

Directorate of Enforcement v. Sunstar Overseas

CC No. : 01/2024

CNR No.: DLCT01-013456-2024

ECIR No. : GNZO/09/2021

Under Section : 3,4,70,44,45,1 Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002

PS : EOW

22.03.2025

Present : None.

Pursuant to the order passed on the last date of hearing, the matter will be taken up for hearing at **02.00 p.m.**

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
22.03.2025

It is 03.40 p.m.

Present : Mr. Simon Benjamin, Ld. SPP for ED.
None for accused No. 1.
Ms. Udit Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused No. 2.
(through video conferencing)
Mr. Pradeep Shukla, AR of the accused No. 2.
Mr. Raheel Kohli, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 3
along with Mr. Sandeep Chhabra, AR for accused
no. 3. *(through video conferencing)*
Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused
no. 4 along with Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Berry, AR for
the accused no. 4.
Mr. Deepak Kumar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr.
Mohd. Irfan, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 5 along
with Mr. Devender Kumar, partner of the accused
No. 5.
Mr. Aditya Dewan, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 6, 8
and 10. *(through video conferencing)*
Ms. Sahiba Singh, Ld. Counsel for accused No. 7
and 9. *(through video conferencing)*
Mr. Chander Shekhar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr.
Yash Datt, Ld. Counsel for the accused no. 11.
(through video conferencing)
Mr. Kush Gulati, Ld. Counsel for accused no.11.

Mr. Yash Vardhan, Ld. Counsel for accused no.12, 20 and 21. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Rajesh Goswami and Mr. Aditya Gaur, Ld. Counsel with Bharat Pal Singh and Prahlad Gautam. [accused no. 20 (ii) and 20 (iii)]

Mr. Samarth Luthra and Mr. Manoviraj Singh, Ld. Counsel for the accused no. 13.

Mr. Karan Kumar, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr. Prashant Pandey, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 14.

Mr. Sunil Aneja, AR for accused no. 14. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Arquam Ali, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 15. along with Mr. Shrawan Kumar Shah, AR of the accused No. 15. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Anukirath, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr. Ashish Batra, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 16. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. V.K. Singh and Mr. Manoj Kumar, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 17.

Mr. Vijay Bhatia, AR for accused no. 17. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Dev. V. Arya, Ld. Counsel for the accused No. 18. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Sawan Jindal, AR for accused no. 18.

Mr. Aman Malik, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 19. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Nishant Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the accused No. 19 along with Saiket Dass, AR for accused no. 19.

Ms. Bani Dikshit, Ld. Counsel for the accused No. 22. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Dhruva Vig, Ld. Counsel for the accused No. 22.

Mr. Kailash Chandra Pathak, AR of accused No. 22.

Mr. Debopriya Moulik, Ld. Counsel for the accused No. 23. *(through video conferencing)*

Mr. Manish Gosain, AR for accused no. 23.

Mr. Praveen Kumar Yadav, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr. Yash Chaturvedi, Ld. Counsel for the accused Nos. 24 and 25.

Mr. Sunil Aneja, AR for accused no. 24 and 25. *(through video conferencing)*

Accused Nos. 11, 12 and 13 have not been produced from judicial custody.

Accused no. 6, 7 , 9 and 10 are present.

The accused no. 8 is present. (*through video conferencing*)

Service report, in terms of the order passed on the last date of hearing, has been filed by IO/ED in respect to accused no. 1, 4 and 16.

As per the report, accused no. 1 is stated to have been duly served, through its present Directors, Ms. Lata Yadav, Pradeep Jain and Vipin Kumar. It is further observed from perusal of the record that *vakalatnama* has already been filed on behalf of accused no. 1 by Mr. Yashvardhan, Ld. Counsel, who is present through video conferencing mode. Ld. Counsel has submitted that he would seek instructions in this regard from his client. Let the same be done, as prayed for.

Vakalatnama along with Board Resolution has been filed on behalf of the accused no. 4 by Mr. Amarjeet Singh, Ld. Counsel. Same is taken on record. Ld. Counsel has supplied a copy of Board Resolution to Ld. SPP for ED.

Mr. Anukirath, Ld. Proxy Counsel for Mr. Ashish Batra, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 16 has entered appearance and submitted that accused no. 16 has challenged the order of cognizance of this Court before Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and the next date of hearing before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi is 23.04.2025. Further, as per the Ld. Proxy Counsel, proceedings *qua* accused no. 16 have been stayed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

Original Board Resolution has been placed on record on behalf of accused no.2 by Ld. Counsel, authorizing Mr. Pradeep Shukla on behalf of the said accused. It is observed from perusal of case record that there is some discrepancy in the copy of Board Resolution, filed earlier, and the original Board

Resolution, filed today.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 2 has submitted that she would take necessary steps at her end. Let the same be done, as prayed for.

