

SC 355/2023
STATE
Vs.
RAKSHA W/O SH GADOO
FIR No. 428 /2022
PS: (Mukherji Nagar)

16.04.2024

Present: Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Pawan Kumar, A/R of the complainant company and
Sh. Vaibhav Gupta, Manager (Legal) of the
complainant/TPDDL.
Accused is absent.

NBW with respect to the accused has been received back unexecuted. It appears that the accused has been absconding. Since, the accused is absconding, Accordingly, let process u/s 82 Cr. P.C be issued against the accused on her last known address with the directions to execute the same at least 30 days before the date to be executed through IO concerned. The IO/process server is also directed to ensure the execution of the aforesaid process by:-

1. Affixation on the main gate of the house of the accused.
2. The photographs regarding the affixation of the process in the aforesaid manner be also filed along with the report.
3. By public announcements in the area.
4. To record the statement of two respectable members of the society regarding the execution of the process in the aforesaid manner.

Process Server concerned is also directed to ensure the publication of the process in a leading National English/Hindi/Vernacular languages newspaper having circulation in the area of the residence of the accused.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the complainant company

that they have apprehension that accused may disposed of her property and requested to issue warrants of attachment.

Simultaneously, attachment of movable and immovable property be issued against the accused under Section 83 Cr.P.C as there is reason to believe that accused may dispose of whole or any part of the property to be executed through SDM concerned for the NDOH as the accused has failed to appear before the Court in the instant matter.

In view of the same, be put up for report with respect to the proceeding under Section 82/83 Cr.P.C. on **15.07.2024**.

(JITENDRA SINGH)
ASJ (Electricity)/(N-W)
Rohini Courts, Delhi
16.04.2024