

Misc No. 132/21

Psychotropic India Ltd Vs. Syncom Healthcare Ltd.

03.09.2022

Present: Sh. Amit Jain, Ld. Counsel for the applicant.
Ms. Radhika Arora, Proxy counsel for Sh. Ashok Mittal, Ld.
Counsel for the non-applicant.

Proxy counsel for the non-applicant has filed reply to the review application. Copy supplied.

It is submitted by the proxy counsel for the non-applicant that the main counsel Sh. Ashok Mittal is in personal difficulty as he has gone out of station.

It is seen from the record that in the present review application, the applicant has also filed an application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC seeking setting aside of the *Ex-Parte* decree dated 02.06.2012 which has been made part of the review application.

Let application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC at page no. 43-59 forming part of the review application be de-tagged from this file and be registered separately.

List for arguments on **23.11.2022 at 2:00 PM.**

(Ajay Gulati - II)
ADJ- 01 (Central),
THC, Delhi, 03.09.2022