Copy of Partnership Deed dated 28.07.2018 has been placed on record by Ld. Proxy Counsel for accused no. 5. Ld. Proxy Counsel has submitted that he would clarify whether Mr. Devender Kumar is authorized to execute *vakalatnama* on behalf of both the parties. Let the same be done, as prayed for.

An application under Section 230/231 BNSS/Section 207/208 Cr.P.C. has been moved on behalf of Rakesh Kumar Gulati/accused no. 11, seeking legible copy of the documents, filed with the present complaint as well as list of unrelieved documents.

Issue notice of the present application to ED.

Ld. SPP for ED accepts notice on behalf of ED. Copy of application has been supplied to Ld. SPP for ED.

An application under Section 207 Cr.P.C./Section 230 BNSS has been moved on behalf of Paramjeet/accused no. 13, seeking legible copy of the documents, filed with the present complaint as well as list of unrelieved documents.

Issue notice of the present application to ED.

Ld. SPP for ED accepts notice on behalf of ED. Copy of application has been supplied to Ld. SPP for ED.

An application under Section 207 Cr.P.C./Section 230 BNSS has been moved on behalf of Anir Arin/accused no. 24, seeking legible copies of the documents, filed with the present complaint.

Issue notice of the present application to ED.

Ld. SPP for ED accepts notice on behalf of ED.
Copy of application has been supplied to Ld. SPP for ED.

An application under Section 207 Cr.P.C./Section 230 BNSS has been moved on behalf of Rohit Aggarwal, Naresh Aggarwal and Sumit Aggarwal/accused nos. 6, 8 and 10, seeking legible copies of the documents, filed with the present complaint.

Issue notice of the present application to ED.

Ld. SPP for ED accepts notice on behalf of ED.
Copy of application has been supplied to Ld. SPP for ED.

Ld. Counsel for accused no. 6, 8 and 10 has submitted that he would move appropriate application, seeking list of unrelid documents. Let the same be done, as prayed for before the next date of hearing.

An application under Section 207 Cr.P.C./Section 230 BNSS has been moved on behalf of Manik Aggarwal and Rakesh Aggarwal/accused nos. 7 and 9, seeking legible copies of the documents, filed with the present complaint.

Issue notice of the present application to ED.

Ld. SPP for ED accepts notice on behalf of ED.
Copy of application has been supplied to Ld. SPP for ED.

Ld. Counsel for accused no. 7 and 9 has submitted that she would move appropriate application, seeking list of unrelid documents. Let the same be done, as prayed for before the next date of hearing.

Two separate applications under Section 207 Cr.P.C./Section 230 BNSS has been moved on behalf of accused no. 23 and 24, seeking legible copies of the documents, filed with the present complaint.

Issue notice of the present applications to ED.

Ld. SPP for ED accepts notice on behalf of ED.

Copy of applications have been supplied to Ld. SPP for ED.

An application has been filed under Section 208 Cr.P.C. by accused no. 19. Ld. Counsel has submitted that he is not pressing on the present application due to some technical defect and that he would move an appropriate application at relevant stage. Accordingly, in the aforesaid submissions, ***the present application is dismissed as withdrawn***. Ld. Counsel is within his right to proceed as per law.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for accused no. 4 has submitted that a copy of the present complaint may be supplied to him. Let the same be done, as prayed for.

Ld. SPP for ED has prayed for four weeks' time to file reply to the aforesaid applications and to take necessary steps to meet deficiency, if any. Let the same be done, as prayed for.

In the interest of justice, list for further proceedings on **03.05.2025 at 02.00 p.m.**

Issue notice to the concerned Jail Superintendent to produce the Accused Nos. 11, 12 and 13 before this Court on the next date of hearing.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for applicant Sanjeev Preenja has submitted, on instructions, that he does not desire to pursue the present application and he may be permitted to withdraw the same. Ld. Counsel has issued correspondence in this regard to the official mail e-mail ID of this Court. Print-out of the same is taken on record. Accordingly, in view of the aforesaid submissions and as prayed for, the said application, seeking suspension of LOC is ***dismissed as withdrawn***.

Abhishek Goyal
ASJ-03, Central District,
Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi
22.03.2025