

MACT 879/24
SULEKHA DEVI
Vs.
SATYA NARAIN

03.09.2025

Present: Sh. Bindeshwar Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.
None for respondent nos. 1 & 2.
Sh. Arpit Prakash, Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 3 through VC.

Summons were issued to the respondents. Same have been received back served upon respondent no. 3. Ld. Counsel submits that he has already filed his vakalatnama. Time sought to file WS. Allowed. Same be filed by the next date of hearing.

Summons issued to respondent nos.1 and 2 not received back. **Fresh summons be issued to respondent nos. 1 and 2 on filing of PF within 7 days through dasti/speed post.**

Ld. Counsel for the petitioner has moved an application under Order 22 Rule 3 CPC stating that the petitioner no. 1 has expired. He has also filed an application under Order I Rule 10 CPC for impleading the Nodal Officer having its office near the place of accident. He has also filed amended memo of parties and certified copies of certain documents. However, it is submitted that the mechanical inspection report of the offending vehicle is yet not available.

Heard. Perused.

The application under Order I Rule 10 CPC is allowed.

Contd.../-

Copy of the application under Order 22 Rule 3 CPC and the documents filed today be supplied to Ld. Counsel for the respondents within 10 days under receipt. The contact details of Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 3 is as under:

Sh. Arpit Prakash, Mobile no. 8583990520, Email ID : arpitprakashadv@gmail.com.

Put up for further proceedings for 15.11.2025.

(Ruchika Singla)
Presiding Officer, MACT-01 (Central)
THC/Delhi/03.09.2025