

Directorate of Enforcement v. Sunstar Overseas & Ors.

CC No. : 01/2024

CNR No.: DLCT01-013456-2024

ECIR No. : GNZO/09/2021

Under Section : 3,4,70,44,45,1 Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

PS : EOW

28.08.2025

Pursuant to complete abstinence from work and a call for strike by the Lawyers, refraining the entry of even the Public Prosecutor, Naib Court etc., appearance by State and other parties is being made through video conferencing mode.

Present : Mr. Simon Benjamin, Ld. SPP for ED. (*through video conferencing*)
None for accused No. 1.
Mr. Pradeep Shukla, AR of the accused No. 2. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, AR for accused no. 3. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Berry, AR for the accused no. 4. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Devender Kumar, partner of the accused No. 5. (*through video conferencing*)
Accused no. 6 is present. (joined the proceedings through car) (*through video conferencing*)
Accused No. 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 13 are present. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Sunil Aneja, AR for accused no. 14. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Shrawan Kumar Shah, AR of the accused No. 15. (*through video conferencing*)
None for accused No. 16.
Mr. Vijay Bhatia, AR for accused no. 17. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Sawan Jindal, AR for accused no. 18. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Saiket Dass, AR for accused no. 19. (*through video conferencing*)
Accused no. 20 (i) and 20 (iii) are present. (*through video conferencing*)
Mr. Ajay Yadav, Partner for accused No. 21. (*through video conferencing*)

..2..

Mr. Parvesh Kumar, AR for accused No. 22.
(through video conferencing, however, talking on mobile phone)

Mr. Manish Gosain, AR for accused no. 23.
(through video conferencing, however, talking on mobile phone)

Mr. Sunil Aneja, AR for accused no. 24 and 25.

Request for exemption is made by / on behalf of accused no.20 (ii), namely, Bharat Pal on the ground of some personal difficulty. For the reasons stated therein, the exemption is allowed for today only.

Pursuant to the order passed by Hon'ble High Court in case titled as ***Purshotam Profiles v. Directorate of Enforcement, Crl. Rev. No. 1300/2024 dated 13.08.2025***, the order of cognizance dated 25.09.2024, passed by this Court has been set aside, with directions to hear the accused persons, in terms of the provision under Section 223 BNSS. Notably, similar orders have been passed in case bearing ***Vinod Rice Mill v. Directorate of Enforcement, Crl. Rev. No. 1317/2024, Dated 13.08.2025*** and ***Omkar Asset Reconstruction Pvt. Ltd. v. Directorate of Enforcement, Crl. Rev. No. 1243/2024, Dated 13.08.2025***.

It has been brought to the notice of this Court that Lawyers are abstaining from work today.

Accordingly, in light of the aforesaid directions of the Hon'ble High Court and in the interest of justice, list for arguments on the aspect of cognizance on **20.12.2025 at 02.00 p.m.**

All the accused, except accused nos. 1 and 16 are already appearing before this Court. However, considering that there is no representation on behalf of the accused nos. 1 and 16,

Contd..3/-

...3..

issue Court notice to accused nos. 1 and 16 for the next date of hearing.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
28.08.2025