

2
MACT 881/23
PINKI TOMAR AND ORS.
Vs.
HDFC ERO GEN. INS.
CO. LTD. AND ORS.

13.01.2026

Present: Sh. Pankaj Kumar Deval, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner through VC.
Sh. Aadarsh Rai, Ld. Proxy Counsel for respondents no. 1 & 2.
None for respondents no. 3 and 4.
Ms. Nazreen Saifi, Ld. LC in person.

Partial report filed by the Ld. LC wherein it is stated that the examination in chief of PW1 and PW2 has been recorded. It is submitted that the cross examination was not conducted by the Ld. Counsels for the respondents. Ld. Counsel for respondents no. 1 and 2 raised an objection that the cross examination shall be first conducted by the Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 3 and 4. However, the Ld. Counsel did not appear before the Ld. LC.

In these circumstances, the Ld. LC is at liberty to proceed with the recording of the cross examination by the respondent no.1 and 2. In case, Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 3 and 4 does not appear, the Ld. LC shall be within her rights to close the opportunity for cross examination on behalf of respondent no. 3 and 4.

The evidence is directed to be proceeded with as per the last order.

Contd.../-

Put up for report of Ld. LC as well as for filing of duly filled Form XIII, recording of financial statement and final arguments for **16.03.2026**.

Further the insurance company is directed to pay the fees of Ld. LC as directed.

(Ruchika Singla)
Presiding Officer, MACT-01 (Central)
THC/Delhi/13.01.2026