

State Vs. Mohd Irfan & Ors.

CNR No.: DLCT01-014219-2024

SC No. 587/2024

FIR No. 289/2024

PS Wazirabad

13.01.2026

Present : None for the State.

Mr. Gopal, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr. Shiv Kumar Gautam, Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

Mr. S.C.Jain, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Mohd. Irfan.

Mr. M.F. Khan, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Mohd. Aslam.

Mr. Suraj Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Toheed Ali. (*through video conferencing*)

The accused persons, namely, Mohd. Irfan and Toheed Ali are present.

Accused Mohd. Aslam is not present.

No report on verification of fresh bonds furnished by accused Toheed Ali is forthcoming.

It has been brought to the notice of this Court that the *Ahlmad* of the Ld. Predecessor Judge has not issued the requisite notice, in terms of the order of the Ld. Predecessor Judge.

Issue show cause notice to *Ahlmad* of the Court of Ld. Predecessor Judge to explain the reason for non-compliance of the order of the Ld. Predecessor Judge.

Let fresh verification of bonds furnished by accused Toheed Ali be called for the next date of hearing.

In the interest of justice, list for further proceedings on **19.01.2026**.

The matter is passed-over as accused Mohd. Aslam is not present.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi/13.01.2026

Contd..2/-

It is 11:05 a.m.

Present : None for the State.
The complainant is present.
Mr. S. Haider, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr. S.C. Jain,
Ld. Counsel for the accused, namely, Mohd. Irfan.
Mr. M.F. Khan, Ld. Counsel for the accused,
namely, Mohd. Aslam.
Mr. Suraj Yadav, Ld. Counsel for the accused,
namely, Toheed Ali. (*through video conferencing*)
The accused persons, namely, Mohd. Irfan and
Mohd. Aslam and Toheed Ali are present.

At this stage, report on verification of fresh bonds
furnished by accused Toheed Ali has been received from the
concerned IO.

As per the report, the address and proof of liquidity
of the surety are stated to have been verified. Accordingly, **surety
stands accepted.**

Issue notice to the concerned Sub-Register regarding
the lien of this Court on the property in question.

Further, it is observed from the record that Ld.
Predecessor Judge had already allowed the application for
change of surety of the accused Toheed Ali *vide* order dated
13.10.2025 and provisionally accepted the said surety.
Consequently, the original RC of the erstwhile surety, namely,
Nihal Ahmad be released to the surety, namely, Nihal Ahmad,
upon ascertaining the identity of the applicant as well as upon the
retention of a copy of the said RC containing due
acknowledgment of receipt of the original RC by the applicant.

The present application is ***disposed off*** in above
terms.

A copy of present order be given ***dasti*** to Ld. Counsel for the
applicant. Further, **a copy of this order be also**

marked to the concerned RTO apprising that this Court has no lien on the vehicle in question.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the accused persons have submitted that the IO has not supplied the pen-drive containing the relevant CCTV footage in terms of order dated 15.07.2025 of Ld. Predecessor Judge. Accordingly, **issue notice to the concerned IO to be physically present before this Court** along with three sets of pen-drive containing the relevant CCTV footage as well as laptop on the next date of hearing.

Regular Ld. Addl. PP for the State is on leave today and no substitute Ld. Addl. PP for the State has been assigned to this Court, yet.

In the interest of justice, list for further proceedings on **10.02.2026**.

Directions for issuance of notice to the Ahlmad of the concerned Court as well as to the concerned IO for filing of verification of the bail bonds are hereby ***recalled***, in light of the aforesaid.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
13.01.2026