

10
MACT 881/23
PINKI
TOMAR AND ORS.
Vs.
HDFC ERO GEN.
INS. CO.
LTD. AND ORS.

27.04.2026

Present: Sh. Pankaj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner
with all petitioners in person.
Sh. Niket Aman, Ld. Proxy Counsel for respondent
nos. 1 & 2 through VC
None for respondent no. 3 and 4.

Matter is listed for report of Ld. LC. It is submitted
that the respondents have yet not concluded their evidence. Ld.
Proxy Counsel for respondents no. 1 and 2 submits that the
matter may be adjourned as the RE is to be recorded.

Perusal of the last order sheets that on the last date
i.e. 16.03.2026, same submission had been made and Ld. LC was
directed to grant one opportunity to the respondents to lead and
conclude evidence. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner submits that no
date was fixed by the Ld. LC on the request of Ld. Counsels for
the respondents since then.

Already around 45 days have passed since the last
date. The purpose of appointing an LC is to expedite the matter.
However, in the present matter, delay is being caused by the
respondents. The PE was concluded by the petitioner on
13.01.2026. More than three months have passed since then and
no RE has been led. On the last date, when it was directed that
only one opportunity may be given, very conveniently, no date
was got fixed before the Ld. LC. The Ld. LC should have fixed a
final date herself, but the same was not done.

Contd.../-

In these circumstances, a cost of Rs.5000/- is imposed upon the respondents no. 1 and 2 to be paid to the petitioners by the next date before the Ld. LC. Further, the next date of hearing before the Ld. LC is fixed for 19.05.2026, as per the convenience of both the parties. In case, the respondents do not lead evidence on that date, then RE shall stand closed automatically.

Financial statement of all the petitioners recorded.

Put up for report of Ld. LC and for filing of form 13 as well as final arguments for **01.06.2026**.

(Ruchika Singla)
Presiding Officer, MACT-01 (Central)
THC/Delhi/27.04.2026