

Surender Aggarwal Vs. Krishan Lal & Ors.

CNR No.: DLCT01-015297-2022

Crl. Appeal No. 239/2022

02.12.2022

Present : Mr. Anunaya Mehta, Advocate with the appellant.

The Court has heard arguments of Ld. Counsel for the appellant since 10.15 a.m. to 11.15 a.m. At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the appellant stated that he wishes to file an application under Section 391 Cr. P.C. for additional evidence. In case, Ld. Counsel for the appellant was intending to file such application, he could have either filed the application or sought time for filing of the application. It is not fair to the Court that after submitting arguments for around one hour, which time could have been utilized for hearing of some other case. Irrespective of fate of the application, the appellant must make good the time of the Court unnecessary consumed in hearing of this case. To come up for judgment on **13.12.2022**. At this state, Ld. Counsel for the appellant stated that he does not wish to file any application under Section 391 Cr. P.C. TCR be summoned.

Sanjay Sharma-II
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
02.12.2022