an application for substitution of LRs of the respondent/complainant has been moved on behalf of the appellant along with amended memo of parties. Similarly, an application for substitution of LRs of the respondent, in terms of

Contd..2/-

the provision under Section 256 Cr.P.C./Section 279 BNSS read with Section 302 Cr.P.C./Section 339 BNSS has been moved on behalf of Ms. Indu Mittal, one of the LRs of the deceased respondent.

Notably, it is asserted in both the aforesaid applications that the respondent/complainant has since left for heavenly abode on 11.08.2025, after the passing of impugned judgment and order and during the pendency of the present revision petition. It is further asserted in the said applications that the respondent is survived by her husband *i.e.* Mr. Rakesh Kumar Mittal and her daughter, namely, Ms. Moulshree Mittal, who are desirous to implead themselves in the present case. Pertinently, in the application filed by Ms. Moulshree Mittal, she has though entreated to be impleaded as the surviving Class-I legal heir of the deceased respondent, however, as also annexed her SPA dated 16.02.2026, conferring the authority to Mr. Rakesh Kumar Mittal to proceed with the present case. Along with the said application, copy of death certificate dated 22.08.2025, affirming the factum of demise of the respondent on 11.08.2025 has also been filed.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Consequently in light of the forgoing discussion, further being wary of the submissions made by Ld. Counsel for the parties; provisions under law, in particular, that under Sections 249, 256 and 302 Cr.P.C (*pari materia* with Sections 272/279/339 BNSS); as well as being further cognizant of the repeated avowals of the superior courts [***Kushal Kumar Talukhdaar v. Chandra Prasad Goenka, 2004 SCC OnLine Gau. 130; and Netar Prakash v. Satender Pal Dutta, Crl. M.C. 3455/22 dated 28.07.2022 (DHC)***], besides considering that same

applications for impleadment have been moved by the appellant as well as by LR of the deceased respondent, this Court deems it prudent to ***allow*** the appellant's application for impleadment of LRs of the deceased respondent, namely, Mr. Rakesh Kumar Mittal and Ms. Moulshree Mittal.

Consequently, the appellant's application for impleadment of LRs of the respondent is ***allowed***. Resultantly, the amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Needless to mention, since the application of the appellant has been allowed, no further order is required in the cross-application of the respondent, which is ***disposed off***, in the above terms.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
02.06.2026