

State Vs. Mohd Irfan & Ors.

CNR No.: DLCT01-014219-2024

SC No. 587/2024

FIR No. 289/2024

PS Wazirabad

19.05.2026

Present : Mr. Amit Dabas, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Mr. Shakil Ahmad, Ld. Proxy counsel for Mr. S.C. Jain, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Mohd. Irfan.
Mr. M.F. Khan, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Mohd. Aslam. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Sauraj Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Toheed Ali.
Mr. Sham Ansari, Ld. Counsel for the complainant
The accused persons, namely, Mohd. Irfan and Toheed Ali are present.
Accused Mohd. Aslam is not present.
IO SI Heera Lal is present.
Concerned SHO Insp. Prashant Anand is present.

IO and SHO have entered appearance and submitted that they would give reasons in writing for non-appearance of the IO on 13.10.2025, 13.01.2026, 10.02.2026, 09.03.2026 and 15.04.2026 as well as the status of supplementary chargesheet, if any filed in compliance of order dated 15.07.2025.

Further, the IO has submitted that he would supply the relevant CCTV footage to the accused /Ld. Counsel for the accused persons during the course of the day.

The matter is passed-over as accused Mohd. Aslam has not entered appearance.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
19.05.2026

Contd..2/-

It is 12:00 noon

Present : Mr. Amit Dabas, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Mr. Iqbal Khan, Ld. Proxy counsel for the accused, namely, Mohd. Aslam.
Mr. M.F. Khan, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Mohd. Aslam. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Sauraj Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Toheed Ali.
Mr. Sham Ansari, Ld. Counsel for the complainant
The accused persons, namely, Mohd. Irfan and Toheed Ali are present.
Accused Mohd. Aslam is not present. (exempted for today only)
IO SI Heera Lal is present.
Concerned SHO Insp. Prashant Anand is present.

At this stage, written request for exemption is made by / on behalf of accused Mohd. Aslam, unaccompanied with any documents. For the reasons so stated, exemption is allowed for today only, subject to the accused furnishing the necessary medical documents on the next date of hearing or in the alternate, on the accused Mohd. Aslam depositing a fine of Rs.1000/- with DLSA, Central, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for accused persons, namely, Tohid Ali and Mohd. Aslam as well as accused Irfan have submitted that they are in receipt of the relevant CCTV footage from the IO.

The IO has submitted that he had filed supplementary chargesheet with respect to co-accused Hakim @ Vakil before the Ld. JMFC on 18.07.2025, whereupon, compliance of Section 207Cr.P.C /Section 230 BNSS was carried out on 08.09.2025. Notably, it is seen from the records that subsequently the supplementary chargesheet was committed before the Ld. Predecessor Judge, however, on 13.10.2025,

...3..

accused Vakil had not entered appearance before either the Ld. Predecessor Judge or before this Court.

Accordingly, **issue summons to accused Hakim @ Vakil** for the next date of hearing.

The IO has supplied the copies of supplementary chargesheet to accused Mohd. Irfan and Tohid Ali in Court. Copy thereof is retained for accused Mohd. Aslam.

The IO and SHO have furnished written explanation for the reasons of non-compliance of earlier orders. Same are taken on record.

In the interest of justice, list for arguments on **20.07.2026**.

Issue notice to the concerned IO to be physically present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
19.05.2026