

**Regular Bail Application**  
**FIR No. 450/25, State Vs. Dinesh Kumar**  
**PS Subzi Mandi, U/s 20 NDPS Act**

20.11.2025

Present: Sh. K.P. Singh, Ld. Addl. PP for State.  
Sh. Shubham Gupta, Ld. counsel for applicant/  
accused Dinesh Kumar.  
HC Iqbal on behalf of IO ASI Sakharam in person.

Reply to the bail application is already filed. Copy already supplied.

Arguments heard on the bail application of the applicant/ accused.

Ld. counsel for the applicant/ accused submits that the applicant/ accused is falsely implicated in this case and the case property is planted upon him. He further submits that this is the first bail application of the applicant/ accused. He further submits that the applicant/ accused was arrested on 09.09.2025 and allegedly, 1446 gram Ganja was recovered from the possession of the applicant/ accused, which is an intermediate quantity. He further submits that the investigation qua the applicant/ accused is complete and charge-sheet is already filed. He further submits that now the matter has been fixed for report regarding the FSL result as well as for arguments/ framing of charge for 05.01.2026.

He further submits that the applicant/ accused is the sole bread earner of his family and he is aged about 54 years. According to him, applicant/ accused has responsibility of his entire family to look after, therefore, he requests that the applicant/ accused may be admitted to bail.

Per contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the state as well as IO

Contd/-...

have vehemently opposed the bail application stating that the intermediate quantity of Ganja i.e. 1446 gram was recovered from the possession of the applicant/ accused. They further submit that the applicant/ accused did not co-operate during investigation and he did not disclose the source of abovesaid Ganja. They further submit that the source is yet to be apprehended. They further submit that FSL is still awaited and charge is yet to be framed. They further submit that the applicant/ accused is involved in several other cases under Arms Act and under the offences defined under IPC. Therefore, they request that the bail application should be dismissed.

In rebuttal, Ld. counsel for applicant/ accused submits that applicant/ accused has already been acquitted in most of the cases as mentioned in the list annexed with reply. He further submits that in some of the cases, applicant/ accused had pleaded guilty as his custody period was going on and trial could not be completed.

Submissions considered. Record perused.

As per record, though, only 1446 gram Ganja was recovered from the possession of the applicant/ accused but as per facts of the case, he did not disclose the complete whereabouts of the source of Ganja. Applicant/ accused is involved in several other cases as mentioned in the list annexed with the reply to the bail application. Applicant/ accused is BC of PS Kashmere Gate. Therefore, considering the overall facts of the case, the antecedents of applicant/ accused, I do not find any reason to release the applicant/ accused on bail at this stage. Accordingly,

Contd/-...

the bail application of the applicant/ accused **Dinesh Kumar** stands **dismissed**.

The observations made on the merits of the case are purely for the purposes of deciding the present bail application and shall not be construed as expression upon the merits of the case.

Copy of the order be given dasti to the IO, Ld. Counsel for applicant/ accused and be communicated to the applicant/ accused in Jail through Jail Superintendent concerned.

**(Virender Singh)**  
Special Judge, NDPS,  
Central, THC, Delhi/20.11.2025