

01.05.2025

Present : Sh. Saurabh Parcha, Legal retainer for the complainant company.
Sh. Anil Bhasin, Ld. Proxy counsel for complainant.
Accused produced from JC (convicted in another case).
Sh. Prem Nath Upadhyay, Ld. Counsel for accused.

An application for bail has been filed on behalf of accused.

Arguments on the bail application heard. Record perused.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused that process u/s 82 Cr.P.C was ordered to be issued on 11.11.2024 for 06.02.2025, accused was already in JC since 19.08.2024 as such he is not aware about issuance of warrants or process u/s 82 Cr.P.C.

Ld. Counsel for complainant has opposed the bail application on the ground that despite settlement accused has only paid Rs. 17,000/- out of total settlement amount of Rs. 82,000/- with further request to dismiss the bail application.

Ld. Counsel for accused submits that after clear of all pending dues before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi qua complaint case u/s 138 NI Act, accused will make the payment of remaining settlement amount as per settlement arrived, with request to grant bail to the accused.

Having regard to the overall facts and circumstances of the case and the material available on the record and especially keeping in view the submission made on behalf of Ld. Counsel

Contd....2/-

for accused that accused will make the payment of remaining settlement amount, the accused is admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety in the like amount.

Bail application disposed off accordingly.

Bail bond not furnished. Let the same be furnished within seven days.

List the matter for arguments on the point of notice on 25.07.2025.

**(Rakesh Kumar-IV)
ASJ (Electricity) – 01,
Central/ Tis Hazari Courts/ Extn. Block
01.05.2025 (sy)**